F.IX-4

1925:26

File No. 271-25

Previous References (Misc)

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

Mis 208-27-1-2
" 13-30-1-2
" 86-30-1-2
" 243-30-1-5
" 60-31-1-2
" 262-31-1-2
" 235-32-1-2
" 21-38(1-2)

Mis 59-34-1-6.
Mis 91-35-1-2
Mis 287-35-1-2
" 364-36-1-2
Mis 349-39 (1-2)

Serial Nos. 6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Part B for June 26.

SUBJECT

Adoption of the Statements of balances of Mar Dadpos in the State

G.O. No. 1475-78
Date 19.5.1926.

To the Govt. Cons & Comptroller

Praying orders on the acceptance of the balances as the credit of the Institutions on 30th June 1925.

G. R. S. III—11

1925 - 26

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

NOTES.

File No. 271-26

FILE HEADING.

Statement of balances of Muzrai Institutions

From The Muz Commissioner in Mysore.

No. 226 of 1925-3. Dated 3/5 4. 1926 Received 6. 4. 1926

Diary No. 1166	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards the institutionwar statement of balances of Muzrai Institutions together with the Comptr's note of verification thereof & recommends the grant of a bonus of x months' pay to the two officials of his office who have been all along engaged in this special work as also to the three offs of the Comptr's office who worked hard in this Con.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1 The comptroller's note shows how the balances have been arrived at. The M.C. recommends that the balances now struck may be accepted.

Submitted for perusal & orders

Rev. Secy 10/4

10/4/26.

NOTES—First Sheet.

MD 1881—GPB—30,000—16-8-21

Serial No.

3) I have examined the Dy Complts report. It is on the whole satisfactory and his recommendations, supported by the Complts & the Mlty Comnt. may be approved.

A draft order will be put up after perusal and on receipt of his instructions.

The bonus recommended to the staff in the Complts office & in the Mlty Comnt office as well may be sanctioned

(Sd) 13.4.26.

(1st Member)

14.4

A

B

C

D

4) A draft order on the proposals for the grant of bonus on completion of the enquiry will be put up for consideration

In the bonus recommended for the staff of bonus on completion of the enquiry I believe Engineers & bonus in other cases after they already been sanctioned by you and I wish to know in case for any further bonus

In bonus in case of completion of the enquiry I have passed orders on the files relating to us I wish to know if any further orders are necessary

5) Dr Mukherjee's report has been completed with the note of 21.2.26 submitted by me the question is the amt of bonus to be paid & we have to pay any subsidy A note thereon may be put up after the receipt of the Govt sanction

Sd/ 14/6

Sd/ 14/4

NOTE—Contns Sheet.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 —17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mer File No. 271 of 1925. (3)

Serial No.

A. A draft order is submitted for consideration.

B & C (9) The bonuses already paid were for periods during which the Officials concerned did the compilation and verification work in connection with the determination of balances of Mercantile Institutions for period ending 30.6.20, and verifying them in the Comptroller Office.

(10) The bonuses now recommended are for the subsequent period of about 6 months during which the Officials were engaged in the verification & consolidation of the balances of the two periods of 30.6.20 and 30.6.25, having enhanced items, and fixing the final balances of individual institutions — Para 10 has been added on in the draft order Subject to approval accepting the recommendations of the Mer: Com^r: and the Comptr: in this respect.

D (11) As for the bonus to be paid to Mr. M Ry, the matter is being dealt with in a separate file. Item being

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 - 17-12-25

eneral and Revenue Secretariat | Mur File No. 271 of 192 5-6

Serial No.

worked up and will be subd separately for orders.

The Supdt. will please bring up. L 20/4

12) Regd:- Member's Minute. 20.4.26 (Paras 4-7 supra).

13) A draft G.O is put for consideration.

14) The bonus now recommended to the officials of the office the Comptr & the Muz. Commr. is different, as noted above, from that, already sanctioned. & may be sanctioned. The last para in the draft is ~~for~~ added, subject to approval.

15) Rev. Secy. L 22/4

16) The draft requires to be amplified Please see corrections -

17) The resolution of the Conference has to be embodied in the draft-

18) A revised draft in the line indicated may be sent, & put up with the draft The bonus & may also be taken up together - L 20 & 16.

19) In accordance with the personal instructions received from the Revs Secy, a typed fair draft order is subd for appl orders.

Subd & Revs Secy

28/4/26 Mr. Mudaliar L 28/4 N 29/4

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

80/29.4

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

NWF File No. 271 of 192 5

Serial No.

21) The Rev Sec'y is requested to kindly bring him up on Friday or Saturday next in person. There are a few points which he may discuss which I would like some explanation.

22) The question of bonus to Dr. Dr's may be worked up & submitted for orders. Early

Suppl. Mysore h 5/5. 8/5

23) Submitted to the Rev. Sec'y with reference to orders in para 21. The file re bonus to Dr's Nurses & other officials is also submitted separately.

8/5 6.5.26

24) Submitted in person and discussed with member. h 8/5.

DEWAN'S OFFICE, RECD ON 13 MAY 1926, No. 8299

25) Draft revised as the result of discussion with the member and as per instructions given yesterday. May be kindly approved.

h 9.5.26. 10/5

1st member

25 9 10.5

26) Draft approved

27) This may be submitted for Dewan's personal approval also

h 10/5

Dewan Chief Secy

h 11/5/26. 11/5

Dewan

28) Approved 13/5

29) Marked (13/5/26 h

30) Mysore h 14/5 14.5.26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.	
2	To the Mar: Com^r & Comptroller N° 1475-6/Mar 271-25: 2 & 19.5.26 Approves of the Mar Com^r's & Comptr's proposals that the figures compiled up to end of 30-6-25 maybe adopted &c
3	To the M.C. N°. 1469 & 17.5.26 Asks for the deputation of both the Spl duty Offrs for work in con^n with preparing the Estⁿ in question for the Secs.
	31 The Estⁿ have to be prepared for the Secs, and they will have to be compiled in an abridged form; only a few columns of those sent up by the Mar Com^r being nec^y for our purpose. An abridged form has to be sent to the Secs & 2000 copies there of Supd from them & being written up. 32 Draft letter to the Dy/C^r for^n Secs sending a sample form & asking for 2000 printed copies is submtd for Apvl. 33 Draft may be approved Rev. Sec^y)
	34 maybe Approved

35 The object is not quite clear. Mr. D Ramiah will please See me Mar 20/5/26

15/5 19.5.

19.5.26

19/5

19/5

Mar 19/5

36 May please

21/5/26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 –17-12-25

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 271 of 1925.

Serial No.

4. To Mr Supt. Govt Press No. 11481 & 21.5.26 Sending a sample form & requesting that 1000 printed Copies may be sent to this Office before the End of this month

5 From the Asst Comr D.O No C 1326 of 25-5-26 & 22-5-26 States that owing to Clerks being on privilege leave & that he has asked the Mint Clerk Mr T. Subbaraya to work in this Office for 2 days.

37 Mr T. Subbaraya who came here has been told to come from 1st June 26, as there he has no work here till the forms in which the leave to be written up have been ordered to be supplied before the End of this month & have not been rec'd yet. The Press Office has been reminded abt it.

38 Mr T. Subbaraya alone cannot Complete the writing up of the Statts. At least two hands are nec? & they have to work with Each other & Compare the Statts Copied & sent to the Press. One more hand who writes neatly is quite nec?. Draft D letter to the M.C. is subd for appl

39 The draft may be approved

29.5.26

Rer. Sec 29/5

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

95 lines engrossed copy

It seems strange to get clerks from one office to do work in another office

WD 01264—GPB—40 000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

App. F III—2]

Revenue File No. 271-

of 192 5.

Serial No.	
41	Draft D.O as per orders of the Revenue is submitted for approval. To the Mag Coorg 7/6/26
42 GRo 16.6.26	
6	Refer to the MC No 1529 of 2-6-26 and the Statistical Record from whom the copies of the new staff forms approved and sent to the first Peon which will be sent on receipt from the Press etc.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

1202

4

No. C 226 y 22-3 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Three Setwy Statement. Dated Bangalore, 3rd April 1926.
relating to 30/6/20, 30/6/25
final Statements, nine abstracts & copies.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

D. 1166

Mus 271-25-

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Referring to the last para of your letter No.1262/Muz.132-25-9 dated the 31st ultimo, I have the honor to submit herewith the institutionwar statements of balances together with District and Provincial abstracts received with the Comptroller's D.O. letter No.1527/TADB dated the 1st instant. A copy of Mr. Bistoparth -- Badami's report together with that of the Comptroller's D.O. letter is enclosed. A copy of Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's subsequent note re untraced items has been sent to the Comptroller for his remarks and the same will be submitted to Government on receipt. A copy is also however, submitted herewith for the information of Government.

2 As stated in the Deputy Comptroller's report and referred to by the Comptroller in his D.O. letter, the balances as now arrived at may be adopted as final.

3 The Comptroller's recommendation for the grant of a month's pay as bonus to the officials of his office may be kindly sanctioned

Mr. T. Subba Rao, Head aCCt.	77/-
S. Jayarama Rao, 2nd Munshi	44/-

as also a similar bonus of a month's pay to the undernoted two officials of this office who were all along on this --- special work.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
B. Ramaswamaiya
Muzrai Commissioner.

D.O.No.1527 TADB dated 1st April 1926 from the Comptroller to Government to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In continuation of my D.O.letter No.1450 dated 19th March -- 1926, I herewith send a report drawn up by Mr. L. Bishtopanth Badami, Deputy Comptroller, This work which has been dragging on for nearly five years has after all been brought to a stage which might be --- treated as final. As the officials had to work ungrudgingly and - out of office hours, the grant of a bonus as proposed by the Deputy Comptroller may kindly be recommended to Government."

"C o p y"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

Note dated 31st March 1926 from Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In my compilations of credits and debits from 1900-1 to -- 1919-20, I have kept up to the Comptroller's ledger totals as the constant factors to which all the results should be worked up; and the differences between the ledger totals and my compilations have therefore been entered as untraced.

2. The question of finding out the institutions to which these untraced amounts related, was discussed at the conference held on 9th December last and it was resolved that some further work should be done in this direction.

The Deputy Comptroller who was a member of the conference expressed his inability to have the work attended to in his office, save in respect of items relating to the last 3 years from 17-18 to 19-20. I was then asked to take up the work and to do as much as possible till the end of March on the lines indicated with the -- help of the 2 special duty accountants whose services were placed back at my disposal.

3. The task of revising old investments in Promotes in sums of Rs.100/- and multiples thereof was also assigned to me and as also the compilation of the final statements of Institutionwar balances on the lines decided upon.

4. I then took up the work under instructions of the Muzrai -- Commissioner (Mr. C.S. Balasundaram Iyer) who even permitted me in anticipation of Government sanction to go out to District and Taluk stations according to the necessities of the work.

5. Shortly after I took up the work, I was abruptly told to stop' the Government having considered that all further work might be done by the accountants themselves, with some help from the -- Comptroller's office.

6. Just then, I had completed the revision of the Promotes -- investments and the final statements of balances in the form ---- prescribed were being copied out under my instructions and as a matter of convenience I was asked to have the statements completed under my supervision. This work has been completed. I have not however signed any of these statements as I wished to have them ---

them carefully compared in the Muzrai Commissioner's Office

7. Now as regards the untraced amounts, I have in my notes of July last, explained in detail how these have resulted in the re-construction of the detailed accounts at this distance of time on the basis of old records disconnected here and there -- by misplacements, loss and destruction of papers under the rules.

8. These untraced amounts come under three heads:--

(a) Not fixed even by Districts.

There is only one item viz.,

a credit of Rs.138-14-8 included in the General ledger as Tree Rent in 1904-5. It is for the Comptroller's Office to say what this is, it may be a discredit of Excise Revenue, or it may be a Tree-rent due to a Muzrai Institution somewhere.

B. Fixed by Districts but not fixed by Taluks.

I shall explain this later on.

c. Fixed by Districts and Taluks but not fixed by Institutions

A detailed statement of these items, talukwar with particulars of dates as far as possible has been already furnished. On taking up the further work assigned to me at the Conference, I had almost all the items of Bangalore District traced by Institutions, these have been duly noted in the final statements of the several Taluks; but they have yet to be incorporated in the credits and debits of the respective Institutions.

Any further work that might be considered necessary in this respect might be easily done by the accountants themselves. I would only beg leave to re-iterate my suggestion-accepted at the Conference too-viz., that the items of the three years from 1917 18 to 1919-20, the items of Mysore, Tarikere and Belur Taluks of the years prior to 1906-07 and also the more important items of Transfer entries etc., be traced before closing the work. As I have said the work will not take long and the accountants themselves will be able to do the work in ten or fifteen days at the most.

Other items may be dropped as either not possible or worth while to trace.

9. Now reverting to the items untraced by Taluks (B above) these relate entirely to the first 7 years from 1900-1 to 1906-7

13

wished to examine once more, the old papers and stray notes jotted down from time to time in view to tabulate the items so as to facilitate the work of tracing them, if still desired, without any further reference to me. I have completed this work also.

10. On a close examination of the papers and notes, I discovered that by an elaborate examination of the old books, bill files etc., available in the records of the Comptroller's Office -carried out from time to time-Mr. Subba Rao has practically traced several items, but in the pressure of work changing from time to time the notes jotted by him had all been frittered away without any serious --- attempt to tabulate them in a clear form. These notes luckily caught my eyes now and after a careful study I have tabulated the results and incorporated them in the statement given under the -- following para

11. The items of "Taluk untraced" amounting to minus Rs.3387-14-7 in the net, now stand classified as hereunder:--

	Particulars.	Credits.	Debits.	
A	Difference in cash grant-	755- 12- 9	13- 15- 4	
	" Gograss	29- 7-10	50- 1 2	
B	Personal allowance	12338- 3- 9	2200- 13 4	
	Kodigi	2- 6- 8	139- 4 8	(Pavgada)
	T.A. Muz. Suptd's office Ahobala Sastri	-	0- 3 1	
	Sayer bill	-	6- 1- 3	(Chilāldhug Srah
	Gumbuz.	-	300- 0 0	Advance of 91 adjusted in 02-03
E	Miscellaneous rounding off (2-3)	0 - 3- 5	1- 10- 10	
C.	Traced by:--			
	i Institutions	2313- 9- 2	12307- 0- 1	
	ii Taluks.	2809-13- 9	2573- 12- 11	
	iii Transfer entries	1061-15- 9	3869- 13- 4	
	iv Other particulars	688- 3- 3	321- 9- 0	
D	Still to be traced.	9912- 7- 9	9109-11- 3	
	Total.	30512- 4- 1	33900-2 - 8	
		Net debit	3387- 14- 7	

13(a) These amounts relate to the two years 1900-1 and 1901-02 and represent the differences between the Budget provisions under allotments and Disbursements and the totals of Taluk-wise actuals under the heads shown in the Ready Money Grant Registers. It is not possible to distribute these amounts by Talukas ~~xxx~~ or institutions.

(b) These amounts relate chiefly to the two years 1900-1 and 1901-02 and represent the differences between the items the net difference to be met from Loans.

14. The amounts shown in the Exhibits, the Exhibits and the Appendix are calculated from the figures of the respective Exhibits.

15. (a) to (d) These questions, it is submitted, should be addressed to the Collectors of the respective Talukas and an attempt may be made to trace out of the Exhibits.

(e) to (i) These questions relate to transfer entries, re-entries and other details which are not shown in the papers mainly relied on in the preparation of the Exhibits, i.e. -- Exhibits, and it is not possible to trace out in the papers, the figures of the Budget Estimates for the year and other references and remarks in the Budget Estimates.

(j) This question is framed in general particulars, it is submitted that the information can be obtained from the Collectors of Estimates and -- Appendix to the Report of the Director of Agriculture and the Deputy Secretary's Office. These questions are not relevant to the Exhibits.

(k) This question is still more general in character and it is submitted that the Director of Agriculture is the proper officer.

16. (a) to (d) As stated above, it is impossible to trace the amounts in Kolaba and Ratnagiri Districts, as the Taluka Books are reported to have been destroyed in pursuance of specific orders "not to destroy any records" passed in July 1921 and further orders to the same effect subsequently issued by the Revenue and Tuzrai Commissioner.

15

17. On the debit side the items marked x represent the differences between the District totals and the details hitherto collated from the lists of payments. These lists are still available in the Comptroller's records and the untraced items may be considerably reduced by a further perusal of these lists of payments. The work may not take long the --- accountants should be able to ~~xxxx~~ do it in 4 or 5 days.

18. I have taken the liberty of recording my views of what is possible in respect of these untraced amounts. It may perhaps be argued that the net difference is not much and ~~the fact~~ the whole may be either written off or adjusted by credit or debit to some particular institutions ~~where~~ whose -- funds might not be seriously affected by such a course. But I would submit that such a course would be justifiable only as a last resort - after exhausting all possible means of tracing the items themselves.

the accounts

19. After all, the further work of tracing these items may not take long. I am confident that the two accountants if exclusively --- set on ~~xxxx~~ this work will be able to complete the work as far as may be possible in two weeks.

20 I submitted my statement of balances up to 30th June 1920 so far as back as July 1923 and it has taken nearly 3 years to have -- been verified by the Comptroller's office and two have the balances -- brought up to June 1935.

The further work of clearing the untraced items will not -- require more than 2 or 3 weeks; and considering the time and energy expended so far I beg leave to express a hope that the short period required to render the results perfect will not be stinted.

21. As for myself I shall consider my work in this respect as closed with this note ; I shall ~~xxxxxxx~~ of course be ever ready -- to render any advice and guidance that may be required by the accountants in case they should be instructed to look into the untraced items on the lines indicated and also to incorporate the items traced in the final statements.

"C o p y"

Muzrai Commissioner.

T/ Mao

Taluk	Institution	Rs
	2	
Kal	Welgod Chuttra	42 . .
d	Sri Srikanteswara Swamy	1992 1 ?
arabad	Sakaleswara Swamy.	- 7 4
ekal	Attibele Nanjundeswara & Chennakesava.	- 6 8
ssnagiri	Ranganathaswami	10 3 .
-alvalli	Sri Ranganathaswami & Lingasamudram	228 8 7
	Total	22 4 11

Debits

ekal	Kodandaramaswami	3 .
Kolar	Kogil ahalli Makkan	3,9 6
oga	Lakemi narayanaswami	55 4 .
agar	Keladi Rameswara	32 . .
Gorab.	Ranganathaswami	16 8 .
	Brapp's Temple	3 8
Belur	Bridge Ganesha.	51 5 6
anjarabad	Baichazzar Sankarpane	214 14 2
	Uppinangadi Subramanyaswami	24 13 7
Hunsur	Periapatna Mahimakamma	42 . .
agamang	Bindiganavale Garudadevaru & Chenna-Kesava Swami	120 15
	Nagamangala Chuttra	74 8 .
Narasipur	Mudakatore Mallikarjunaswami	220 5 6
	Mangu Tripurasundaramma	104 6 .
nirangapatna	Melkote Narayanaswami	75 12 .
	S. patam Ranganathaswami	118 3 .
	" Gangadhareswara Swami	40 . .
	" Kanighattada Venkataramanaswami	135 . .
anjanpd	Jammadi Nageswara	7 4 .
	Kalale Lakemi Kanta Swami	780 14 1

dya	Maddur Narasimhaswamy		55
ggadad – Koli	Varadarajaswami		80
mdlipal-	Himavat Gopalaswamy		44
Krishnarajapet-	Kikkeri Subbarao Chettiar		40
rajnaga-	Chamarajeswara Swami		96
Mysore	Sanskrit College – June 01		9000
		Total	1230
	Traced by Talukas.		
Kolar	03–4 – Repairs		741
Chikmagalur	00–01 – – do –		457
Gundlupet-	06–7		277
Yedatore	00–01 · + 283-5-11 + (409-8-5) } 01–02 · + 361-10-0		23
Srirangapatam	03–4 Audited Mysore Bill No 879 of June 04		1097
		Total	2809 13
Hunsur	00–01 – 14–9–0 } Mysore Establishment Bill 02–03 – 21–1–6 }		35 10
Nagamangala	00–01 – audited Bill R 25 · } 02–03 – Bill No 812 – R 114–1–9 }		25 114 1
T. Narasipur	01–02 Bill No 770 R 75–8–3 } 02–03 ——— 926 R 69–2–4 }		75 8 69 2
Srirangapatam	01–02 V. No 809 – Establishment Bill		116
	02–03 (advance of June 00)		465 1
Mysore	02–03 – 1539–6–0 Advance – 7–4–5		1532 1
Nanjangud	01–02 – Voucher No 873		27
Palace	06–7		112 11
		Total	2646 – 72
		Rs	25 3

Transfer Entries

		Credits	
Salary 01-02		Adjustment [illegible]	[illegible]
" 03-04			[illegible]
Withholding			[illegible]
" 02-03	218	[illegible]	[illegible]
Stationery			
00-01	1758	Adjustment [illegible]	[illegible]
" 02-03	205	[illegible]	[illegible]
" 03-04		Adjustment [illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	264	[illegible]	[illegible]
" 00-01		Adjustment [illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	117	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

Juniper Curtis



Note on Items still to be traced

Kolar				**Credits**
04-05	1	.	.	} Differences in the mugrai ledgers.
05-06	5	8	.	
Coldurg				
03-04	1315	15		Two items. - Rs 1314-1-0 & 1-14-0 } Could not be traced as the credit Statements filed in the Comptroller's office do not work up to Ledger Totals
Kolar				
" 01-02	6	9		
" 03-04	309	1	3	- July 111-10-0 & May 197-7-3 } — do — do — do —
				All the above may be looked into again & the Statements to the Slip available.
				The other items of Credit may be given up as not possible to trace
				Debits
Bangalore 02-3	518	15	8	The Lists of Payments are missing in the file for 6 months from January to June 8. The amount represents the difference between the Ledger totals & the Compilation from Bank records for these months:- October - 0-10-8 March - (14-4-9) May 532-10-2 } not present to trace
Kolar 00-1	196	1	11	Total of differences as the Bank Ledgers & the List of Payments - July 7-6, Sept 2-0, Nov 1-0, March 1-0, April 5-4-1

				(Arrears Credited)
Kotlan 01-2	562	12	11	Nov. 433-0-0 Jan. 129-12-10 562-12-10
02-3	27	4	8	Nov. 27-11-6, Dec. 2-0-7, Feb. 25-0-0 March 17-13-0 April 2-0-6, June 0-12-1 Total 27-4-8
03-4	802	12	8	Nov. These may be looked into again
Jumkun 00-1	144	1	6	Surplus bill Jany 80-6-7 June 50-0-0 Embraced Jany 13-10-11 Bills missing in file Total 144-1-6
01-2	188	11	1	Surplus Bills Sept. 172-0-0 Dec. 10-0-0 June 6-11-1
02-3	212	3	6	Bills missing
Shamsya 02-3 Sup	45	7	4	The lists of payments may be looked into again
Kadun				
05-6	441	13		Differences in the Ledgers - may be looked into again
06-7	-	-	1	
Hassan				
01-2	76	2	9	Not possible
03-4	586	3	7	
Jalingo 00/01	1785	8	10	August 1-0-0 Sept. 5-2-1, Oct. 121-7-8 Jany 0-9-1 Feb. 15-0-0 March 430-13-0 May 28-2-4 June 66-0-0



25

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore. 21.....

No. b 43/Mag 7 [illegible]

From

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government

Revenue *Department*

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.C.226 of 1922-23 dated 28th October 1925 forwarding a report of the result of the verification of balances of Muzrai Institutions and suggesting that the various points referred to in Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer's note may be discussed at a conference consisting of yourself, Revenue Secretary to Government, Deputy Comptroller and Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer and the balances of Muzrai Institutions fixed finally at the conference, I am directed to state that Government approve of your proposal and that you may accordingly take necessary further action in the matter.

The Comptroller has also been requested to depute the Deputy Comptroller or some other suitable Officer to attend the

Conference. Government would be glad if settlement of balances at the credit of Muzrai Institutions is submitted before the 15th December 1925. The statements received with your letter under reply are herewith returned for necessary action.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/- B. Srinivasa Iyengar,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Memo No. dated, Bangalore, the 2 November 1925.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, for information.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

MUZ: 271-25-27

Revised draft.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

*****

Read:-

X 1. G.O. No. [illegible]-501/Muz. F. 5-26 dated 19th March 1904, passing orders regarding the acceptance of balances of Muzrai Institutions as on 30th June 1900 worked out by the Comptroller and the maintenance and audit of Muzrai accounts for the future.

Y I 2. G. O. No. 1-10/Muz.618-76-2 dated 1st July 1921 re-engaging the services of Mr. A.Krishnaswamy Iyer, retired Under Secretary, Muzrai department for a period of five months (subsequently extended from time to time) to verify the [illegible] debits of the Muzrai Institutions for the period when the accounts were last revised in G.O. No. 892-501 dated 19th March 1904, and to fix the balances to the credit of each individual institution as on 30th June 1920.

X X 3. G. O. No. 1824-5/Muz. Revenue dated 2nd November 1925 directing the Comptroller to depute one of his Assistants for the verification of the balances of the Muzrai Institutions as worked out by Mr. Krishnaswamy Iyer.

X W 4. Letter No. 738/T.A.D. B. dated 14th June 1926 from the Comptroller to Government [illegible] the final move on the letter [illegible] balance of Muzrai Institutions [illegible] 30th June 1920. (To be printed [illegible] Annexure A.)

FD 5. G. O. No. 729-30/Muz. [illegible]-26-4 dated 1st

Case No. 1475 - 6/mwor 271-25--2

Date, B[illegible], 19th May 8.

29

June 1900 and accepted by Government in their order of 19th March 1904 read above. In that order, Government also prescribed the procedure for the maintenance and audit of Muzrai Accounts for the future and directed that ~~for the future~~ the detailed accounts of major institutions should be maintained in the Comptroller's Office, the Muzrai Department being responsible for maintaining the detailed accounts of minor institutions.

2. The accounts of minor institutions not having been separately maintained in the Muzrai Department in accordance with the directions of Government and the Taluk accounts being defective the Muzrai Accounts got in got into confusion and there were wide variations in the aggregate totals of balances of Minor Institutions, as shown in the Taluk Accounts and in the Comptroller's Ledger, and a reconciliation of the two sets of figures was found impossible. Difficulty was also felt in apportioning to the several institutions, the investments made in the 6½% Mysore Government Loan out of the Muzrai Surplus balances. It was therefore considered necessary to examine the credits and debits of all institutions - Major and Minor - for the previous 30 years ending with 30th June 1910. For this purpose, Mr. Venkatarangaiya Iyer was placed on special duty and given a staff for the establishment. The work not having been completed within the period originally fixed, the special duty was extended from time to time.

3. The statements compiled by him and submitted

30

X 4

with his report dated X 24th September 1926 were verified in the Comptroller's Office by the Memorandum of the result of such verification furnished by the Comptroller with his letter No. 7[illegible]/TAD. B. dated 1st June 1926 is printed as Annexure A.

4. With a view to arrive at the balances up to end of June 1926, the Comptroller was entrusted with the compilation of the figures of the subsequent five years, i.e., from 1921-22 to 1925-26. A statement of receipts and payment of the said titles in the said years was prepared by the Comptroller together with detailed note pointing out the variations observed in the course of compilation, which is printed as Annexure B.

5. The work of consolidating the transactions of the two periods was done under the direction of the Mukhtar Commissioner and a Committee consisting of the Mukhtar Commissioner and the Rev. Secretary and the Deputy Comptroller have scrutinised the figures. In accordance with the solution arrived at the conference of these officers, the final statements have been worked out and verified in the Comptroller's Office. The Mukhtar Commissioner has forwarded these statements together with a note received from the Comptroller with his D. O. No. [illegible], T.A.D. B. dated 1st April 1926 and says that the balances arrived at, may be treated as final (Printed as Annexure C.).

6. The following principles have been adopted in the compilation of accounts :-

debits of institutions and of the closing balances at the end of 30th June 1965:-

(a) Credits not invested to institutions, Savings under Short Terms, Personal Deposits &c., and from other unallotted items are shown as Reserve and utilised to the extent necessary for wiping out Debit balances of institutions which have no needs for adjusting them.

(b) In the case of those institutions where the ~~ordinary debit balance~~ is due either to the estimated income not having been realised or to the non-drawal of Malnad Cash Grants, the deposit balances have been returned pending adjustment from subsequent credits.

(c) Amounts invested in Government of India Promissory Notes pertain according to the credit balances of institutions ^ available at the time of Valuation have been altered with a view to either write off the debit balances, if any, of the Institutions or to round off the investments so that they may remain multiples of Rs.100/-.

(d) The investment in the Mysore Government 6½% State Loan Bond shown in a lump sum against Minor Institutions in each Taluk is directed in G.O. No.395-C/Inz. F.75-76-44 dated 10th September 1964 pending the determination of the individual balances of these institutions has been allocated to such of the Taluks have a due balance available for investment ^ pro rata. In a few instances where sufficient funds ~~are~~ for the minor institutions to cover the investment allotted to the Taluk are not available, the amount proposed to ^ such have not been allotted to a major institution having surplus

in the same Taluk or transferred to another Taluk in the same District.

(e) The accumulated interest on these investments has been eliminated and kept as a distinct item for apportionment later, after adjustment of the debit balances under Muzrai Establishment Fund, agreeably to Government Order No. 902-5/Muz. 169-75-4 dated 23rd January 1976.

7. The net result of the transactions worked out to end of June 1975 stands as follows:-

Cash. - Total.

1. Cash balances of individual institutions. (Major & Minor.)		Rs.8,09,727-13-8
2. Amounts unallotted:-		
(a) Interest earnings, Loan to be utilised to wipe out the debit balance of the establishment fund and to be apportioned to Minor Institutions later.	"	70,850- 1-6
(b) Untraced credits &c., kept as Reserve.	"	25,900- 1-3
Total as per Comptroller's Ledger.	"	9,05,570- 0-5

Investments.

Government of India Promissory Notes. (Face value)	"	3,72,700-0-0
Mysore Government Promissory Notes. (Face value.)	"	5,25,450-0-0
Total.	"	8,98,150-0-0

A statement showing the balances of institutions both in cash and in investment is appended hereto. (Annexure D.) The unallotted amount of Rs. 2?,?30 made up of stipends, non-detailed credits &c., will be set apart as a "Reserve"

identified by the Taluk authorities, ~~An up-to-date list of all institutions - Major and Minor should at once be got prepared~~.

~~(iii)~~ That different names are given to one and the same institution;

that information is wanting as regards the place where certain institutions are situated; and

that there is no information as regards the institutions that go under a group or have one account.

The Deputy Commissioners of Districts are requested to have these defects rectified by having an up-to-date list of institutions - Major and Minor prepared in each Taluk and verified with the entries in the statements appended to this order.

(iii) It is pointed out that the transactions of certain institutions have not been included in the prescribed form. In some institutions of Temple Committees, Government such as P. Land C. Grant and the Superintendent of Police Fund &c. The Rural Committees are requested to see that it is necessary to include all the items of income and expenditure in the prescribed form. Very early.

16. The balance of [illegible] now stood to the credit of the Public Works Deposit [illegible] No. 6[illegible]-C/[illegible]. 1-2-[illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

to pay special attention to these lists of work.

11. The work connected with the verification of the individual pay sheets of Major Units & Minor Units will be done in the Head Office by the Controller and Auditor General's Office. It is from this Office that the periodical verification of the cash balances in the Collectors' books in accordance with the procedure laid down in the G.O. of 7th June, 1930, will be done.

12. Separate pay lists for the Special Duty Staff will be prepared in the Head Office by the Special Duty Officer and the Special Duty Staff will be paid in the Head Offices of the Controller of Military Accounts and the Financial Commissioner's Offices.

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Financial Commissioner and
" Controller to Government.

TSR

36

App.F.VI-G1.] B650

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 17.5.26

Signed by Wm. C. Rangachariar
Ram Sabha

Submitted for approval
Rev. Secy

The clerks are necessary
17/5.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Misc

File No. 271-25

Serial No. 3

No. 1469

To The Chief Secy

Dear Sir,

As per copy of the Statement showing the final balances of Mrs Jeffries in the State have to be prepared, I am write to do request you to kindly depute by with the special duty clerks for this purpose & both here to furnish the above at your earliest convenience.

Yours

17/5

Please see overleaf

17/5/26

R. S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mer

File No. 271-25

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 1481 ons

Date of issue .. 21.5.26

Signed by .....

To The Superintendent,
Govt Press
Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed ~~Honorary~~ herewith to ~~send~~ a sample form ~~of the Statement~~ for preparing press-copies of certain statements to be printed as Annexure to the Govt order ~~directing the publication~~ of the final balances of the Imperial Instns in the State & request you to kindly print & supply 1000 copies (printed only on one side of the paper) before Saturday the 22nd inst. ~~the end of this month~~.

Yours &c

Secretary

These forms (1000 in No.) were received & distributed by Mr M (in office where they were delivered at 1 pm today

BL 18/5/26

WDCR-CB—150 (1925)

Revise 2

18/5/26

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

D.O.C 1326 of 25-6 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 21st May 1926.

22 G. 1406

Muz 271-25-5

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.1405/Muz.d ted 17th instant requesting me to depute both the Special Duty -- clerks to prepare press copies of the final statement of Muzrai balances, I write to inform you that one of the -- clerks is on privilege leave.

I have directed the other clerk (Mr.A. Subba Rao Head Accountant) to report himself for duty at the Muzrai Secretariat from to-morrow.

Yours sincerely,

C.S. Balasundaramayya

To

C.Rangaratha Rao Sahèb, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Muzrai Branch Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

22-5-26

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

App.F.VI-G1.17

SUBJECT.....

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Weaving

File No. 271-25

Serial No. 6

D O

No. 1529 dy 2-6-26

Confidential

To The Muzaffarnagar

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter no C. 1326 of 25-26 dy 22nd May 1926 stating that Mr. T. Subba Rau has been deputed to work here in connection with the copying out of slips of Mar: Balances, I am desired to send herewith the slips read with your letter no. 226 of 1922-23 dy 5.4.26 & forming annexure 2 of G.O. No. 1475: 6/Misc 27-25-2 dy 19.5.26 read [illegible]

over

that these Slips may be
copied in the new
form appd & sent to
the Govt Press to print to supply
1000 Copies. The Copies
of the form will be sent
to you on receipt from the
Govt Press. The Mss Slips
may be sent to the Press Direct
and passed for Print as Early
as possible. The Clerk deputed for the
purpose may be retained in your office.
The other annexures
to the G.O. refd to above have
already been sent to the
Govt Press with Mess instructions

Yours

Rev 16/6

16/6/26

41

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 1475-76-Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Balances at the Credit of the Muzrai Institutions in the State.

Passes orders *re* the acceptance of the —— on 30th June 1925, as per statements compiled by the Muzrai Commissioner and verified by the Comptroller.

READ—

Government Order No. 2892-901—Muz. F. 5-96, dated 19th March 1904, passing orders regarding the acceptance of balances of Muzrai Institutions as on 30th June 1900, worked out by the Comptroller and the maintenance and audit of Muzrai accounts for the future.

2. Government Order No. 1-10—Muz. 618-20-2, dated 1st July 1921, re-engaging the services of Mr. A. Mrityunjaya Iyer, retired Under Secretary, Muzrai Department, for a period of five months (subsequently extended from time to time) to verify the credits and debits of the Muzrai Institutions from the period when the accounts were last reviewed in Government Order No. 2892-901, dated 19th March 1904, and to fix the balances at the credit of each individual Institution, as on 30th June 1920.

3. Government Order No 582-3—Muz. 75-22-24, dated the 3rd November 1923, directing the Comptroller to depute one of his Assistants for the verification of the balances of the Muzrai Institutions as worked out by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer.

4. Letter No. 782—T.A.D.B., dated 14th January 1925, from the Comptroller to Government, forwarding the final note on the determination of balance of Muzrai Institutions as they stood on 30th June 1920.

5. Government Order No 729-30—Muz. 57-24-4, dated 21st November 1924, and No. 1284-5—Muz. 1-24-9, dated 2nd March 1925, entrusting to the Comptroller the compilation of credits and debits of Muzrai Institutions, for the five years 1920-21 to 1924-25.

6. Letter No. 793—T.A.D.B., dated 14th November 1925, from the Comptroller to Government, forwarding with a note, a statement of credits and debits for the five years 1920-21 to 1924-25.

7. Letter No. 643—Muz. 75-22, dated 26th November 1925, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, approving of his proposal that the various points referred to in the Special Duty Officer's Note regarding the verification of the balances of individual Institutions might be discussed at a Conference consisting of the Muzrai Commissioner, Deputy Comptroller and the Revenue Secretary.

8. Letter No 226 of 1922-23. dated the 5th April 1926, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the final Institutionwar statements of balances as on 30th June 1925, with the Comptroller's Note thereon (to be printed as Annexure A).

ORDER No. 1475-76—MUZ 271-25 2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

Prior to 1904, there was no satisfactory system of audit of Muzrai Accounts in the Comptroller's Office, and the balances of individual Institutions were not known. These were worked out by the Comptroller up to end of June 1900 and accepted by Government in their Order of 19th March 1904 read above. In that order, Government also prescribed the procedure for the maintenance and audit of Muzrai Accounts for the future and directed that the detailed accounts of Major Institutions should be maintained in the Comptroller's Office, the Muzrai Department being responsible for maintaining the detailed accounts of minor Institutions.

2. The accounts of minor Institutions not having been separately maintained in the Muzrai Department in accordance with the directions of Government and the Taluk accounts being defective, the Muzrai Accounts again got into confusion and there were wide variations in the aggregate totals of balances of minor Institutions, as shown in the Taluk Accounts and in the Comptroller's Ledger, and a reconciliation of the two sets of figures was found impossible. Difficulty was also felt in apportioning to the several Institutions the investments made in the 6½ per cent Mysore Government Loan, out of

the Muzrai Surplus balances. It was therefore considered necessary to examine the credits and debits of all Institutions—major and minor—for the previous 20 years ending with 30th June 1920. For this purpose, Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer was placed on Special Duty and given a suitable establishment. The work not having been completed within the period originally fixed, the Special Duty was extended from time to time.

3. The statements compiled by him and submitted with his report, dated 24th September 1923 were verified in the Comptroller's Office and a Memorandum of the result of such verification was furnished by the Comptroller with his letter No. 782—T A.D. B., dated 14th January 1925 to printed as Annexure A

4. With a view to arrive at the balances up to end of June 1925, the Comptroller was entrusted with the compilation of the figures of the subsequent five years, *i.e.*, from 1920-21 to 1924-25. A statement of receipts and payments of Institutions in the aggregate for these five years was furnished by the Comptroller together with a detailed note pointing out the distinguishing features observed in the course of compilation.

5 The work of consolidating the transactions of the two periods was done under the direction of the Muzrai Commissioner and a Committee consisting of the Muzrai Commissioner and the Revenue Secretary and the Deputy Comptroller have scrutinised the figures. In accordance with the resolutions arrived at the Conference of these Officers, the final statements have been worked out and verified in the Comptroller's Office. The Muzrai Commissioner has forwarded these statements together with a note received from the Comptroller with his D. O. No. 1527—T.A.D.B., dated 1st April 1926, and recommends that the balances arrived at may be adopted as final (printed as Annexure A)

6. The following principles have been adopted in the compilation of credits and debits of Institutions, and of the closing balances at the end of 30th June 1925:—

(*a*) Credits not traced to institutions, savings under Bhatamanya, personal stipends, etc., and such other unallotted items are shown as *Reserve* and utilised to the extent necessary for wiping out debit balances of institutions which have no means for adjusting them.

(*b*) In the case of those institutions where the overdrawal is due either to the estimated income not having been realised or to the non-drawal of Malnad Cash Grants, the debit balances have been retained for being adjusted from subsequent credits.

(*c*) Amounts invested in Government of India Promissory Notes *pro-rata* according to the surplus balances of institutions available at the time of investment have been altered with a view to either wipe off the debit balances, if any, of the Institutions or to round off the investments so that they may be multiples of Rs 100.

(*d*) The investment in the Mysore Government 6½ per cent State Loan Bond shown in a lump sum against minor Institutions in each Taluk as directed in Government Order No. 395-6—Muz F 75-22-44 dated 10th September 1924, pending the determination of the individual balances of these Institutions has been allocated to such of them as have surplus balance available for investment *pro-rata*. In a few instances where sufficient funds among the minor Institutions to cover the investment allotted to the Taluk are not available, the amount proposed for such investment has either been allotted to a Major Institution having surplus in the same Taluk or transferred to another Taluk in the same District.

(*e*) The accumulated interest on these investments has been eliminated and kept as a distinct item for apportionment later, after adjustment of the debit balances under Muzrai Establishment Fund, agreeably to Government Order No. 902-3—Muz 169-23-4, dated 23rd January 1926

7. The net result of the transactions worked out to end of June 1925 stands as follows:—

Cash—

	Rs.	a.	p
1. Cash balances of individual Institutions (Major and Minor) ..	8,09,899	15	3
2 Amounts unallotted —			
(*a*) Interest earnings of 6½ per cent loan to be utilised to wipe out the debit balance of the Establishment Fund and to be apportioned to Minor Institutions later	70,852	1	6
(*b*) Untraced credits, etc, kept as Reserve	22,817	15	8
Total as per Comptroller's Ledger ..	9,03,570	0	5

Investments—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Government of India Promissory Notes (Face value)	2,72,700	0	0
Mysore Government Promissory Notes	6,25,450	0	0
Total ..	8,98,150	0	0

No. 1475-76 -Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

A statement showing the balances of institutions both in cash and in investment is appended hereto (Annexure B). The unallotted amount of Rs. 22,818 made up of stipends, non-detailed credits, etc., will be set apart as a " Reserve " for meeting claims, if any, that may be preferred on behalf of institutions, after due investigation.

8. Government are pleased to accept the concurrent recommendations of the Muzrai Commissioner and the Comptroller and direct that the individual balances of institutions, as now arrived at be adopted with effect from 1st July 1925 As regards the investments, the alterations now proposed will, to avoid unnecessary adjustments, be given effect to for purpose of earning interest from the next half-year for which it is due.

9. The Comptroller has also brought to notice the following points in the statements now prepared which require to be attended to early by instituting further investigation :—

(i) The balance fixed in the Government Order of 1904 has been repeated in the case of nearly 400 institutions which have had no transactions whatever during these 25 years An investigation as to whether institutions are in action should be instituted by the Muzrai Commissioner and all defunct institutions should be eliminated and their balances should be added to the *Reserve*

(ii) It is stated that some of the institutions shown in the final statements cannot be identified by the Taluk authorities, that different names are given to one and the same institution, that information is wanting as regards the place where certain institutions are situated, and that there is no information as regards the institutions that go under a group or have one account

The Deputy Commissioners of Districts are requested to have these defects rectified by having an up-to-date list of institutions, Major and Minor, prepared in each Taluk and verified with the entries in the statements appended to this order.

(iii) It is pointed out that the transactions of certain institutions have resulted in an overdrawal owing to non-realisation of grants due from Government, such as Malnad Cash Grant and the non-realisation of income from land etc

The Muzrai Commissioner is requested to issue necessary instructions for the realisation of this income and adjust the overdrawal very early.

10. The balances of individual institutions now passed for final adoption should be incorporated in the Pass Book introduced in Government Order No. 972-3—Muz. 1-24-5, dated 7th January 1925 and the balances worked out up-to-date in accordance with the foregoing directions The Audit Staff sanctioned in Government Order No. 1285-6—Muz. 245-25-2, dated 9th April 1926 should be instructed to pay special attention to these items of work.

11. The work connected with the verification of the individual balances of Muzrai Institutions-Major and Minor—which was commenced in 1921 has taken five years to come to a termination and Government trust that the Muzrai Accounts will be placed on a satisfactory basis for the future and that the Muzrai Commissioner will take necessary action in consultation with the Comptroller for the periodical verification of the departmental balances with the Comptroller's books in accordance with the procedure laid down in the Government Order of 7th January 1925.

12. Separate orders will issue regarding the bonus claimed by Mr. Mrityunjaya Iyer for the Special Duty and on behalf of the officials of the Comptroller's and Muzrai Commissioner's Offices.

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

To—The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

ANNEXURE A.

Note on the Verification of balances of Muzrai Institution at the end of June 1925.

In the detailed talukwar statements of institutions now prepared by the Special Duty Officer and sent to this office for completion, the closing balance at the end of 30th June 1925 has been worked out taking into account the following:—

(1) The closing balance at the end of June 1920 ;

(2) The net results of the transactions for the next five years; and

(3) Amount deducted from or added on to the investment in Government of India Promissory Notes held by institutions *Vide* column 3 of the statement, with a view either to wipe off the debit balance of institutions or round off the investments so that they may be in multiples of Rupees 100 according to the decisions of the Conference. The Special Duty Officer has neither compiled an abstract for the district as a whole nor agreed the balance for the State as a whole.

2. The balances as worked out by the Special Duty Officer is exhibited in column 4 of his statements and these include the balances of institutions whether creditor or debtor balances and also items which could not be allotted by him to any particular institution owing to his inability to trace several items, many of which were subsequently traced by this office and allotted to the proper institutions In fact, the figures entered in his present statements are those that he had arrived at long ago without taking into account the changes intimated by this office as the result of subsequent verification made by it.

3. The balances proposed for adoption by the Comptroller as explained in this office note submitted to Government with this office letter No. 782—T.A.D.B., dated 14th January 1925, are entered in column (5). Some of these figures even have been altered by the Special Duty Officer in this statement now prepared without however assigning any reason for the alterations. Those alterations have therefore been omitted by this office and the original figures taken into the district and provincial abstracts compiled by this office.

4. The balances finally proposed to be adopted according to the decision of the Conference is entered in column 6. Even here, in the case of some institutions, the resolution of the Conference has not been given effect to by the Special Duty Officer, (example) the debit balances of some have been allowed to stand instead of being omitted. In such cases the amount entered in column 6 has been suitably altered now by this office. It will be seen that there is not much variation between the figures shown in column (5) orginally proposed by the Comptroller and that in column 6 now proposed for adoption in accordance with the resolution of the Conference.

5. The net result of the transactions for the five years 1920-21 to 1924-25 worked out in this office and submitted to Government with letter No. 793—T.A.D.B., dated 14th November 1925 is entered in column 7.

6 In column 8 is shown the final balance of institutions as on 30th June 1925 being the total of columns 3, 6 and 7. But even this cannot be taken as final as the amount shown as investment, in lumpsum for minor institutions, interest on these investments, and other sundry items have not been distributed in the statement among the institutions to which they pertain.

The above observations apply to the statements compiled by the Special Duty Officer and sent to this office by the Muzrai Commissioner.

The following items of work have now been done by this office in completing the work :—

(1) The defects mentioned above have all been rectified.

(2) The investment shown in a lumpsum has now been allocated to the institutions according to their financial stability. In one or two instances, the amount proposed for investment has either been allotted to a major institution in the same taluk or transferred to other taluks in the same district owing to insufficiency of funds in the taluk to cover the investment.

(3) The accumulated interest on these investments has been eliminated and kept as a distinct item for apportionment, pending adjustment of the debit balance under Establishment Fund. (*Vide* Government Order No. 902-3—Muz. 169-23-4, dated 23rd January 1926).

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

7. The other sundry item such as Bhatamanya, credits for which there are no details are shown separately as "amounts unallotted" in the district and provincial statements compiled by this office and these appear in column 8 of the Special Duty officer's statements.

8. The amounts held as investments is shown in column 9. In the case of investments in Government of India Promissory Notes which are now readjusted to avoid small fractions the institutions can have the benefit of the interest earnings on the readjusted amounts of investments only from a future date after approval of final balances and reallotment and distribution of investments, by Government and not from 1st July 1925.

9. The names of the institutions which were reported by this office to Government as non-existing and those which had no transactions for the past two decades are included in the statement. These have to be gradually removed after further enquiry as pointed out in the previous reports.

10. There are certain institutions having debit balances at the end of June 1925, owing either to the estimated income not having been realised or to the non-drawal of Malnad Cash Grants. Necessary steps should be taken by the Muzrai Department to realise the income.

11. Abstract statements both districtwar and for the whole State exhibiting the transactions contained in the detailed statements are appended hereto. The figures in them are worked out from the balance as on 30th June 1920, originally proposed by this office. The net result as on 30th June 1925, is shown hereunder:—

		Rs.	a	p.
1	Cash Balance of Institutions	8,09,899	15	5
2.	Amounts unallotted:—			
	(*a*) Interest earnings to be transferred to Establishment Fund and to be apportioned to the institutions	70,852	1	6
	(*b*) Amount unallotted to be kept as reserve ..	22,817	15	8
	Total	9,03,570	0	5

This agrees with the ledger balance on 30th June 1925.

The amount shown as unallotted in the statement originally submitted to Government was Rs. 15,151-8-8. To this amount the following items are added

	Rs	a	p
	15,151	8	8
(1)	3,985	6	8
(2)	233	8	0
(3)	3,148	15	6
(4)	58	12	10
(5)	244	12	0
	22,817	15	8

(1) Amount of debit balance adjusted against credits in the subsequent period for which nil balance was adopted at first and the amount set free by adopting a balance less than the amount originally shown, as per decision of the Conference.

(2) Balance of amount remaining under the head Armistice.

(3) Amounts shown as investments and interest earnings on Bhatamanya in some taluks in the Mysore District.

(4) Amount shown as investment of miscellaneous temples in the Jagalur Taluk, and the interest earnings.

(5) Items for which details were wanting in the second period and which could not be apportioned to any institution.

12. The total investments on behalf of institutions on 30th June 1925, amount to Rs 8,98,150-0-0 and they are invested as under:—

	Rs	a	p
Government of India	2,72,700	0	0
Mysore Government	6,25,450	0	0
Total ..	8,98,150	0	0

13. The balances as now fixed do not represent the actual balance in the case of a few institutions, as the items shown as untraced by the Special Duty Officer have not yet been traced by him and allotted to the respective institutions. This office was however able to trace many of them as pointed out in previous reports.

It has been the practice all along to transfer funds of one institution to another for meeting expenditure. Just as the General Muzrai Fund is built up, with a view to render financial aid to institutions in need, a reserve fund of Rs. 22,817-15-8 made up of stipend,

non-detailed credits, etc., may now be set apart for meeting claims if any that may be preferred, at a future date, on behalf of institutions, after due investigation. In view of what is stated above the balances now proposed may be finally adopted and the work brought to a close once for all.

14. The final closing of this work, has been entrusted to this office and the officials of this office have *again to work* at it from the very commencement. The numerous corrections made in the detailed statements speak for themselves as to the quantity of work involved in arriving at the final balance. The totals had to be verified, abstract statements prepared and the totals agreed with the ledger balance. A perusal of these abstracts will give an idea of the nature and the difficulty of the work involved.

15. As the work has involved much strain on the officials and they had to work ungrudgingly and overtime, out of office hours, requests that a bonus equal to a month's pay may kindly be recommended to the undermentioned officials of this office.

1	Mr	V Narayaniengar	.. ..	Pay	Rs. 250
2	,,	M Venkatachar	.. ..	,,	,, 100
3	,,	H P Veda Murthi	.. ..	,,	,, 50

Corrigenda to G. O. No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926 (Appendix B).

Page No.	Item No.	Name of Taluk	For	Read
22	12	Bagepalli	-7-13-0	-7 13-10
37	8	Seringapatam ...	3000 300	3100 1100
	7	Do	1842-43-2000	-1842-3-2200
37	9	Do	4000 3100 1100	3000 300 4000
42	1	Gundlupet	1069-3-9	1066-3-9
55	3	Arkalgud		Read 3500 in a line with 1865
80	8	Challakere ...		Read 1865-79-200-500 in a line with 297-7-5
82	36	Davangere	6¼ per cent 100	6¼ per cent 1000
82	49	Do	do 300	do 500

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Muzrai Commissioner.

WD 1336—GPB—250—18-1-27

No. 1475-76—MUZ. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

ANNEXURE B.

Statement of Balances of Muzrai Institutions as on 30th June 1925.

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	HEAD QUARTERS.	Rs a. p.		Rs
1	Tirupati charities .. .	4,786 14 0		
2	Benares chattram	2,711 2 8		
3	Kalighat memorial .. .	—704 5 2		
4	Tulamasa Santarpane	17 2 0		
5	Chattram Savings (Lumpsum Grant)	17,999 0 1	6¼ per cent	50,000
6	Vyasarayaswami Matt at Sosale ..	2,688 14 11		
7	Palace Institutions ..	4,196 3 10		
	Total Headquarters ..	31,695 0 4	..	50,000

No. 1475-76—MUZ, 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

ANNEXURE B.

Statement of Balances of Muzrai Institutions as on 30th June 1925.

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	BANGALORE DISTRICT.	Rs a. p.		Rs.
	BANGALORE TALUK.			
1	Bangalore chattram	1,336 8 0		
2	Arunachaleswara at Tiruvannamalai ..	369 2 5		
3	Venkataramanaswami at Bangalore Fort ..	770 6 0	In 6½ per cent	200
4	Dharmarayaswami, Halsurpet, Bangalore City	1,609 5 9	Do	1,000
5	Chamrajpet Rameswara, Bangalore City ..	409 0 0		
6	Malleswaram Gopalakrishnaswami, Bangalore City	....		
7	Jambukeswaraswami at Trichy ..	....		
8	Ranganathaswami at Srirangam ..	200 0 0		
9	Kadumalleswaraswami at Malleswaram, Bangalore City	15 5 6		
10	Jangam Matt, Bangalore City ..	...		
11	Bangalore Sanskrit College ..	...		
12	Kolala Matt, Bangalore City	200 0 0		
13	Godurahana Matt do	556 0 0		
14	Pete Ranganathaswami do	....		
15	Ulsoorpet Prasanna Gangadhareswara, Bangalore City	130 8 0		
16	Balepet Narasimhaswami, Bangalore City ..	0 10 0		
17	Lal Doss Venkataramanaswami do ..	....		
18	Balepet Byatarayaswami do ..	....		
19	Sumatendra Anjaneyaswami at Chikpet, Bangalore City	74 10 4		
20	Karanjikere Anjaneyaswami, Bangalore City	218 8 0		
21	Madhwasarovarada Anjaneyaswami do ..	1 4 0		
22	Arlepet Vinayakadevar do ..	49 0 6	Do	200
23	Krishnarajapurada Kodandaramaswami ..	141 7 4		
24	Varthur Chennigarayaswami ..	214 8 4	In 6¼ per cent	500
25	Panchalapet Mahishasuramardhini, Bangalore City	16 15 9		
26	Fort Jalakanteswaraswami, Bangalore City.	8 2 6	Do	200
27	Fort Vinayakadevaru do ..	69 4 3		
28	Haveli Vinayakadevar, near old Taluk Cutcherry, Bangalore City	75 9 0		
29	Singapur Varadarajaswami	103 5 6		
30	Bettadasanpur Kasi Visweswaraswami ..	7 12 0		
31	Begur do	4 5 2		
32	Balepet Sugrivaswami and Venkataramanaswami, Bangalore City	6 7 0		
33	Hulimavu Someswaraswami	....		
34	Bettadasanpur Timmarayaswami ..	94 7 10	Do	200
35	Vasantapur Vallabharayaswami ..	862 10 4	Do	500
36	Varthur Kasi Visweswaraswami ..	110 9 1		
37	Gavipur Gangadhareswaraswami ..	46 7 6		
38	Kumaraswami on the hill near Sunkenahalli.	310 0 7		
39	Krishnarajapur Kasi Visweswaraswami ..	73 6 8		
40	Nagarathpet Chowdeswari, Bangalore City.	40 0 0		
41	Satyadharma Anjaneya in Uttaradi Matt, Chikpet, Bangalore City ..	44 2 4		
42	Chikpet Kasi Visweswaraswami, Bangalore City	42 3 0	Do	200
43	Mamoolpet Baneswara, Bangalore City ..	91 14 5	Do	200
44	Komptipet Jeebi Someswaraswami do ..	10 10 6		
45	Do Hanumantadevar do ..	7 6 3		
46	Komptipet Venkatramanaswami do ..	14 3 6		
47	Nagarthapet do do ..	81 4 5	In 6¼ per cent	200
48	Tippasettikolada Basaveswara do ..	35 11 8		
49	Begur Nagareswara	—118 4 10		

No. 1475-76—MUZ. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

ANNEXURE B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	BANGALORE TALUK—*contd.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
50	Jamkanpete Mounji Someswaraswami, Bangalore City ..	157 1 0	In 6¼ per cent	200
51	Madivalada Someswaraswami ..	56 5 0		
52	Yelahankada Venugopalaswami ..	248 9 5	Do	400
53	Venkatapur Venkataramanaswami ..	....		
54	Do Kalyana Sundareswara ..	5 12 6		
55	Agraharda Chennigarayaswami ..	152 4 5		
56	Yelahankada Nagareswaraswami ..	14 4 4		
57	Karikalukad Anjaneyaswami ..	53 7 0		
58	Katte Vinayakadevar	16 5 9		
59	Krishnarajpur Jeebi Hanumanthadevar ..	2 3 4		
60	Bangalore Sayar Chavadi Vinayaka ..	36 13 10		
61	Begur Chavadi do ..	0 1 4		
62	Settihalli Narasimhaswami ..	10 10 0		
63	Bangalore Pete Gopalakrishnaswami ..	....		
64	Agarada Gopalkrishnaswami ..	11 8 0		
65	Kengeri Someswaraswami	11 15 0		
66	Do Hanumantarayaswami ..	24 11 6		
67	Naranaghattada Malleswaram Anjaneya ..	108 0 0		
68	Bangalore Kasaba Katte Vinayaka ..	92 3 2		
69	Iyyarakandapurada Gopalaswamy ..	24 11 3		
70	Basavangudi Ramadevar, Bangalore City ..	12 13 5		
71	Hulimavoo Kodandaramaswami ..	.....		
72	Malleswarada Basavesvara, Bangalore City	2 6 0		
73	Mamoolpet Jangam Mutt, Bangalore City ..	567 14 11		
74	Siddapur Someswaraswamy ..	308 8 11	In 6¼ per cent	1,200
75	Gantiganahalli Visvanathaswamy ..	291 1 6	Do	1,400
76	Yedur Basavanna	159 9 6		
77	Juganahalli Anjaneya	148 0 0	Do	400
78	Jakkasandra do	36 0 0		
79	Sunkenahalli do .. .	—77 2 6		
80	Do Doda Basavanna .	137 14 0		
81	Do Mallikarjunaswami .	1,007 10 6		
82	Do Vinayakadevar	...		
83	Gavipur Hariharesvara . ..	95 0 0		
84	Sarabandepalyada Bana Sankaramma .	220 4 9		
85	Ibbalapur Karagadadevar	2 15 6		
86	Agarada Somesvara	1 0 0		
87	Iggalur Narayanadevar	2 13 1		
88	Bangalore Fort Anjaneyaswamy ..	69 0 0		
89	Bikasipur Anjaneyaswami	115 0 0		
90	Abbugere do	10 2 0		
91	Garugarahally do	0 15 0		
92	Chikbanavar Anjaneyadevar . ..	6 6 0		
93	Kengeri Konnanghattada Beeredevar ..	1 8 0		
94	Banaswadi Anjaneya .. .	14 4 0		
95	Vartur Basavanna	0 12 6		
96	Agrahar Gopalaswami	2 2 0		
97	Bangalore Prasanneswaraswamy ..	45 2 8		
98	Hessarghattada Narasimhaswamy ..	181 10 0		
99	Begur Jangam Gnanamurty Mutt .	23 7 6		
100	Kothanur Gurusiddeswara Mutt ..	96 6 6		
101	Puttenahalli Byredevar	35 0 0		
102	Krishnarajapur Basavanna .. .	20 0 0		
103	Nayandahalli Do .. .	41 0 0		
104	Chellaghattada Chowdeswari	113 13 6		
105	Byrapur Anjaneya	15 0 0		
106	Devargere Basavanna	40 0 0		
107	Bandi Kamalamma's Charities .	402 10 1		
108	Karisandrada Vinayaka	10 15 0		
109	Jaraganahalli Gangadhareswara ..	5 0 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-,2 dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Bangalore Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
110	Annapurada Kondala Mutt Veerabhadra ..	114 13 6		
111	Vartur Vinayaka	39 8 0		
112	Byatarayanpurada Hari Hareswara ..	36 1 6		
113	Kengeri Eswara	2 0 0		
114	Krishnarajapur Gopalaswamy	207 0 0		
115	Dodanekkundi Basavanna	20 0 0		
116	Valagere Mutharayaswami	18 0 0		
117	Talisandrada Gopalaswami	102 0 0		
118	Uttarahalli Byredevar	5 0 0		
119	Puttadahalli do	97 5 4		
120	Gunjur Someswara	....		
121	Nabisha Makkan, Bangalore	....		
122	Shotesha Darga do	794 1 4		
123	Bangalore Khazi	51 12 3		
124	Yelahankada Khazi	179 10 10		
125	Begur do	....		
126	Vartur do	4 12 8		
	Total Bangalore Taluk ..	15,200 15 2		7,000
	Hoskote Taluk.			
1	Hoskote Chattra	....		
2	Tavarekere Chattra	450 12 6		
3	Kille Anjaneyaswami	7 4 4		
4	Kille Ananda Anjaneya	60 1 11		
5	Komptipete Venkatramanaswami ..	16 4 0		
6	Komptipete Kodandaramaswami ..	....		
7	Kerekodi Anjaneya	—0 1 4		
8	Kerekodi Kasiviswesw araswami ..	0 8 6		
9	Hoskote Prasanna Rameswara ..	1 0 11		
10	Nagarthapet Kasiviswesw ara	4 3 6		
11	Manikarnikeswaraswami	43 5 7		
12	Pete Anjaneyaswami	....		
13	Govinda Jeevaji Anjaneya	0 11 8		
14	Valagere Anjaneya	63 9 5		
15	Neerabagal Venkatramana ..	11 2 9		
16	Hoskote Arimukteswaraswami.. ..	103 5 6	In 6¼ per cent	2,000
17	Hoskote Tarakeswaraswami	8 12 0		
18	Vagata Varadaraja	7 5 0		
19	Kadagodi Anjaneya	0 4 11		
20	Kadagodi Kasi Visweswara	10 11 1		
21	Bhaktarahalli Venugopala	141 8 1		
22	Bidarahalli Kasivisweswara	17 14 9		
23	Hindignal Dharmeswara	78 0 4		
24	Hoskote Varadaraja	7 3 4		
25	Vagata Eswara	7 10 8		
26	Sulebele Anjaneya	8 4 0		
27	Hindignal Anjaneya	59 10 8		
28	Agrahar Anjaneya	213 2 11	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
29	Hoskote Gangadhareswara	167 11 4		
30	Chinnadahalli Chattra	132 4 0		
31	Hindignal Basaveswara	7 14 6		
32	Nandagudi Someswara and Malleswara ..	50 0 0		
33	Nidaghattada Gopalaswami	105 0 0		
34	Jantigondanahally Gopalaswami ..	240 0 0		
35	Sulebele Someswara	71 9 6		
36	Nandagudi Chowdeswari	23 4 9		
37	Sulebele Kasivisweswara	145 7 0		
38	Venkatesapurada Muttaraya	2 5 9		
39	Tasabahalli Chowdeswari	40 0 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Hoskote Taluk—*concld*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
40	Nilavagal Someswara	11 0 0		
41	Settihalli Gopalaswami	10 15 3		
42	Manchappanahalli Gopalaswami ..	40 0 0		
43	Bidarahalli Byredevar	26 0 0		
44	Do Chowdeswari	14 0 0		
45	Do Basavanna	13 2 0		
46	Do Tirumaldevar	6 5 9		
47	Do Muthurayaswami	2 0 0		
48	Kolathur Manikarnikeswara	21 14 0		
49	Kempalinganahalli Gopalaswami ..	8 12 6		
50	Medur Anjaneya	7 0 0		
51	Hoskote Basavanna	19 10 0		
52	Shaharajarampur Mutt ..	...		
53	Jumma Masjid	....		
54	Buddan Sha Makkan	55 8 0		
55	Kattigenahalli Mahomed Sha Makkan ..	91 2 9		
56	Bidarahalli Makkan	2 6 4		
57	Kodi Fakir Makkan	26 9 0		
	Total Hoskote Taluk ..	2,664 11 5		3,000
	Dodballapur Taluk			
1	Ghati Subramanyaswami	714 0 7	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
2	Kasaba Mukhyapranadevar	7 4 0		
3	Kasaba Venkataramanaswami	144 3 9	Do	1 000
4	Kille Gopaladevaru	670 7 2		
5	Kasaba do	218 6 9		
6	Gangadharpur Somesvara	61 4 0		
7	Aralumalligebagal Anjaneya	86 15 8		
8	Kasaba Kasiviswesvara	1 8 7		
9	Kille Darvaza Anjaneya	99 1 4		
10	Kalyani Darvaza Anjaneya	..		
11	Kadanur Chennakesava	—0 4 4		
12	Tubagere Venkataramanaswami ..	36 8 6		
13	Chuttrada Anjaneya	0 14 0		
14	Kasaba Chendramowleswara .	193 15 0		
15	Nagarakere Anjaneya	0 11 8		
16	Sasalu Anjaneya	69 13 8		
17	Arodi Malleswara	10 4 10		
18	Dodballapur Swayambhuveswara ..	....		
19	Makali Malleswara	62 11 9		
20	Sivapuradabagal Anjaneya .. .	39 7 6		
21	Kasaba Prasanna Venkataramana	42 7 10		
22	Bangalore Bagal Anjaneya ..	10 5 8		
23	Vinayaka	1 9 0		
24	Janardhanaswami	239 15 10	In 6¼ per cent	200
25	Chikkanahalli Anjaneya	12 15 3		
26	Melkote Anjaneya and Ramadevar ..	...		
27	Mutharayaswami . ..	3 3 0		
28	Kenchenahalli Temple . ..	6 0 0		
29	Tippanahalli Temple	4 8 0		
30	Somappanahalli Tirumaladevar ..	3 5 2		
31	Puttanahalli Ranganatha	6 0 0		
32	Kakol Veerabhadra	205 4 8		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Dodballapur Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
33	Kakol Hanumantaraya	160 14 0		
34	Do Gopalakrishna ..	0 14 0		
35	Do Anjaneya	218 5 7		
36	Kodihalli Venkatramana	24 2 0		
37	Mayandanahalli Temple	10 15 9		
38	Byla Hanumantaraya	182 7 7	In 6¼ per cent	200
39	Somenahalli Venkatramana	52 8 0		
40	Do Hanumantadevar ..	68 0 0		
41	Hosahalli Anjaneyadevar	237 12 0	In 6¼ per cent	100
42	Hanabe Prasanna Venkatramana ..	9 10 0		
43	Mirulanahalli Venkatramana ..	5 0 0		
44	Margondanahalli Muthyalamma ..	5 0 9		
45	Dodballapur Anjaneya	180 0 0		
46	Do Venugopala	101 4 11		
47	Nagenahalli Tirumaladevar	1 12 0		
48	Chikhijaji Basavanna	8 8 0		
49	Sasalu Hosur Arasammadevar ..	1,907 1 0		
50	Sajer Katte Ganeshaswami Swayambhuveswara.	....		
51	Asur Khana	1 11 5		
	Total Dodballapur Taluk ..	6,128 15 10		2,500
	Nelamangala Taluk.	Rs a. p.		
1	Kambala mutt	7 0 0		
2	Melinagavi mutt	51 8 3		
3	Honnadevi mutt	1,322 4 9		
4	Nelamangalada Mahantana mutt ..	30 15 0		
5	Sivaganga mutt	—284 7 7		
6	Hanumanapalyada Anjaneya	10 13 9		
7	Nelamangalada Vinayaka	7 9 5		
8	Mallapur Vinayaka	7 1 7		
9	Kambasandrada Narasimha	177 4 6		
10	Sondekoppada Anjaneya	6 12 6		
11	Tyamagondalu Kasivisweswara ..	8 0 4		
12	Begur Karithimmarayaswami	107 11 2		
13	Basavanahally Venkataramanaswami ..	36 8 7		
14	Tyamagondalu do ..	19 13 9		
15	Devarhosahally Veerabhadra	166 4 0		
16	Tyamagondlu Ramaswami	4 13 8		
17	Sivaganga Gangadhareswara	3,610 0 2	In 6¼ per cent	7,000
18	Tyamagondlu Basavanna	33 2 0		
19	Dodbele Kasivisweswara	14 1 0		
20	Nelamangala do	22 12 2		
21	Tyamagondlu Kattevinayaka	54 6 0		
22	Do Anjaneyadevar	14 1 0		
23	Vajarahalli do	132 0 0		
24	Budihal Nijagalanjaneya	29 2 0		
25	Mahimapur Venkataramana	13 12 1		
26	Manne Vinayakadevar	15 2 3		
27	Kodihalli Anjaneyadevar	28 12 9		
28	Sidavvanahalli do	64 15 0		
29	Dasanahalli do	132 11 6		
30	Tyamagondlu Narasimha	20 0 0		

. No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Nelamangala Taluk—*contd*	Rs a. p		Rs
31	Hasarahalli Anjaneya	125 0 0		
32	Haleynijagal Narasimha .. .	1,120 0 0	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
33	Mantalu Veerabhadra	0 4 0		
34	Hippe Anjaneya	68 0 0		
35	Sondekoppada Charantayyana mutt ..	133 8 0		
36	Anavadi Someswara	—14 5 0		
37	Ette Anjaneya	—24 0 0		
38	Bettingere Jangam mutt	45 0 0		
39	Nelamangalada Anjaneya .. .	0 15 6		
40	Uttarasanghattada do	0 7 9		
41	Dakshina do ..	0 15 6		
42	Sondekoppada Vinayaka	0 3 11		
43	Naragur Chennakesava	78 3 0		
44	Havenahalli Basavanna	18 9 0		
45	Kavaghattada Narasimha .. .	40 0 0		
46	Vajarahalli Makkan	42 12 0		
47	Hajipalyada do	157 14 7		
48	Sadruddin do .. .	490 13 7		
49	Jumma Masjid	583 1 3		
50	Bandagi Sha Makkan	480 14 11		
51	Sondekoppada Jummamasjid	208 12 8		
52	Syed Mohadin Makkan ..	6 0 0		
53	Nelamargala Khazi . .	35 15 0		
54	Do Asur khana ..	147 5 6		
	Total Nelamangala Taluk ...	9,611 6 9		8,000
	Kankanhalli Taluk			
1	Venkataramanadevar	181 11 4		
2	Kankanhalli Nadibali Anjaneya ..	23 4 0		
3	Bidare Anjaneya	23 11 9		
4	Arekel do	24 11 3		
5	Valakoti do	22 4 6		
6	Kodihalli Venkataramana	163 2 0		
7	Kodihalli Anjaneyadevar	27 2 3		
8	Malegal Mahadeveswara	335 0 6	In 6¼ per cent	500
9	Hunasanahalli Chennakesava ..	7 0 1		
10	Kallahalli Venkataramana .. .	471 0 0		
11	Aralalu Narayanaswami	101 11 6		
12	Kabbal Bheemeswara .. .	35 10 11		
13	Harohalli do	174 10 11		
14	Harohalli Arunachaleswara	187 15 7		
15	Kankanhalli Chamadi Vinayaka ..	55 11 3		
16	Harohalli Gopalakrishnaswami ..	13 0 0		
17	Amchavadi Someswara	32 0 0		
18	Harohally do	66 8 0		
19	Harohally Basaveswara	45 0 0		
20	Vedarahally do	0 5 8		
21	Kankanhalli Ranganatha	507 3 7		
22	Horalagule do	168 0 0		
23	Tanganayakanahalli Ranganatha .	72 0 0		
24	Doddamuddavadi Anjaneya	99 3 6		
25	Do Gopalakrishna ..	20 0 0		
26	Kolagondanahalli Basaveswara ..	14 14 0		
27	Kallahalli Basaveswara . .	13 8 0		
28	Hosadurgada Ramadevar	196 11 0		
29	Sirenahalli Venkataramana	10 0 0		
30	Baradanahalli Venkataramana..	3 8 0		
31	Kankanhalli Khazi	550 6 0		
32	Maralvadi Makkan	960 1 5		
33	Harohalli Khazi	56 8 2		
	Total Kankanhalli Taluk ..	4,643 9 2		500

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Magadi Taluk.	Rs.		Rs.
1	Kasaba Chattra	48 0 0		3000
2	Tirumale Ranganathaswami	7294 0 5	In 1,865 In 6¼ per cent	8000
3	Bettadahalli Panchalingeswar ..	99 0 0		
4	Hebbur Agrahar Anjeneya	62 11 3		
5	Hebbur Chandramouleswara	253 6 8		
6	Savanadurgada Narasimha	635 2 5	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
7	Savanadurgada Veerabhadra	149 1 8		
8	Baichapur Varadaraja .. .	13 2 9		
9	Magadi Valageri Venkataramana ..	227 6 5		
10	Gudemaranahalli Chendramouleswara and Chendrashekara.	620 5 2		
11	Solur Someswara	134 14 4		
12	Tavarekere Bettadarangaswami ..	118 14 4		
13	Mudigeri Ranganathaswami	1334 11 7		
14	Magadi Someswara	1803 5 10		
15	Tippasandrada Chennigaraya ..	55 0 0.		
16	Do Gangavisveswara ..	11 2 5		
17	Do Kasivisveswara ..	39 12 4		
18	Do Mahalingeswara ..	61 5 7		
19	Kalya Anjaneya	27 7 8		
20	Kalya Kalieswara	170 4 11		
21	Magadi Koti Rameswara	....		
22	Alur Anjaneya	94 7 6		
23	Srigiripurada Anjaneya and Srinivasadevar.	68 9 6		
24	Tirumale Cheluvarayaswami	12 0 7		
25	Tippiana Bhavi Anjaneya	100 12 1		
26	Solur Anjaneya	....		
27	Sankighattada Gopala Krishna ..	29 12 0		
28	Sathanur Gopala Krishna	10 5 0		
29	Tavarekere Chennakesava	3 4 8		
30	Prasanna Rameswara	151 13 0		
31	Motganahalli Chendramouleswara ..	29 11 0		
32	Suggenahalli Narasimha	5,293 1 3	In 6¼ per cent	4,000
33	Kallur Anjaneya	....		
34	Hulavenahalli Bhyredevar	92 13 0		
35	Gavi Gangadhareswara	193 6 10		
36	Lakkenhalli Narasimha	146 14 3		
37	Magadi Anjaneya	350 0 0		
38	Karlamangalada Ranganatha	1 0 9		
39	Bhanumangalada Someswara	31 0 0		
40	Kudoor Laksmi Narayanaswami ..	17 8 0		
41	Pete Anjaneya	—8 0 0		
42	Hebbalalu Chendramouleswara ..	48 4 8		
43	Kenchenahalli Lakshmidevi . ..	10 10 10		
44	Kudoor Khazi	17 14 2		
	Magadi Taluk Total ..	19,854- 8 10		16,000
	Channapatna Taluk.			
1	Annachattram at Closepet	....		
2	Do Channapatna .	....		
3	Do Bidadi	....	In 1865 In 96-7	1,000 500
4	Mallur Apparameyaswami	22 2 3	In 96-7 In 6¼ per cent	1,500 12,000
5	Kille Varadarajaswami	785 11 6		
6	Dharmadhyayana Service	—6 13 11		
7	Dodmallur Ramadevar	1 15 0		
8	Devarajakolada Anjaneya	65 6 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Channapatna Taluk—*concld.*	Rs a. p		Rs.
9	Closepet Agrahar Anjaneya	1 7 3		
10	Honganahalli Basaveswara	20 6 8		
11	Devarahosahalli Anjaneya	476 11 11		
12	Patnada Lakshminarayana .. .	23 5 3		
13	Arkeswara Prasannamba and Venugopala at Closepet.	237 4 1	In 6¼ per cent	1,500
14	Dodmallur Anjaneya	22 0 6		
15	Chikkamallur Do .. .	32 5 2		
16	Srirangarajapurada Gopalaswami ..	...		
17	Closepet Hallimal Hill Ramadevar .	508 0 1	In 1865	1,000
			In 1879	900
			In 6¼ per cent	1,500
			Do	2,000
18	Do Rameswaradevar . ..	231 8 2		
19	Brahmanipur Anjaneya	32 7 10		
20	Chikmallur Arkeswara .	184 12 5		
21	Channapatnada Neelakanteswara .	1 3 5		
22	Honganur Gopalakrishna . ..	...		
23	Kudlur Ramadevar . .	73 7 7		
24	Marchenahalli Venkatramanadevar .	623 2 10		
25	Kengal Anjaneya	596 3 5	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
26	Chikmallur Gopalakrishnaswami	904 14 11		
27	Aveerahalli Revanasiddeswar ..	782 0 8	In 6¼ per cent	1,500
28	Kille Kasiviswesvara . .	117 0 3		
29	Banni Mahankali, Closepet .. .	1 6 4		
30	Kailanchada Venkatramanaswami ..	.. .		
31	Virupasandrada Neelakanteswara .	45 6 7		
32	Timmalapurada Venkatramanaswami ..	340 0 1	In 6¼ per cent	500
33	Chikkenahalli Anjaneya .	108 4 3		
34	Settihalli Karagadadevar . ..	20 0 0		
35	Kurnagere Narasimha ..	344 15 0		
36	Bannur Chowdeswari	148 13 0		
37	Mallur Kailaseswara .. .	122 11 7		
38	Viraktimutt Basaveswara ..	7 4 8		
39	Mathur Kailaseswara	—70 8 0		
40	Honniganahalli Basavanna . .	1 7 4		
41	Begur Ramadevar	. ...		
42	Do Chowdeswari . ..	253 1 6		
43	Singarajapurada Gavi Rangaswami .	182 9 1		
44	Hunasanahalli Basavanna ..	61 0 0		
45	Bevur Rameswara	491 4 0		
46	Mathur Narayanaswami . ..	20 0 0		
47	Mungadihalli Venkataramana ..	82 8 0		
48	Lalaghattada Anjaneya . ..	75 0 0		
49	Ankanahalli Basaveswara	113 12 0		
50	Mathur Byrapatna Janardhana ..	742 4 0		
51	Pete Laksmi Narayanaswami ..	..		
52	Closepet Masjid	218 2 8		
53	Akil Sha Khadri Darga .. .	3053 11 1	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
54	Channapatnada Khazi ..	116 11 2		
55	Bidadi Do . .	30 8 9		
	Total Channapatna Taluk ..	12,247 0 4		25,900
	Anekal Taluk			
1	Bannerghattada Chattram	364 0 10		
2	Hebbagodi Chattram	334 12 6		
3	Rajapur Mutt	50 14 3		
4	Chempakadhamaswami at Bannerghatta .	666 15 10	In 6¼ per cent	500
5	Anekal Amruta Mallikarjuna	0 11 7		
6	Do Bhavani Shankaraswami ..	22 3 8		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Anekal Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
7	Anekal Gangadhareswara	0 0 0		
8	Do Timmarayaswami	234 0 1	In 6½ per cent	1,200
9	Do Gopalaswami	23 9 6		
10	Do Anjaneyaswami	0 7 7		
11	Do Chennigarayaswami	0 0 0		
12	Dommasandrada Ranganathaswami ..	1 3 6		
13	Sarjapura Someswara	14 7 10		
14	Naranaghattada Nanjundeswara ..	0 9 10		
15	Anekal Chavdi Ganesha	1 6 8		
16	Attibele Channarayaswami	3 3 4		
17	Do Nanjundeswara ..	179 4 0	In 6¼ per cent	200
18	Sarjapura Kodandaramaswami ..	63 11 2		
19	Rayasandrada Venugopala	11 15 8		
20	Sarjapur Patalamma	121 9 1		
21	Timmanayakanahalli Karagadadevi ..	0 1 8		
22	Byrappanahalli Someswara	35 9 3		
23	Nallasandrada Anjaneya	64 2 0		
24	Hosahalli Anjaneya	4 0 0		
25	Ramadevara Shankareswara at Jodi Kamasandra.	152 8 5	In 6¼ per cent	800
26	Chennakesvaraswami	7 4 1		
27	Anekal Ramadevar	4 0 0		
28	Samandur Karagadadevar	20 6 3		
29	Jodi Kammasandrada Visveswara ..	160 5 5		
30	Huskur Madduramma	225 2 5		
31	Manchenahalli Karagadadevar ..	337 10 3	In 6¼ per cent	200
32	Muttanallur Venkataramana	195 5 4	In 6¼ per cent	100
33	Neraja Basavanna	26 0 6		
34	Nadarahalli Kalamma and Basaveswara ..	35 0 0		
35	Huskur Khazi	157 8 1		
	Total Anekal Taluk ..	3,526 2 7		3,000
	Devanhalli Taluk.			
1	Devanhalli Chendramouleswara ..	82 10 5	In 6¼ per cent	200
2	Do Kasivisveswaraswami ..	1 7 4		
3	Vadigenahalli Chennakesvaswami ..	1 3 5		
4	Do Someswaraswami.. ..	10 1 8		
5	Kundanada Narayanaswami	15 15 9		
6	Do Kasivisveswaraswami ..	34 14 0		
7	Devananally Venugopalaswami ..	105 15 6	In 6¼ per cent	200
8	Do Sarovarada Anjaneya ..	107 11 0		
9	Do Pitambara Anjaneya ..	44 5 7		
10	Dibbagiribettada Dibgireswara ..	9 1 2		
11	Chavadi Vinayaka	0 0 0		
12	Budagere Vinayaka	5 7 2		
13	Vadigenahalli Anjaneya	2 4 5		
14	Do Chavadi Ganesha ..	3 2 0		
15	Somathanahalli Ranganathaswami ..	300 15 9	In 6¼ per cent	400
16	Kamanahally Anjaneya	0 0 0		
17	Devanahalli Bheemeswara	176 10 6		
18	Budigere Desa Narayanaswami ..	222 0 0	In 6¼ per cent	200
19	Managondahalli Bheemeswara.. ..	—22 11 11		
20	Satanur Gopalaswami	32 11 9		
21	Tylagere Basaveswara	21 0 0		
22	Avati Gargeswara	1 0 8		
23	Doddamuddenahalli Ranganatha ..	7 0 0		
24	Managondahalli Basaveswara.. ..	114 15 2		
25	Vadigenahalli Veerabhadra	4 0 0		
26	Devanahalli Khaji	60 7 5		
27	Budigere do	59 15 10		
	Total Devanhally Taluk ..	1,402 4 7		1,000
	Total Bangalore District ..	75,279 10 8		66,900

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	KOLAR DISTRICT.		Rs	Rs.
	Kolar Taluk.	Rs. a. p.		
1	Rest house at Kolar	0 0 0		
2	Majjigehalli mutt	1334 12 8		
3	Masti Ram Dass mutt	0 0 0		
4	Kille Anjaneyaswami	2 3 11		
5	Kolar Pete Venkatramana	3 8 2		
6	Do Parasurameswara	22 14 7		
7	Do Someswara	73 6 0		
8	Do Kolaramma	1391 14 8		
9	Dodpete Anjaneyaswami	0 0 0		
10	Prasanna Nanjundeswara	134 9 4		
11	Kadaripurada Narasimha	5 9 0		
12	Seethe Sreepatheswara	611 8 6	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	1,000
13	Antargange Kasivisveswara ..	399 3 9		
14	Therahalli Gangadhareswara ..	39 10 11		
15	Vakkaleri Markandeswara	1041 10 3	1865 In 6¼ per cent State Loan	500 3,500
16	Jummaghatta Lakshmi Narasimhaswami ..	79 9 9		
17	Kolar Kamateswara .. .	—23 4 8		
18	Jambapur Nanjundeswara	60 10 7		
19	Kolar Kodandaramaswami	259 6 5	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	300
20	Do Chavadi Ganesha	1 2 0		
21	Urugahi Lakshmi Narayana	42 0 0		
22	Holur Gangamma . ..	97 8 9		
23	Vemgal Veerabhadra .. .	2 9 8		
24	Beechagondanahalli Madhavarayaswami ..	5 7 0		
25	Madivala Gopalaswami .. .	3 2 0		
26	Yedehalli Someswara . ..	4 4 0		
27	Sugutur Kodandaramaswami ..	373 6 4		
28	Seethi Anjaneyaswami . ..	52 3 6		
29	Hollamballi Eswara and Chandeswari	240 12 3		
30	Jannaghatta Kodandarama ..	28 8 0		
31	Seethi Venkatramana	66 11 10		
32	Vokkaleri Kodandaramaswami .	234 4 6	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	200
33	Kolar Kasivisveswara	132 8 3		
34	Kakinetta Basaveswara ..	27 11 6		
35	Sugutur Gangadhareswara .. .	94 9 8		
36	Holur Lakshmi Narayana	413 10 0		
37	Nadapalli Venugopala	124 6 2		
38	Sahapur Someswara . ..	6 7 6		
39	Iragasandra Venugopalaswami .	73 10 0		
40	Seethi Bhoopathamma	11 0 0		
41	Kyalnur Chandrasekhara and Anjaneya ..	1079 3 11	1865 In 6¼ per cent State Loan	600 4,000
42	Soldevanhalli Gopalaswami	9 6 0		
43	Nagalapur Basaveswara	0 12 9		
44	Madithambihalli Eswara ..	2 6 3		
45	Huttur Eswara .	13 6 6		
46	Gangamma .. .	10 5 9		
47	Avani mutt . ..	4166 0 6		
48	Kedkote Anjaneyaswami	50 0 0		
49	Do tank Do . ..	30 0 0		
50	Jodimarenahalli Lakshmi Narayana ..	221 13 1		
51	Veebhutipur Jamadagneswara .	176 1 9		
52	Ramachandrapur Chowdeswari ..	76 4 10		
53	Ankathalli Venugopala and Lakshmi Narayan	5 7 6		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Kolar Taluk.—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs.
54	Poparatnahalli Gavi Gangadhareswara ..	7 15 9		
55	Thippenahalli Someswara	9 0 0		
56	Pathalammadevaru	1 10 3		
57	Kohalli Kuthandur Kodandarama ..	30 0 0		
58	Vokkaleri Papanatheswara and Someswara	187 1 10		
59	Belmarnahalli Venkatramana ..	38 14 0		
60	Basaveswaraswami	7 1 9		
61	Gopalaswami	49 4 0		
62	Sugatur Ankathahalli Venugopalaswami ..	21 14 0		
63	Nawab Fati Alli Khan's darga ..	1150 2 6	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	1,000
64	Kolar Hussain Makkan	477 12 8		
65	Madivala Syed Sha Do	4 13 5		
66	Sagondanahalli Meer Mohamed's Kabarstan	0 0 0		
67	Kolar Mohamadi Sara	0 0 0		
68	Kogilahalli Sha Makkan	0 0 0		
69	Kolar Mohadin Sha Makkan ..	0 0 0		
70	Sugatur Masjid	1 3 2		
71	Kolar Keelkote Masjid	0 0 0		
72	Kolar Hussain Sara	143 12 0		
73	Marjenahalli Assuri Sheriff Makkan ..	169 6 9		
74	Kadripurada Mrutyuja Sha Makkan ..	0 0 0		
75	Kolar Baggumia Do ..	0 0 0		
76	Kolar Kutub Gorisha Masjid ..	2 0 0		
77	Sangondanahalli Ameer Peeran Kabarsthan	0 0 0		
78	Kolar Mohamed Isaqs Makkan ..	0 0 0		
79	Kolar Hussain Makkan . ..	29 0 0		
80	Kolar Latif Bannuies Do ..	14 8 8		
81	Kolar Abdul Hamid Do ..	1 10 0		
82	Do Baramam Do ..	42 11 5		
83	Syed Badrodin Do ..	0 0 0		
84	Mohamed Oosman Do ..	359 6 0		
85	Vemagal Masjid	22 12 2		
86	Ammerahalli Hussain Sha Makkan ..	15 14 8		
87	Vokkaleri Hussain Ali Do ..	2 6 8		
88	Kolar Idga	0 0 0		
89	Do Khaji	0 0 0		
90	Shapur Do ..	35 13 6		
91	Kyalnur Do ..	0 0 0		
92	Malur Do ..	12 10 9		
	Kolar Taluk Total ..	16,153 7 6	11,100 0 0	
	Bowringpet Taluk.			
1	Rest House at Bowringpet	....	1865	400
2	Parakalaswami Matt	....		
3	Kantepur Jangam Mutt	26 9 4		
4	Budikote Raghavendraswami Brindavanam	5 0 0		
5	Kodandaramaswamy	1,054 6 3	In 6¼ per cent do	1,000 2,000
6	Guttahalli Venkataramanaswami ..	4,750 7 9	1,865 In 6¼ per cent	500 5,500
7	Peelavara Venkataramanaswami ..	10 2 9		
8	Hangal Karapur Gowripatheswara ..	179 8 2		
9	Ramasagar Chennakeswaraswami ..	6 12 5		
10	Bethamangala Ramaswamy	114 10 7		
11	Do Anjeneya	85 7 11		
12	Do Vijiendraswami	61 5 2		
13	Do Arkeswaraswami ..	127 1 4		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, Dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Bowringpet Taluk.—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs.
14	Budikote Venkataramanaswami ..	4 12 8		
15	Do Venugopalaswamy ..	4 12 10		
16	Do Anjeneyaswami	4 11 10		
17	Do Someswara	55 6 8		
18	Athigere Koppa Anjeneyaswami ..	60 2 8		
19	Kamasandra Chaudeswari	24 10 8		
20	Madivala Swayambhuweswara.. ..	165 9 4	In 6¼ per cent	400
21	Hunakonda Chowdeswari	108 7 5	In 6¼ per cent	100
22	Holera Gangamma	2 15 10		
23	Muthur Kanteswara	33 4 0		
24	Thoppanahalli Chendramouleswara .	10 0 0		
25	Vakkaligara Gangamma	69 7 4		
26	Nernahalli Anjeneya	3 8 0		
27	Sundarapalya Lakshminarayana ..	30 0 6		
28	Ookunda Anjeneya	31 10 0		
29	Sundarapalya Lakshminarasimha ..	27 5 6		
30	Oragam Balasomeswara .. .	0 0 0		
31	Budikote Masjid	0 0 0		
32	Bethamangala Khazi	0 0 0		
33	Do Masjid	8 2 8		
34	Itandahalli Masjid .. .	17 15 6		
	Bowringpet Taluk Total ..	7,159 7 1		9,900
	Chintamani Taluk.			
1	Konapalli Chatra	238 6 7	1,865	500
2	Alamgiri Venkataramana	334 5 11		
3	Umamaheswara, Harihareswara and Venkatramana.	1,094 0 1	1,842-43	300
4	Kallahalli Chowdeswari	8 2 8		
5	Oovalvadi Venugopala	36 10 10		
6	Singerapalli Narasimha	243 3 10	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	1,500
7	Kothagal Ramadevaru	18 0 7		
8	Marugalmari Anjeneya	34 9 7		
9	Nekkundi Chendramowleswara ..	37 8 0		
10	Murugumaile Do	53 13 4		
11	Nekkundi Agrahar Anjeneya..	0 4 10		
12	Do Someswaraswami	34 5 8		
13	Murugumalle Venugopalaswami	26 10 8		
14	Vejavakatte Veeranarayana	94 5 11		
15	Anakalli Ankanatheswara .. .	0 15 2		
16	Alamgiri Anjeneya	2 2 9		
17	Nekkundi Vigneswara	23 12 8		
18	Kaivara Bheemeswara ..	0 0 0		
19	Do Amaranarayanaswami .	147 4 9		
20	Irgampalli Venkataramana	223 1 4		
21	Konakuntlu Do	494 15 4	1,865	300
22	Chintamani Chendramowleswara ..	14 12 6		
23	Narasepet Anjaneya ..	0 0 0		
24	Anur Venkataramana ..	239 3 0	1,865	300
25	Chintamani Agrahar Anjaneya ..	31 12 8	1,865	200
26	Anur Laxmadevaru .. .	15 3 4		
27	Budigavarpalli Venkataramana .	1 12 0		
28	Banhalli Channarayaswami ..	67 6 8		
29	Muddalapalli Channakesava	53 11 1		
30	Doddapur Venkataramana ..	5 8 0		
31	Yerragunte Gangamma . ..	31 3 1		
32	Chintamani Patalamma ..	86 4 10		
33	Ranganathahalli Narasimha .	15 2 9		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Chintamani Taluk.—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs.
34	Ankalmadagu Gopalaswami	30 4 0		
35	Hebbur Veerabhadradevaru	1 0 0		
36	Konadavadhe Chowdeswari	12 10 6		
37	Ambajidurga Narasimha	39 9 0		
38	Kalhalli Anjaneya	6 10 0		
39	Kadari Narasimha	376 13 1		
40	Paramachenahalli Venkataramana ..	41 4 0		
41	Pasalnaikanahalli Tirumaladevaru ..	89 13 6		
42	Mudachintanahalli Gopalakrishna ..	38 12 0		
43	Maddikere Venugopala	27 6 0		
44	Nekkundi Chowdeswari	1 0 0		
45	Singanahalli Chowdeswari	90 15 3		
46	Do Venkatramana	30 4 0		
47	Byrareddihalli Gopalaswami	112 10 6		
48	Kirkere Chowdeswari	17 7 0		
49	Konakunchu Narasimhaswami	0 1 4		
50	Singanahalli Anjaneya	62 0 8		
51	Kambalapalli Gangamma	—102 0 0		
52	Kallahalli Chowdeswari	22 0 9		
53	Konapalli Tirumalaswami	69 12 6		
54	Kanampalli Malleswara and Anjaneya ..	37 7 0		
55	Yemmalavadi Venkataramana	19 10 6		
56	Irgampalli Maheswariamma	48 0 9		
57	Kambalapalli Anjaneya	7 8 0		
58	Kadadalmari Garigammadevaru ..	60 0 0		
59	Chintamani Venugopalaswami	3 0 0		
60	Maigadahalli Ramadevaru	19 6 8		
61	Sampaghatta Rameswara	92 14 0		
62	Kambalhalli Garigedevaru	157 0 0		
63	Kadanamair Gopalaswami	10 7 0		
64	Kodihalli Chowdeswari	9 12 6		
65	Annavathigenahalli Makkan	50 0 0		
66	Murugamalai Roshan Makkan ..	0 0 0		
67	Siddi Mutt and Makkan	0 0 0		
68	Nimmakailapalli Makkan	9 4 9		
69	Murugamalai Kahji . ..	27 3 1		
70	Chintamani Khaji	2 15 9		
71	Kakisha Mokbara	807 0 0		
	Chintamani Taluk Total ..	6,038 12 6		3,100
	Mulbagal Taluk.			
1	Narasimhatheerthada Chattra	—278 15 3	1,865	1,000
2	Vishnu Kanchi Jeer Mutt	352 10 3		
3	Pejavaraswami Brindavana	2 6 8		
4	Krishnananda Mutt	708 13 6	1,865	500
5	Mulbagal Anjaneyaswami	1302 1 2	1,865	2,000
			6¼ per cent State Loan	2,500
6	Avani Ramalingeswara	—496 5 5	Do	3,000
7	Narasimhatheerthada Narasimha and Sreepadarayaswami	98 11 8		
8	Barrakur Ramaswami	18 9 7		
9	Kurudumalai Vinayaka	—70 1 10		
10	Virupaksheswara	29 14 6		
11	Agara Kasivisveswara	45 9 8		
12	Mulbagal Kodandarama	12 8 4		
13	Do Gopalakrishnaswami ..	....		
14	Someswarapalya Someswara	496 6 .1	1,865	200
			6¼ per cent State Loan	1,500

No. 1475-76 Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Mulbagal Taluk—*concld*	Rs. a. p	Rs.	Rs
15	Mulbagal Kasivisveswara	69 14 10		
16	Do Vittal Narayana	46 9 11		
17	Devarayasandra Lakshmi Narayana .	15 8 4		
18	Do Vaidyanatheswara ..	24 14 0		
19	Tayalur Kodandaramaswami	42 5 6		
20	Do Triambakeswara	6 2 4		
21	Avani Pada Gopalaswami	6 0 0		
22	Shankaratheertha Shankareswara ..	26 0 0		
23	Umamaheswari	42 13 8		
24	Mulbagal Maheswara	43 1 6		
25	Thimmaraya and Venkatramana ..	7 7 8		
26	Nancharamma	17 8 0		
27	Suragenhalli Anjaneya	27 15 2		
28	Iralappadevaru	105 0 0		
29	Mastur Chamkelahalli Venkatramana ..	70 0 0		
30	Tayalur Kasivisveswara	8 7 6		
31	Byrakur Someswara	24 0 0		
32	Kappalamadagu Makkan	....		
33	Thayalur Do	597 0 8		
34	Musthaksha Do .	.. .		
35	Kutandahalli Do	12 1 9		
36	Darenahalli Masjid . . .	....		
37	Mulbagal Khaji	.. .		
38	Hydervalli Darga	—276 12 1		
39	Jumma Masjid	12 1 9		
	Mulbagal Taluk Total ..	3,148 7 5		10,700
	Sidlaghatta Taluk.			
1	Ramanuja Yogiswara Mutt	....		
2	Thalakailakonda Venkatramana .	—2,760 8 3	6¼ per cent State Loan 1,865	1,500
3	Sidlaghatta Gopalaswami	636 11 5		1,700
4	Do Anjaneyaswami	148 15 2		
5	Do Ganesha	—44 13 2		
6	Sadahalli Channarayaswami	2 0 0		
7	Pindipapanahalli Chandrasekhara ..	93 6 9		
8	Tekhalli Kasivisveswara	70 8 9		
9	Karadagurki Channakesava	5 0 0		
10	Sidlapura Patalamma .. .	12 14 5		
11	Jangamkote Basavanna	2 6 10		
12	Beerappanahalli Venkatramanaswami ..	5 7 2		
13	Halehalli Tirumaladevaru	2 2 6		
14	Kadapakatte Anjaneya	9 4 0		
15	Sidlaghatta Gangamma	50 0 0		
16	Burudukunte Venkatramana	60 0 0		
17	Sadalur Kesavadevaru	1 14 5		
18	Muthur Kasivisveswara	60 1 8		
19	Khaji	7 3 0		
20	Jangamkote Masjid . .	20 0 0		
	Sidlaghatta Taluk Total ..	—1,617 5 4		3,200
	Chikballapur Taluk.			
1	Chatram at Chikballapur . ..	1,373 1 11	1,865 6¼ per cent State Loan	1,700
2	Bhoganandeswara, Umamaheswara and Yoganandeswara	1,262 11 5	1,865	3,000
			do	300
			do	6,500
3	Sultanpet Channakesavaswami ..	....		
4	Prasanna Nandeeswara .. .	335 3 3		
5	Kille Do	35 7 9		

No. 1475-76 Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Chikballapur Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs.
6	Kille Channakesava	142 10 11		
7	Bhognandi Veerabhadra	5 1 7		
8	Bramhagiri Brameswara . ..	103 7 1		
9	Hariharesvaraswami	44 1 8		
10	Ankangundi Rangasthala Ranganathaswami	609 13 7	1865	100
11	Peersadra Sangameswara	70 4 0		
12	Chavadi Ganesha	29 14 6		
13	Pete Anjaneyaswami . ..	54 0 9		
14	Arunachaleswara	....		
15	Pancha Bramheswara	76 5 9		
16	Sultanpet Basavanna . ..	3 8 11		
17	Do Anjaneyaswami .	119 0 9	Do	200
18	Tippenahalli Eswara	14 12 9		
19	Vabusandra Ramadevaru . ..	36 7 6	Do	200
20	Tippenahalli Venkatramana	72 10 2		
21	Do Ranganatha	6 4 1		
22	Kotagarlahalli Venkatramana ..	124 1 9	Do	100
23	Kandavar Anjaneva	18 0 0		
24	Pyavalagurki Gangadharaswami ..	36 0 1		
25	Veerabhadradevaru	1 14 8		
26	Honnenahalli Subramanyeswara ..	15 5 0		
27	Pasettihalli Anjaneya . ..	7 0 0		
28	Kuduvatti Venugopalaswami	2 14 8		
29	Thalhalli Gopalaswami	8 0 0		
30	Kasba Patrahalli Gopalakrishnaswami ..	80 0 0		
31	Do Ankangundi Venkataramanaswami ..	5 8 0		
32	Nandi Bharangireswara	0 11 8		
33	Dodballakurke Thirtha Gangadhareswara ..	8 0 0		
34	Kakalchinte Channakesava	12 1 0		
35	Jumma Masjid, Sultanpet . ..	1 0 0		
	Chikballapur Taluk Total .	4,715 15 2		12,100
	Bagipalli Taluk.			
1	Gadidam Venkatramanaswami ...	778 2 6	6¼ per cent State Loan.	2,500
2	Nidamandi Matt and Veerabhadradevar	72 9 4		
3	Yellodu Adinarayanaswami	1,692 0 9	1865	4,200
4	Venkapur Anjaneya	0 0 7		
5	Margankunte Venkatramanaswami ...	2 10 4		
6	Puttaparthi Hanumantha	25 1 11		
7	Chelur Do	0 13 0		
8	Chitravati Anjaneya	0 15 5		
9	Gudipalli Ranganatha	28 7 0		
10	Gummanaikanapalya Narasimhaswami ...	12 9 9		
11	Do Pete Hanumantha ...	15 15 5		
12	Do Jeeba Do ...	—7 13 0		
13	Gudibanda Town Venkatramanaswami ...	17 12 6		
14	Do Adinarayanaswami ...	1 14 10		
15	Do Anjaneyaswami	15 6 8		
16	Do Rameswaradevar	27 9 10		
17	Do Chandramowleswara ...	210 15 8		
18	Acheganapalli Chella Maramma ...	12 11 6		
19	Mittamari Lakshmi Narasimha ..	21 6 3		
20	Gudibanda Someswara	70 0 0		
21	Do Kasivisveswara	179 3 0		
22	Do Narasimha	8 2 9		
23	Patapalya Chaliapooramma	3 3 0		
24	Palya Venkatramanaswami	0 7 10	1865	400
25	Chennarayanpalli Channakesava ...	18 0 0		
26	Kabarasthan Gudibanda	96 13 2		
	Bagepalli Taluk Total ...	3,305 3 2		7,100

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
		Rs. a. p	Rs.	Rs
	Goribidnur Taluk			
1	Satyavarahaswami Brindavanam ...	24 3 9		
2	Dodkurugod Viduraswatha	6,410 9 9	6¼ per cent State Loan	10,000
3	Vabadahosahalli Channakesavaswami ..	....		
4	Katankallin Anjaneya	....		
5	Namagondlu Hanumantha ...	4 13 1		
6	Darnaikanapalya Do	7 4 1		
7	Shampur Do . ..	96 2 8		
8	Manchenahalli Venkatramana and Anjaneya	112 2 8	1865	100
			6¼ per cent State Loan.	100
9	Taridalu Kesavadevaru ...	16 9 5		
10	Dodkurgod Kesava and Anjaneya ..	3 14 0		
11	Darinaikanapalya Venkatramana ...	237 7 11	6¼ per cent State Loan.	800
12	Namogondlu Venkatramana and Anjaneya .			
13	Nagargere Anjaneya	8 0 0		
14	Hirebidanur Basaveswara ..	—123 0 0		
15	Halkur Someswara *	—2 8 0		
16	Anodu Anjaneya ...	51 14 0		
17	Hosur Lakshmi Madhava and Anjaneya	66 3 10	6¼ per cent State Loan.	100
18	Hosakurubarhalli Sitaramaswami ...	29 3 10		
19	Honnapenahalli Lakshmi Narasimha	150 0 0		
20	Hosur Turagabal Anjaneya .	99 0 0		
21	Hirebidnur Darga	0 1 4		
	Goribidnur Taluk Total ..	7,192 2 4		11,100
	Malur Taluk			
1	Rest House at Malur	....	1865	500
2	Chiktirupathi Venkatramana	—673 10 6		
3	Hulimangala Narasimha	41 10 3		
4	Lakkur Someswara	653 14 10		
5	Do Anjaneya and Kodandarama ..	171 4 2		
6	Malur Agrahar Anjaneya	30 1 3		
7	Tekal Someswara	180 13 6		
8	Yesavanthapur Kodandaramaswami ..	....		
9	Malur Shankaranarayana	236 13 5	1865	300
			6¼ per cent State Loan	1,500
10	Tekal Varadarajaswami	—219 9 6		
11	Lingapur Jodi Venkatramanaswami ..	11 15 0		
12	Somnur Do - . ..	61 4 3	1865	100
13	Jayadinahalli Tirumaladevaru.. ..	3 7 7		
14	Sivarpatna Someswara	92 2 0	1865	100
15	Narsipur Lakshmi Narayana .. .	64 0 0		
16	Muttukadahalli Anjaneya	166 15 6		
17	Mallasandra Chowdeswari	5 6 5		
18	Karinaikanahalli Gopalaswami ..	51 8 0		
19	Doddahalli Channakesava . ..	5 15 6		
20	Nonamangala Patalamma .. .	7 0 0		
21	Thornahalli Sappalamma .. .	932 2 4	6¼ per cent State Loan	5,500
22	Seethanaikanahalli Bhimeswara .	1 5 3		
23	Narsipur Anjaneya . ..	20 0 0		
24	Arasanahalli Gopalaswami . ..	242 5 11		
25	Ramasandra Do	....		
26	Hosaballahalli and Haleballahalli Eranna-devaru.	14 8 8		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 27'-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Malur Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs.
27	Hulimangala Hoskote Timmarayaswami ..	2 14 6		
28	Lakkur Basaveswara	293 0 8		
29	Dodmale Gopalaswami	31 6 0		
30	Lakkur Durgadevamma	22 0 0		
31	Hanumakote Gopalaswami	40 0 0		
32	Mallasandra Chowdeswari	0 4 5		
33	Narsipur Dodhalli Srikanteswara ..	115 0 0		
34	Narsapur Makkan	478 8 8		
35	Kabarstan	320 0 0		
	Malur Taluk Total ..	3,404 6 1		8,000
	Srinivaspur Taluk			
1	Addagal Ramadevaru	217 3 10		
2	Kambalapalli Venugopala	0 3 6		
3	Srinivaspur Chandramowleswara ..	7 14 11		
4	Do Ramadevaru	2 2 8		
5	Ronur Venkatramanaswami .. .	424 14 10	6¼ per cent State Loan.	1,000
6	Pulugarkote Do ..	....		
7	Rayalpad Someswara	30 4 10		
8	Yeldur Kodandaramaswami	553 12 7	6¼ per cent State Loan.	1,500
9	Byraganahalli Eswara	....		
10	Pathaballapur Gopalaswami	6 4 0		
11	Dinbula Chavideswari	34 8 8		
12	Dalasanur Gopalaswami	14 4 0		
13	Yeldur Someswara	3 2 2		
14	Dalasanur Veerabhadra	255 0 0		
15	Yeldur Kasivisveswara	11 11 3		
16	Do Varadarajaswami	200 0 0		
17	Hagalgere Anjaneya	....		
18	Yeldur Do	4 6 0		
19	Kollatur Someswara	2 9 0		
20	Ganibande Venkatramanaswami ..	691 13 3		
21	Mudimadagu Channigaraya	60 0 0		
22	Sreenivaspur Masjid and Khaji ..	55 9 2		
23	Noolpur Makkan	146 5 10		
24	Ronur Khaji	20 15 9		
25	Yeldur Do	12 0 0		
26	Addagal Do	0 0 4		
	Srinivaspur Taluk Total ..	2,755 2 7		2,500
	Kodagi ..	670 0 3		....
	Grand Total, Kolar District ..	52,925 10 9		78,800

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	TUMKUR DISTRICT.			
	Tumkur Taluk.	Rs. a. p		Rs
1	Sibi Chattram	0 0 0		
2	Hebbur Kodandaramaswamy Mutt ..	41 15 8		
3	Devarayadurgada Lakshminarasimhaswamy	2,104 12 0	In 1865	200
			In 6¼ per cent	2,500
4	Someswara at Bellavi	53 3 5		
5	Do Mutigere	3 0 10		
6	Anjaneyaswami at Bellavi	0 0 0		
7	Do Settihalli	155 2 3	In 6¼ per cent	100
8	Do Jayemangali . ..	0 9 7		
9	Do Kotanahalli	24 6 3		
10	Narvangalada Ramaswami	2 15 11		
11	Tumkur Laksminarayanaswami ..	138 5 5		
12	Chatrada Narasimhaswami	2 14 3		
13	Devarayapatnada Anjaneyaswami ..	101 9 6	In 6¼ per cent	100
14	Tumkur Gangadhareswara	200 5 0	Do	500
15	Hebbur Byatarayaswami	193 11 2	Do	200
16	Do Varadarajaswami ..	1 15 0		
17	Do Veerabhadradevar	56 14 5		
18	Do Kodandaramaswamy . ..	0 10 0		
19	Chiktotlakere-Narasimhaswamy ..	10 13 8		
20	Gollahalli Hanumantadevar	89 11 9		
21	Kolala Venkataramanaswami *alias* Byatarayaswami	259 1 4	In 6¼ per cent	600
22	Garagadakuppe Anjaneya	397 10 10	Do	2,000
23	Tumkur Ramadevar (Extension) ..	0 0 0		
24	Kaidala Channigarayaswami .. .	126 5 10	In 6¼ per cent	2,500
25	Gulur Hikkalu Venkataramana ..	256 9 11		
26	Ajjampurahalli Anjaneya	25 0 0		
27	Sarangihosahalli Anjaneya	78 12 0		
28	Sa alu Tirumaladevar	37 0 0		
29	Gulur Karadigere Gopalakrishna ..	249 0 0	In 6¼ per cent	200
30	Uradigere Anjaneya	237 4 8		
31	Balagere do	116 4 3		
32	Holavanahalli Venkataramana ..	27 3 10		
33	Kolala Prasanna Nanjundeswara ..	27 11 0		
34	Baladhara Laksmidevi	64 3 7		
35	Heggere Ranganatha	225 0 0		
36	Kirthini Anjaneya.. . ..	36 6 0		
37	Honnudike Honnadevamma . ..	163 15 5	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
38	Narayanahalli Anjaneya	197 11 6		
39	Siddalinganahalli Malleswara	23 3 5		
40	Sirivara Maramma ..	19 1 3		
41	Kestur Anjaneya . ..	167 12 6		
42	Alur Malleswaradevar	62 2 0		
43	Tumkur Veerabhadraswami	62 0 0		
44	Do Mallasandrada Gangadhareswara..	20 0 0		
45	Banasandrada Anjaneya .. .	31 1 7		
46	Basavanahalli Basavanna	9 2 3		
47	Baikanahalli Patladamma	10 0 0		
48	Konakuppe Malleswara .. .	20 2 0		
49	Kunkumanahalli Narasimha ..	169 5 0		
50	Siravar Anjeneya	120 0 0		
51	Kalasamma	1 5 0		
52	Gulur Mallappanahalli Anjaneya ..	12 12 0		
53	Gulur Basavanna	2 4 0		
54	Banavar Bheemeswara	20 0 0		
55	Amratur Nanjundeswara	0 7 2		
56	Mantiganahalli Kadumalleswara	0 0 0		
57	Hebbur Rayavar Krishna	15 8 0		

NO. 1475-76—MUZ. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

ANNEXURE B.—*contd.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	TUMKUR TALUK—*concld*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
58	Kyatasandrada Venugopalaswami	—447 0 0		
59	Timasandrada Anjenaya	123 3 7	In 6¼ per cent	200
60	Honnudike Janardhana and Anjaneya	15 0 0		
61	Garganahalli Narasimha	15 12 0		
62	Surchatti Basavanna	6 3 9		
63	Holkel Tirumaladevar	162 3 6	In 6¼ per cent	300
64	Halanur Malleswara	100 0 0		
65	Chikkasaggere Ranganatha	155 0 0	In 6¼ per cent	200
66	Nidavalalu Anjaneya	6 0 0		
67	Ragimuddenahalli Narasimha	21 0 0		
68	Ariyur Somanatheswara and Vaidyanatheswara	41 12 0		
69	Manchakalkuppe Chattra	107 13 0		
70	Kestur Nageswara and Veerabhadra	10 8 4		
71	Bommanahalli Siddeswara	30 0 0		
72	Urdugere Basavanna	114 5 0		
73	Siravarada Anjaneya	161 0 0	In 6¼ per cent	100
74	Gidagalahalli Malleswara	50 0 0		
75	Honasagere Veerabhadra	80 0 0		
76	Urukere Ranganatha	69 12 0		
77	Hetnahalli Maramma	151 2 11		
78	Taluk Office Ganesha	167 1 9		
79	Gulur Chattra	1,118 13 10		
80	Kesarmadu Darga	1,643 0 7	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
81	Khazi	0 0 0		
	Total Tumkur Taluk	10,453 2 8		11,700
	MADDAGIRI TALUK			
1	Satyavarahaswami Brindavanam	24 3 9		
2	Kyamenahalli Anjaneya	5,293 12 8	In 1,865 In 6¼ per cent	2,700 5,000
3	Rest House at Maddagiri	....		
4	Venkataramanadevaru, Maddagiri	201 11 6		
5	Mallikarjunadevar	218 12 6		
6	Jain Basti	111 15 7		
7	Kasaba Harihareswara	....		
8	Kodiganahalli Narasimhaswami	101 5 3		
9	Maddagiri Kameteswaradevar	0 5 10		
10	Cholenahalli Chattrada Anjaneya	....		
11	Chandragiri Ahobala Narasimhaswami	66 4 1		
12	Tungoti Rangaswamy	12 6 4		
13	Sayerkatte Anjaneyaswami	....		
14	Dodda Dhalivattada Narasimhaswami	41 7 10		
15	Midagesi Malleswara	25 8 6		
16	Maddagiri Kesavaswami	0 11 8		
17	Do Kodandaramaswamy	115 8 9		
18	Midagesi Venkatramana	7 5 0		
19	Jedri Anjaneyaswami	—0 5 10		
20	Gurumagondahally Anjaneya	55 13 0		
21	Peejavar Anjaneya	....		
22	Hospete Anjaneya	6 1 1		
23	Tovinakere Venkatramanaswamy	....		
24	Do Anjaneya	8 0 4		
25	Kasiviswesvara on the hill of Channarayadurga	....		
26	Pete Eswara	....		
27	Karatigere Eswara and Dakshinamurty	3 4 6		
28	Do Hill Gangadhareswara	353 6 9		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Maddagiri Taluk—*concld.*	Rs a p.		Rs
29	Gondira Anjaneya ..	7 1 0		
30	Holavanahalli Ramadevar and Hanumanthadevar.	434 2 7		
31	Mallekav Hanumanta	2 13 4		
32	Dharmasagar Hanumanta	.....		
33	Dodasaggeri Narasimhadevar ..	216 13 3	In 6¼ per cent	1,800
34	Manuvinakurke Gangadhareswara ..	29 10 11		
35	Holavanahalli river bank Hanumantadevar.	9 13 6		
36	Maddagiri Kasi Visveswara	25 13 5		
37	Santebednur Anjaneya	33 12 0		
38	Aralapet Basaveswara	....		
39	Bettada Chennakesava, Channarayadurga ..	....		
40	Handaralu Ranganatha	63 9 10		
41	Holavanahalli Ahobelaswami and Anjaneya.	346 0 5	In 6¼ per cent	200
42	Malleswara and Venkataramana ..	174 5 8	Do	1,000
43	Siddarahalli Siddeswara	2 7 1		
44	Bevinahalli Hallamma ..	25 4 9		
45	Kortagere Jangam Mutt	612 7 2		
46	Do Ranganathaswami ..	53 12 2		
47	Do Tirunarayana .. .	64 8 10		
48	Do Jangam Mutt	20 0 0		
49	Kortagere Timmaraya .. .	105 7 2		
50	Nagaval Laksmi Narasimha	8 4 9		
51	Rampur Venkataramanaswami .	162 13 9		
52	Immagondanahally Anjaneya .. .	3 7 9		
53	Holavanahalli Vasudevaru .. .	37 8 0		
54	Partihalli Laksmi Narayanaswami .	15 0 0		
55	Maddagiri Jumma Masjid	81 7 0		
56	Maddagiri Khazi	84 5 8		
57	Kortagere Maszid	35 15 0		
58	Channarayadurga Muszid ..	11 15 10		
	Total Maddagiri Taluk ..	9,326 10 11		10,700
	Chiknayakanhalli Taluk.			
1	Settikere Yogamadhavaswami ..	68 9 0		
2	Pankajanhalli Mallikarjunaswami ..	8 2 0		
3	Hideyur Anjaneyaswami	360 12 10	In 6¼ per cent	400
4	Venkataramanaswami	9 5 0		
5	Kandikere Venugopalawami	0 1 4		
6	Brhamapuri Anjaneya	. .		
7	Kandikere Mallikarjuna	...		
8	Handikere Anjaneya	12 1 4		
9	Prasannarameswara . ..	246 2 1		
10	Beregenahalli Narasimha	....		
11	Yelanadu Siddarameswara	137 13 0		
12	Dabbekati Marulasiddeswara ..	46 12 0		
13	Settihalli Kambadanarasimha . ..	12 12 0		
14	Malleswaraswami	3 0 0		
15	Hanagere Bheemadevar Siddarameswara and Bhairava	437 5 4	Do	800
16	Basavanhalli Basavanna .. .	7 7 0		
17	Tarekatte Anjaneya .. .	74 0 0		
18	Kuppur Malleswaraswami	294 0 0	Do	400
19	Settihalli Kalleswara	355 8 0		
20	Do Bairedevar	210 10 8	Do	400
21	Kandikere do . ..	5 0 0		
22	Karehalli Ranganatha	29 14 4		
23	Khazi	. .		
	Total Chiknayakanhalli Taluk ..	2,319 1 11		2,000

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Sira Taluk.	Rs. a p		Rs.
1	Sira Chattra	....		
2	Do Narayanaswami	112 9 6	In 6¼ per cent	1,500
3	Do Gopalakrishnaswami ..	4 5 9		
4	Dodbanagere Rangaswami ..	21 0 10		
5	Sira Anjaneyaswami	4 6 0		
6	Hosur Rangaswami	15 6 6		
7	Melkunte Gopalakrishnaswami ..	144 8 9		
8	Boredinne Anjaneyaswami ..	10 2 9		
9	Baragur do ..	1 11 2		
10	Halenahalli do ..	23 11 4		
11	Lavarekere Rangaswami	20 9 8		
12	Bulenahalli Anjaneya	87 14 6		
13	Raichuti Sira Anjaneya	2 3 0		
14	Shahargunge do	10 15 0		
15	Kallambele Siddeswara	1 9 6		
16	Bukkapatnada Rangaswami ..	7 1 7		
17	Kambada Narasimha	32 12 0		
18	Kallambele Ranganathaswami ..	27 9 11		
19	Bukkapatnada Gopalakrishna ..	0 13 7		
20	Do Anjaneya	1 7 3		
21	Halendahalli Ranganatha	5 2 11		
22	Khazi	34 5 0		
	Total Sira Taluk ..	568 6 0		1,500
	Gubbi Taluk			
1	Nittur Chattram ..	1,744 4 11		
2	Basavanachowki Mutt ..	0 12 10		
3	Hosa Gubbi Mutt	8 0 4		
4	Hale do	0 12 10		
5	Chennabasava Samadhi	824 8 11		
6	Hagalavadi Theertharameswara ..	180 15 3		
7	Hagalavadi Kesavaswami ..	57 8 0		
8	Namboor Anjaneyaswami	1 1 5		
9	Hosakere Ranganathaswami	6 0 8		
10	Battarahalli Veerabhadraswami ..	—2 7 2		
11	Kadaba Ramadevar and Anjaneya ..	609 11 2	Do	600
12	Do Kailaseswara	47 5 3		
13	Kadekodi Anjaneya	96 0 0		
14	Sampige Venkataramanaswami ..	513 14 1	Do	200
15	Gubbi Janardhanaswami	24 1 0		
16	Chettanahalli Lakshminarayanaswami ..	448 14 5	Do	200
17	Mairnahalli Rangaswami	45 7 6		
18	Veerannanagudi Veerabhadra	9 1 0		
19	Muganaikankote Ankanatheswara ..	2 14 6		
20	Do Chandramowleswara ..	8 3 9		
21	Guddada Rangaswami	2 6 9		
22	Muganaikankote Anjaneya	28 9 3		
23	Purada Kalleswara	301 14 1	Do	200
24	Kallur Vasudevaswami	576 6 2	In 1865	100
		....	In 6¼ per cent	500
25	Kallur Kalleswara and Bairavadevar ..	646 3 0	Do	500
26	Mavinhalli Siddeswara	294 12 0		
27	Gubbi Ammanavaru	21 8 0		
28	Dodda Hadya Anjaneya	8 2 6		
29	Nittur Pura Santhakeswara	302 0 0	Do	200
30	Nittur Jain Basti ..	354 6 7	Do	500
31	Gubbi Gubbiappa	30 0 0		
32	Devarahalli Narasimhaswami	34 0 0		
33	Dandinasivara Honnadevi	336 6 0	Do	200

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Gubbi Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a p.		Rs
34	Kadaba Yellamma	151 0 0		
35	Chelur Manchadamma . ..	372 0 0	In 6¼ per cent	500
36	Kadaba Srinivasadevar	198 0 0	Do	400
37	Do Anjaneyadevar	160 0 0		
38	Sampige Basti	156 10 3		
39	Turuvekere Byataraya	0 6 0		
40	Sagasandra Kempamma . ..	13 4 0		
41	Do Anjaneya	0 8 9		
42	Kallur Kalleswara and Veerabhadra ..	2 3 8		
43	Chelur Tirumaladevar . ..	—268 12 0		
44	Do Byatamma ..	0 10 0		
45	Do Drishteswara .. .	402 0 2	Do	1,000
46	Saragenahalli Narasimha	0 14 0		
47	Gubbi Byataravaswami	—50 8 9		
48	Chelur Byalada Ranganatha	881 8 0		
49	Chelur Byredevar	870 0 0		
50	Kadaba Malleswara	27 0 0		
51	Chelur Veerabhadra	22 0 0		
52	Mavinahalli Byatarayа	25 4 0		
53	Do Mallinathadevar	15 0 0		
54	Bettadahalli Veerabhadra .. .	5 4 1		
55	Dodachengavi Anjaneya .. .	52 0 0		
56	Seegehalli Lakshmidevi .. .	13 8 0		
57	Gubbi Malleswaraswami	255 12 0		
58	Chennanahajj Tulsamma	19 11 4		
59	Chitnahalli Ranganatha and Anjaneya ..	185 0 0		
60	Doddaheddigehalli Neeradeepada Anjaneya.	58 0 0		
61	Gorihatti Byredevar	41 2 0		
62	Yediyur Kalleswara	24 0 0		
63	Do Anjaneya	36 0 0		
64	Becharahalli Rangaswami	2 12 0		
65	Kalkere Lakshminarayana and Venkataramana	273 9 0		
66	Nittur Kalleswara	48 0 0		
67	Tharur Gangandhareswara	24 0 0		
68	Manikuppe Anjaneya	16 0 0		
69	Narayanahalli Byatarayaswami ..	24 0 0		
70	Dandinasivara Ranganath	10 0 0		
71	Manchaladore do	0 2 10		
72	Veerasagara Basti	70 4 0		
73	Sampige Veerabhadra and Mallikarjuna ..	186 8 0		
74	Do Malleswara	22 8 0		
75	Kadabha Khazi	129 2 0		
76	Hagalvadi do	179 11 0		
	Total Gubbi Taluk ..	12,205 9 4		5,100
	Tiptur Taluk			
1	Turvekere Byatarayaswami	593 0 8	In 6¼ per cent	500
2	Hatyal Narasimhaswami	5,283 13 4		
3	Karadi Rangaswami	17 13 8		
4	Turuvekere Gangadhareswara	246 7 10		
5	Do Aghoreswara . ..	0 15 4		
6	Do Shankareswaraswami ..	9 2 1		
7	Kannattur Gopalaswami	12 1 0		
8	Araluguppe Narasimhaswami ..	335 9 6	In 6¼ per cent	500
9	Vignasanti do	198 4 3	Do	1,000
10	Do Balalingeswara	303 0 3	Do	00

No. 1475-75—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Tiptur—*contd.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
11	Nonavinakere Byatarayaswami ..	274 9 10		
12	Turvekere Chennigarayaswami ..	16 15 11		
13	Nonavinakere Gopalaswami	1,104 5 7		
14	Honnavalli Kailaseswara	510 11 8	In 6¼ per cent	2000
15	Mayasandrada Varadaraja	....		
16	Do Anjaneya	197 3 6	In 6¼ per cent	500
17	Honnenahalli Lakshminarayanaswami ..	365 0 8	In 1865	700
			In 6¼ per cent	2,000
18	Do Anjaneyaswami	30 1 9		
19	Baluvanerlu do	565 11 9		
20	Manekikere Kariyamma	....		
21	Tiptur Kalleswara	333 8 0		
22	Sarthavalli Chennakesava	259 14 0		
23	Tirumalapur Anjaneya and Basavanna ..	....		
24	Kammaghattada Rangaswami	265 8 5		
25	Besege Siddeswara, Vinayaka and Basavanna.	377 8 5	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
26	Tiptur Byatarayaswami	3 8 10		
27	Turvekere Jain Basti	150 0 0		
28	Doddaghattada Laksmidevi	390 5 6		
29	Hullenahalli Subramanya	0 4 3		
30	Bairapur Kalamma	316 2 0		
31	Peddihalli Kariyamma	289 3 6		
32	Honnavalli Harihareswara	171 6 10		
33	Echanur Anjaneyaswami	191 5 1		
34	Hanchahalli Chennigaraya	9 0 0		
35	Aralaguppe do	240 0 0		
36	Settihalli Bairedevaru	114 5 3		
37	Duddada Anjaneya	169 6 0		
38	Mayasandrada Byataraya	20 2 4		
39	Bommanahalli Kalamma	68 0 0		
40	Tiptur Ramadevar	211 5 11	In 6¼ per cent	100
41	Kattigenahalli Narasimhaswami ..	43 3 0		
42	Kadur do	33 4 0		
43	Doderi do	3 0 0		
44	Vignasanti Kailaseswara	45 0 0		
45	Turvekere Brhamadevar	114 8 2		
46	Gudigondanal alli Kailaseswara ..	93 8 6		
47	Kibbanahalli Kalamma	46 0 0		
48	Kudivanghatta Tirumaladevaru ..	136 6 3		
49	Dasanghatta Chennigaraya	181 3 4	In 6¼ per cent	200
50	Kerekodi Anjaneya	763 8 6		
51	Honnavalli Kari Siddeswara ..	174 5 1		
52	Chikkasettikere Narasimha	185 15 11		
53	Sugoor Anjaneya	35 0 0		
54	Makanakatte Halasamma	81 4 0		
55	Nirgunda Kanchirayaswami	10 0 0		
56	Hebbur Veerabhadra	....		
57	Anjaneyaswami	38 8 0		
58	Ramasagar Janardhana	2 4 10		
59	Mavinkere Byataraya	194 0 10		
60	Banasandrada Hanumantha	270 12 6		
61	Tiptur Tirumaladevar	242 8 8	In 6¼ per cent	200
62	Sugoor Veerabhadradevaru	49 6 9		
63	Mayasandrada Kalleswara	258 4 10		
64	Baluvanerlu Udasalamma	50 0 0		
65	Gowdanahalli Kollapuradamma ..	125 2 3		
66	Vignasanti Gadde Kempamma ..	112 2 6		
67	Bellakere Anjaneyaswami	19 12 11		
68	Halkurki do .. .	50 5 4		
69	Vittalapurada Venkataramana	85 11 0		
70	Tadasur Asabhijadevar	72 0 4		
71	Bommanahalli Ranganatha	11 0 3		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Tiptur—*contd*	Rs. a p.		Rs.
72	Sugoor Kollapuradamma	159 4 4		
73	Suranhalli Kalaghatamma	337 10 6		
74	Baluvanerlu Kethareswara .. .	100 4 8		
75	Gudigondanahalli Ranganatha .	123 7 0		
76	Eddanahalli Tirumaladevar	20 6 0		
77	Chendrapur Anjaneya	10 12 11		
78	Mariyur Rangaswami	63 9 6		
79	Biligeri Anjaneya ..	....		
80	Keregodu Shankareswara . ..	2 5 8		
81	Ramasagarada Varadaraja	5 4 0		
82	Mayasandrada Gangavisweswara ..	—2 8 0		
83	Honnavalli Bairedevar	213 12 6		
84	Kuduranaghattada Ramadevar .	331 1 11		
85	Mayasandrada Narasimha . ..	45 11 8		
86	Hogavanaghatta Basavanna . .	107 3 0		
87	Honnavalli Hire Mutt . ..	51 15 1		
88	Turvekere Kodi Basvanna	363 1 8		
89	Mayasandrada Janardhana	1 3 6		
90	Buguvanadahalli Ranganatha ..	0 8 11		
91	Turuvekere Veerabhadra	0 4 6		
92	Tiptur Rangaswami . ..	...		
93	Turuvekere Maszid	37 7 3		
94	Do Khazi	4 13 4		
	Total Tiptur Taluk ..	19,151 14 7	..	9,200
	Pavagada Taluk.			
1	Pavagada Narasimhaswami	288 0 0		
2	Nidagal Lakshmi Narasimha	....		
3	Do Pattabhiramaswami	....		
4	Do Someswaraswami .. .	39 14 6		
5	Gujjanadu Anjaneyaswami	....		
6	Arakere Anjaneyaswami	70 12 0		
7	Siddapur Anjaneyaswami	45 9 11		
8	Sailapur Rameswaraswami	....		
9	Do Anjaneyaswami . ..	.....		
10	Nagalmidike Subramanyaswami ..	1 331 0 4	In 1865 In 6¼ per cent	200 2,000
11	Gudikunte Anjaneya	21 0 1		
12	Nagalamidike Narasimha	3 15 0		
13	Chennakesavapura Anjaneya . ..	41 15 7		
14	Venugopalaswamy .. .	86 0 0		
15	Nagalamidike Byatarayaswami ..	0 9 0		
16	Neeralakunte Anjaneya	7 14 9		
	Total Pavagada Taluk .	1,936 11 7		2,200
	Kunigal Taluk.			
1	Mr C. D. Ramaswamiah's Chattram ..	263 1 4		
2	Balchalli Simhasana Ganjam Mutt ...	24 3 6		
3	Yediyur Siddalingesvara	2,763 11 8	In 6¼ per cent	5 000
4	Damodar Doss Charities	24,483 4 7	In 1865 In 6¼ per cent	1,000 6,000
5	Kunigal Someswaradevar	49 6 9	In 1900-01	1,000
6	Do Narasimhaswamy ..	88 10 3		
7	Do Ramaswami	51 3 2		
8	Do Kanchi Varadarajaswamy ..	208 9 2		
9	Do Anjaneyadevar	8 0 4		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Kunigal Taluk—*contd.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
10	Nagasandrada Chendra Mouleswara ..	20 6 2		
11	Huliyurdurg Venugopalaswamy ..	80 8 2		
12	Amratur Chennigaraya	270 0 7	In 6¼ per cent	200
13	Do Rameswara	2 6 0		
14	Admarayanadevar on the Hill near Hutridurg	18 4 5		
15	Krishnadevar on the Hill near Hutridurg ..	. ..		
16	Veerabhadradevar on the Hill near Hutridurg.	142 12 11		
17	Gollakere Hanumantadevar	10 15 10		
18	Yaliyur Hanumantadevar	0 10 3		
19	Kuradahalli Ranganatha	487 12 1	In 6¼ per cent	200
20	Hosakere Eswara	3 13 3		
21	Bendarahalli Ramaswami	6 7 7		
22	Chikmadu Byatarayaswami	100 0 0		
23	Ujjani Gramadevati Maramma ..	....		
24	Jinnagar Rameswaradevar	520 2 1	In 6¼ per cent	200
25	Huliyurdurga Anjaneya	0 1 6		
26	Ujjani Someswaradevar	55 6 0		
27	Kumbarasettihalli Anjaneya	2 14 0		
28	Chennakesavaswami at Hommachanahalli.	138 10 0		
29	Sanaba Chennigaraya	—32 6 4		
30	Kutandahalli Ranganatha	—17 8 0		
31	Kunigal Halanur Haluramma ..	304 2 7	In 6¼ per cent	200
32	Gottigere Audinarayana	0 0 2		
33	Kunigal Manavalli Tirumalaraya ..	231 2 10		
34	Kaggere Siddalingeswara	126 2 9		
35	Mayasandrada Kalleswara	0 8 0		
36	Singanahalli Anjaneya	3 0 0		
37	Benchikal Venkataramana	167 13 10		
38	Jotirmaheswara	23 0 4		
39	Begur Chennigaraya	176 4 0		
40	Arehalli Anjaneya	52 9 8		
41	Hulubagal Anjaneya	205 6 0		
42	Halalgodu Umamaheswara	605 2 4		
43	Kottagere Anjaneya	93 0 0		
44	Kunigal Padmeswara	304 0 0		
45	Guddada Rangaswami	270 0 0	In 6¼ per cent	200
46	Yuliyur Honnadevi and Someswara .	231 13 5		
47	Nagasandra Anjaneya	104 14 0		
48	Gonnigere Narasimhaswami	4 9 0		
49	Gondagere Chennigaraya	177 10 8		
50	Sanaba Rameswara and Basavanna ..	294 0 0		
51	Kempanahalli Anjaneya .. .	—207 0 0		
52	Bidangere Venugopalaswamy ..	—21 15 7		
53	Somadevarpalyada Someswara ..	—70 8 2		
54	Yachaghattada Basavanna	—0 12 3		
55	Horur Laksmi Narayanaswami ..	420 12 7	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
56	Sanaba Someswaraswami .. .	16 1 4		
57	Yediyur Varadarajaswami . ..	164 14 1		
58	Turaganur Anjaneyaswami	35 2 0		
59	Bhaktarahalli Anjaneya	108 5 1		
60	Kote Anjaneya	83 0 0		
61	Hutri Ranganatha and Laksmidevi ..	435 10 8		
62	Markonahalli Thimarayaswami ..	0 4 0		
63	Nidusale Choudeswari	45 0 0		
64	Kaggere Narasimha	70 5 8		
65	Arakere Tirumaladevar	20 0 0		
66	Hosahalli Basavanna	34 0 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Kunigal Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a p.		Rs.
67	Santemavattur Gowri and Ganesha ..	2 10 9		
68	Do Narasimhaswami ..	37 4 9		
69	Kunigal Narubagal Anjaneya ...	19 4 0		
70	Arakere Timmarayaswami	30 0 0		
71	Bidangere Ranganatha	16 0 0		
72	Khazi	41 5 8		
	Total Kunigal Taluk ..	34,406 7 5		17,000
	Kodagi	27 6 0		..
	Total Tumkur District ...	90,395 6 0		59,400

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	MYSORE DISTRICT	Rs a. p		Rs
	Mysore Taluk			
1	Bakshi Narasappa's Chattra ..	618 10 9		
2	Bommiah's Chattra ..	2,933 12 8	6¼ per cent State Loan	1,000
3	Elwal do ..	..	1,865	100
4	Varuna do	..		
5	Basammiah's do	4,989 4 10	6½ per cent State Loan	2 000
6	Chickmunisami Setty Chattra ..	1,842 3 9		
7	Chidambara Iyer's Chattra and temple ..	44 0 0		
8	Siddalingapur Chandramowleswara ..	2,339 12 0		
9	Manonmaneswaraswami .	460 15 2	Do	2,000
10	Prasanna Nanjundeswara ..	1 523 9 9	1,865	400
			6¼ per cent State Loan	2,000
11	Anandur Tirunarayanaswami ..	464 8 4	Do	200
12	Prasanna Venkatramana ..	374 1 0	Do	1,500
13	Jain Basti	580 15 7	Do	1,400
14	Kodandaramaswami near Dodkere .	516 14 7	Do	200
15	Erangere Anjaneya ..	661 1 7	Do	1,000
16	Erangere Maridevate	56 5 9		
17	Hadachandra Lakshmi Narayana .	78 12 0		
18	Nagaval Lakshmikanta	294 13 1		
19	Hebbal do . ..	274 14 3		
20	Devambudi Varuna Mahadeveswara	769 7 10	Do	2,000
21	Lingambudi Varuna Mahalingeswara .	694 8 0	Do	500
22	Deenanathalal Dharmasala .	17 2 1		
23	Varakoduva Varadaraja	30 0 3		
24	Sankareswaraswami .. .	168 10 0		
25	Kalamma temple . .	104 1 8		
26	Siddalingeswara . ..	0 4 0		
27	Hinnakal Nanneswara	203 7 0		
28	Hiredevate Hallakere	31 15 10		
29	Anandur Amruteswara	183 8 9		
30	Nazarbad Ramadevaru .. .	6 4 8		
31	Jangamvadi Matt .	100 0 0		
32	Subrayadass Charities	....		
33	Sayarkatte Anjaneya	54 11 6		
34	Roman Catholic Church	...		
35	Kalasthawadi Anjaneya	20 11 8		
36	Parakalaswami Mutt	. ..		
37	Kamatigere Sivalingeswara ..	6 0 0		
38	Alanahalli Muddukrishna ..	10 0 0		
39	Bettadahalli Bhairavadevaru ..	. .		
40	Sundry temples at Benares ..	....		
41	Karikal Kasi Visveswara	469 5 4	6½ per cent State Loan	200
42	Panchamukha Anjaneya .. .	..		
43	Narasimhaswami ..	....		
44	Bade Makkan	640 9 7		
45	Nava Masjid	427 2 11		
46	Minor Mohamaden Institutions ..	....		
47	Jumma Masjid	695 12 8		
48	Kille Venkatramanaswami .	2 5 0		
49	Belavatta Basavanna	—7 11 0		
50	Dakshinabagal Ganapathi ..	4 1 0		
51	Kudanahalli Lakshmikanta .	10 8 0		
52	Hakim Sha Meakkan	....		
53	Astagram Khaji	....		
	Total Mysore Taluk .	22,897 9 10		14,500

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Chamrajnagar Taluk.	Rs. a. p		Rs.
1	Brahmin Chattra	651 0 2	1,865	500
2	Guddada Mutt Veerabhadra ..	893 5 1	1,854-55	3,000
			1,865	300
			1,879	800
			6½ per cent State Loan	1,000
3	Chamarajeswaraswami	29,082 2 7	1,865	11,100
			1,854-55	6,000
			6½ per cent State Loan	40,000
4	Veerabhadraswami ..	368 11 3		
5	Haradanahalli Gopalakrishna ..	710 1 0		
6	Ganaradhane Chattra	1,590 7 4		
7	Haradanahalli Kille Anjaneya ..	26 6 2		
8	Do Divyalingeswara ..	729 4 6	1,865	100
9	Mangala Lakshmi Narayana ..	358 7 7	1,865	100
10	Do Sankareswara	2 15 5		
11	Ummattur Ranganatha	456 13 1		
12	Do Bhujangeswara ..	—1 6 7		
13	Karivarada Venkatramana ..	—1 7 0		
14	Haradanahalli do ..	142 13 3		
15	Ummattur Lakshminarayana ..	47 4 0		
16	Do Sankareswara	0 2 2		
17	Venkatiahanachattrada Venkatramana ..	561 13 0	1,854-55	200
18	Mahantana Mutt	427 8 0		
19	Homa Janardhana	82 0 0		
20	Venkatramana	30 4 4		
21	Mangala Someswara	4 3 3		
22	Maliyur do ..	0 11 11		
23	Bujangeswara	194 4 8		
24	Kulgunda Basaveswara, Someswara and Parvati	225 8 9		
25	Haradanahalli Veerabhadra ..	49 0 0		
26	Honganur Arkeswara ..	—100 9 3		
27	Do Varadarajaswami ..	40 12 1		
28	Biligiri Ranganatha	2 1 0		
29	Kasba Lakshmikantaswami ..	1 12 5		
30	Sarovarada Anjaneya	—1 7 3		
31	Do Ganesha	—1 7 3		
32	Heralukote Janardhana	94 2 6		
33	Do Anjaneya	92 0 5		
34	Athigulapurada Channigaraya ..	....		
35	Yennehole Madeshwara ..	1 3 5		
36	Mangala Bhogeswara	—0 11 8		
37	Alur Channigaraya	26 2 6		
38	Amchavadi Anjaneya	18 4 0		
39	Kottarbehalli do	—1 7 3		
40	Kasba Jain Basti	16 0 0		
41	Maliyur Bettada Basti	241 0 0		
42	Harve Basti	53 13 5		
43	Parswanath Jain Basti	—8 15 6		
44	Ummattur Basti	....		
45	Maliyur do ..	536 1 1		
46	Thammadihalli Basti	28 5 0		
47	Kudur do	....		
48	Kempanjeswaraswami ..	69 11 10		
49	Kandachar Subba Rao's Chatra	156 6 5		
50	Kulgunda Someswara ..	71 8 6		
51	Hegga Rameswaraswami	0 4 0		
52	Khaja ..	119 4 0		
	Total Chamrajnagar Taluk ..	37,809 11 10		62,900

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Seringapatam Taluk.	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
1	Seringapatam Anna chatra	787 8 8		
2	Do Memorial chatra ..	153 14 5		
3	Melkote Anna Chatra	3,362 3 8		
4	French-Rocks do . ..	2,562 9 2		
5	Karighatta Venkatramanaswami ..	2,014 5 0	1,865 1,879	1,000 200
6	Gangadhareswara and Jotirmaheswara Swami.	—434 1 3		
7	Melkote Narayanaswami	1,718 13 7	1,842-43 1,865	2,000 5,400
8	Sree Ranganathaswami	1,158 12 8	1,865 1,879 1,865 1,879	3,000 300 4,000
9	Lakshminarasimhaswami	1,940 10 10	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	3,100 1,100
10	Thonnur Narayanaswami .. ... Yoganarasimha and .. .. Gopalakrishnaswami .. .	2,255 6 10	1,879 Do Do In 6¼ per cent State Loan	200 100 100 2,000
11	Melkote Yoganarasimha Pattabhirama ..	—2,352 0 2		
12	Nowl Krishnadas Charities	9,533 3 8	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	20,000
13	Subrayanakoppal Nanjundeswara ..	3 8 0		
14	Kirangur Pandurangaswami	530 7 6	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	500
15	Hosahalli Lakshminarayanaswami ..	944 12 1	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	500
16	Tirchangode Ardhanareswara .. .	576 11 10	Do	600
17	Venkatramana	5 11 0		
18	Thonnur Kailaseswara	205 1 0		
19	Gosaighat Kasi Visveswara	3,018 6 1	1,865 1,879 In 6¼ per cent State Loan	2,200 400 5,900
20	Kirangur Kasi Visveswara	0 0 0		
21	Srinivasakshetra Narasimhaswami ..	167 0 6		
22	Tirumalasagar Chattrada Venkatramana Swami.	327 9 6		
23	Paschimavahini Brindavanam	8 11 6		
24	Kirangur Manikarnike Gunja Narasimha Swami.	420 3 3		
25	Pete Lakshmi Narayanaswami.. ..	9,641 12 3	1,865 In 6¼ per cent State Loan	8,000 16,000
26	Kirangur Gnananandeswaraswami ..	224 0 2		
27	Melkote Bettada Narasimhaswami ..	169 8 4		
28	Balmuri Agastheswara	191 10 8		
29	Sivaganga Upasi Mutt	85 10 7		
30	Seringapatam Bramheswara .. .	3 0 0		
31	Maralagal Mardi Lingeswara	562 2 5	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	2,000
32	Arakere Maraleswara	144 15 2		
33	Somavarpet Anjaneyaswami . ..	414 5 0	Do	400
34	Belagulada Agastheswara ..	3 10 2		
35	Kulikoppal Anjaneyaswami ..	144 8 0		
36	Siddavaraswami . ..	35 0 0		
37	Paschimavahini Sopanada Anjaneyaswami..	59 12 6		
38	Do Gopalakrishnaswami ..	75 0 10		
39	Chandagal Gopalakrishnaswami ..	701 13 2	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	1,500
40	Seringapatam Malleswara .. .	11 9 11		
41	Attikuppe Anjaneya	76 12 8		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Seringapatam Taluk—*contd*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
43	Koppal Anjaneya	172 5 8		
45	Haravoo Ramadevaru	106 0 8		
44	Arakere Anjaneya	33 9 6		
45	Attikuppe Kasivisveswara	42 8 3		
46	Palinda Krishnaswami	10 8 0		
47	Gavidoor Subedar Chatra	50 0 0		
48	Seringapatam Kalamm	485 7 8	In 6½ Per cent State Loan	1,500
49	Karighatta Anjaneya	95 8 6		
50	Karemante Brindavana	48 11 0		
51	Yetiraja Matt	24 2 0		
52	Byragi Matt	26 10 0		
53	Gnananidhi Brindavana	2 10 0		
54	Balamuri Anjaneya	0 0 0		
55	Volakote Anjaneya	1 1 0		
56	Kyatnahalli Ramadevaru	45 2 1		
57	Chandravana Chameswara	0 0 0		
58	Chandagal Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami	159 9 0		
59	Kurjahalli Lakshminarayana	61 15 8		
60	Kirangur Ramadevaru	10 2 9		
61	Arakere Narasimha	19 2 8		
62	Do Channigaraya	19 2 8		
63	Sethuve Anjanevaswami	28 15 6		
64	Agraherada Rameswara	371 12 5 +71 14 0		
65	Ganjam Nageswara	27 2 2		
66	Do Anandeswara	0 0 0		
67	Khabagal Ganapathi	7 4 9		
68	Do Anjaneya	0 0 0		
69	Do Ramadevaru	0 0 0		
70	Thonnur Venkatramanaswami	4 6 0		
71	Ingalkuppe Anjaneya	21 12 7		
72	Seringapatam Janardana	12 9 8		
73	Sreenivasakshetra Balamuri Srinivasadevaru	115 6 2		
74	Do Lakshminarayana	21 9 7		
75	Seringapatam Basti Adeswara	64 11 1		
76	Do Anjaneya	79 12 1		
77	Melkote Rayaswami	0 8 8		
78	Maidanhalli Narayanaswami	51 3 1		
79	Kalvani Venkatramana	15 10 0		
80	Seringapatam Mari temple	11 13 3		
81	Lakshmidevi	5 0 0		
82	Kalkeradi Maridevi	24 4 9		
83	Ganjam Khan	99 9 9		
84	Peersha Makku	16 10 0		
85	Mangalapet Sah Hussain Darga	458 2 0		
86	Ganjam Makkan	0 0 0		
87	Kirangur Darga	0 4 0		
88	Atchappanakoppal Makkan	100 14 2		
89	Rampur Makkan	159 15 0		
90	Thonnur Salar Masood Darga	0 0 0		
91	Maralagala Maradi Anjaneya	—45 1 9		
92	Subbarayanakoppal Nanjundeswara	—48 6 6		
93	Maradahalli Kambada Narasima	111 9 7		
94	Kattori Pradevaru and Mahalingeswara	52 1 6		
95	Seringapatam Prasanna Venkataramana	260 11 11		
96	Do Gajendravarada	102 14 2		
97	Vilagudi Venkatramanaswami	102 14 2		
98	Krishnadiddi Anjaneya	70 9 6		
99	Hongali Tulasi Brindavana	68 14 11		
100	Belgola Do	55 5 1		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-note	Amount
	Seringapatam Taluk.—*concld.*	Rs. a. p		Rs
101	Melkote Pathaleswara	361 6 9		
102	Melkote Lakshmi Narayana	3 11 3		
103	Kannambadi Rameswara and Gopalaswami	101 11 0		
104	Gamanahalli Someswara	50 0 0		
	Total Seringapatam Taluk ..	46,406 0 7		82,200
	Hunsur Taluk.			
1	Bettadapura Kodandaramadevar ..	579 0 2	1,865 1,879 6¼ per cent State Loan	600 600 200
2	Do Mallikarjunadevar ..	290 5 5		
3	Annavalu Jinnedevaru	....		
4	Ramanahalli Guddamalleswara ..	103 9 1		
5	Hunsur Anjaneya	43 5 6		
6	Kasba Lakshmi Narasimhaswami ..	760 7 2	6¼ per cent State Loan	500
7	Periyapatna Vaideswara . ..	101 4 5		
8	Mandur Gopalaswami	176 15 7		
9	Do Anjaneyaswami	68 4 4		
10	Attigodu Mahadeveswara	411 9 7	6¼ per cent State Loan	200
11	Udakoor Venkatramana	40 6 2		
12	Kogundi Basaveswara	3 5 3		
13	Makodu do	420 4 0	6¼ per cent State Loan	400
14	Periyapatna Anjaneya	307 5 1	do	200
15	Dharmapur Kesavadevar	42 10 5		
16	Meliganakere Basaveswara	22 8 0		
17	Mallinathapur do	6 5 4		
18	Vedaparayana Service	108 0 0		
19	Periyapatna Masanikamma	614 11 11	6¼ per cent State Loan	1,000
20	Yelakalamma temple	71 9 7		
21	Khaja	12 0 0		
22	Devarayamudre Kallu	72 13 0		
23	Chilkunda Vedapatasala	33 12 0		
24	Kuppekolaghatta Lakshmidevaru ..	79 10 0		
25	Kattemalalavadi Rameswara	189 10 0		
	Total Hunsur Taluk ..	4,565 12 0		3,700
	Yedatore Taluk.			
1	Annachattram at Yedatore	247 9 2	1,879	200
2	Bheria Rest house .. .	....	1,879	100
3	Chunchankatte Chattra	1,025 1 3	.	
4	Yogananda Matt	82 11 4	..	
5	Chunchankatte Ramadevaru . ..	9,964 13 0	1,865 1,879 6¼ per cent State Loan	6,200 100 15,000
6	Arakeswara and Chandramowleswara .	1,029 5 10	1,865 1,879	500 800
7	Saligram Janardhana	3 11 11		
8	Mudlabid Chennigarayaswami ..	3 15 9		
9	Saligram Sambulingeswara ..	17 8 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-note	Amount
	Yedatore Taluk—*contd.*	Rs a. p.		Rs.
10	Bellur Ramadevaru	33 1 5		
11	Maradur Arkeswara	10 12 6		
12	Saligram Katcheri Vinayaka	14 0 3		
13	Mudlabaid Lakshmidevi	19 1 6		
14	Mirle Channarayaswami	3 9 6		
15	Do Yoganarasimhaswami	18 9 6		
16	Do Kodandaramaswami	210 10 6		
17	Mirle Hanumantharayaswami ..	14 0 6		
18	Do Sri Virupaksheswaraswami ..	32 4 3		
19	Do Amruteswaraswami	3 5 8		
20	Do Kanveswaradevaru	14 9 4		
21	Melur Sambulingeswara	95 1 5		
22	Mirle Hunsamma	35 7 6		
23	Saligram Vinayakadevaru	10 1 0		
24	Upparagi Anjaneya	14 3 6		
25	Chunchankatte Anjaneya	138 11 1		
26	Yedatore Narayanaswami	783 13 5		
27	Tippur do	681 7 10		
28	Do Kaveri Hemavati Sangameswara ..	242 4 0		
29	Do Gopalaswami	1 1 5		
30	Do Mallikarjuna	24 3 2		
31	Do Veerabhadra	23 11 7		
32	Hampapur Lakshminarayana	417 10 10		
33	Manchenahalli Baneswara	527 5 6		
34	Hampapur Durgaparameswari	1,021 13 2		
35	Do Hanumantharaya	1 7 4		
36	Tippur Patnadamma	145 13 8		
37	Saligram Kere Anjaneya .. .	14 6 5		
38	Atchakkana Mantapa do	0 15 4		
39	Mudlabeid Anjaneya	0 15 4		
40	Chikkenahalli do . ..	10 8 8		
41	Bellur do	37 1 0		
42	Kallimuddanahalli Anjaneya ..	0 15 4		
43	Sabalu do	5 15 8		
44	Hosagrahar Lakshminarayana	.. .		
45	Bherya Mukhyapranadevaru	252 13 10		
46	Hebsur Anjaneyadevaru	11 0 4		
47	Bhashyakar's Paduka at Saligram ..	42 9 5		
48	Chendagal Krishnaswami	582 9 1		
49	Vadanambi Acharya at Saligram ..	....		
50	Yoganarasimha at Saligram	1,085 5 11	1,865 6¼ per cent State Loan	100 1,000
51	Yedatore Suryanamaskara	445 13 5		
52	Thandare Deveeramma	620 14 2	1,865 6¼ per cent State Loan	200 2,000
53	Katanalu Sambulingeswara	....		
54	Thippur Anjaneya	....		
55	Bommanhalli do	428 11 4		
56	Jangam Matt	....		
57	Hadya Veerabhadra	642 8 9	6¼ per cent State Loan	2,500
58	Do Basaveswara	1,235 10 5	do	5,000
59	Yedatore Anjaneya	228 5 3		
60	Galigekere Amrteswara	—67 10 5		
61	Kamenahalli Basaveswara	454 3 2		
62	Hanasoge Mukhyapranadevaru ..	508 2 0	6¼ per cent State Loan	1,500
63	Yedatore Chamarajeswara	43 14 0		
64	Saggere Gramadavate and Chowdeswari ..	....		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Yedatore Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
65	Saggeri Someswara	166 9 2		
66	Do Basavanna	866 6 5	$6\frac{1}{4}$ per cent State Loan	500
67	Karathalu Rameswara	—175 13 11		
68	Katte Basappa's Charities	1,116 6 9		
69	Thippur Basaveswara	72 4 6		
70	Arjunahalli Basaveswara	563 4 1		
71	Kalumalli Vinayaka	....		
72	Hanasoge Lakshmi Narayana	105 0 0		
73	Mirle Deveeramma	17 1 7		
74	Chunchinakatte Basaveswara	546 4 9	$6\frac{1}{4}$ per cent State Loan	500
75	Saligram Basaveswara	1 4 0		
76	Do Lakshmidevi	25 15 3		
77	Hampapurada Hanumantha	0 8 4		
78	Saligram Jotirmaheswara	572 1 0		
79	Mysore Chamarajeswara	—719 13 0		
80	Hosur Gopalakrishnaswami	398 5 8		
81	Haradanahalli Anjaneya	81 11 6		
82	Ningameswara	0 7 6		
83	Doranahalli Malleswara	4 4 0 +2 6 3		
84	Do Narayanaswami	2 12 0		
85	Tippur Arkeswara	41 8 7		
86	Saligram do	116 12 9		
87	Yedatore Yoganarasimha	264 8 0		
88	Hosagrahar Chamarajeswara	150 0 0		
89	Kamanahalli Visveswara	38 8 0		
90	Yedatore Basaveswara	285 0 0		
91	Marasowdanhalli Ramadevaru ..	22 0 0		
92	Doddenahalli Malleswara	5 10 0		
93	Saligram Chatra	370 0 0		
94	Arjunahalli Malleswara ..	620 6 9		
95	Hanasoge Gramadevate	353 14 4		
96	Saligram Durga Parameswari	0 11 8		
97	Hanasoge Avimukteswara	1,171 0 8		
98	Madhavanahalli Veerabhadra	124 10 4		
99	Someswara	377 0 0		
100	Malur Sangameswaraswami	3 7 4		
101	Kuppahalli Basavanna	3 15 0		
102	Kudur Lakshmi Narayana	1 15 0		
103	Ramasandra temple	192 0 0		
104	Anjaneya do	1 3 2		
105	Chendragal Anjaneya	1 1 10		
106	Deveeramma	126 1 10		
107	Kartal Veerabhadra	192 11 7		
108	Khaji at Saligram	66 7 10		
109	Khaji at Yedatore .. .	65 7 4		
110	Seguval Amruteswara	283 13 9		
111	Do Basaveswara	23 3 10		
112	Kestur Gopalakrishnaswami	39 0 0		
113	Hadya Anjaneyaswami . ..	226 5 8		
114	Lakar halli Basaveswara ..	37 8 0		
115	Chikkatte Anjaney .	4 6 0		
116	Hanoge Nadittheere Anjaneya	990 1 0	$6\frac{1}{4}$ per cent State Loan	1,000
117	Manchenahalli Basaveswara	0 8 0	..	
	Total Yedatore Taluk	33,848 1 7	..	37,200 0 0

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Heggaddevankote Taluk	Rs a. p		Rs.
1	Kundur Chikkadeveeramma	693 1 10	1854-55 1865 6¼ per cent State Loan	2,700 100 1,400
2	Kyatnahalli Lakshmikanta . ..	391 5 1	6¼ per cent State Loan	200
3	Nallakere Rameswara	135 10 8		
4	Kottagala Arkeswara	129 13 5		
5	Madapur Mallikarjuna	31 0 6		
6	Sargur Narasimha.	—18 0 3		
7	Malale Chikka and Dod Basti.. ..	196 6 7	1865	100
8	Paschimabagal Anjaneya ..	20 5 3		
9	Varahi *alias* Maramma	51 5 4		
10	Malali Gopalakrishna . ..	0 0 0		
11	Someswaraswami	336 6 4	6¼ per cent State Loan	200
12	Kittur Narayanaswami	0 0 0		
13	Gangadhareswaraswami . ..	25 2 9		
14	Sundapur Kalabantaraya	19 8 2		
15	Kottagal Lakshmikanta . ..	310 3 6	6¼ per cent State Loan	200
16	Megudlu Neelakanteswara . ..	1 15 1		
17	Bidigali Bastigudi ..	15 6 0		
18	Heggaddevankote Varadaraja	0 0 0		
19	Varahi Anjaneya	1 10 6		
20	Hebbal Kuppe Rameswara . ..	228 4 5		
21	Hampapur Anjaneya	114 8 4		
22	Belathoor Narasimha . ..	77 12 0		
23	Maluyur Bilkumaraswami	148 12 3		
24	Masahalli Bastidevaru	164 6 2		
25	Maliyur Kirthinarayana	297 8 8		
26	Kittur Rameswara..	16 11 9		
27	Do Basti	18 4 8		
28	Purvabagal Anjaneya	85 4 8	1865	100
29	Heggaddevankote Lakshmidevi.. ..	75 10 9		
30	Hulipura Gopalaswami	48 14 3		
31	Nisana do	24 11 11		
32	Katcheri Ganesha	1 15 7		
33	Vattakere Basaveswara	3 10 1		
34	Sargoor Someswara	13 7 5		
35	Ghante Hanumantha	0 0 0		
36	Hallakere Temple	1 7 0		
37	Magge Baneswara	208 13 9		
38	Saggere Sankareswara	26 14 6		
39	Kasba Masjid	26 3 4		
40	Sundapur Narasimhaswami	17 6 3		
41	Kangala Baveswara	40 0 0		
42	Karagula Anjaneyaswami	0 13 7		
43	Bachanahalli Venkataramana . ..	87 8 0		
	Total Heggaddevankote Taluk ..	4.036 9 7		5,000
	Gundlupet Taluk.			
1	Himavad Gopalaswami	1,069 3 9	1865 6¼ per cent State Loan	300 1,000
2	Varadarajaswami	16 14 0		
3	Prasanna Venkataramana	292 2 9	1865	200

No. 1475-76 Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Gundlupet Taluk—*contd*	Rs. a. p		Rs
4	Paravasudevaru	762 9 9 + 22 5 10		
5	Terakanambi Varadaraja	196 10 6		
6	Ambikeswaraswami . ..	40 3 3		
7	Hongalada Varadarajaswami	53 2 9		
8	Venkataramanaswami	0 0 0		
9	Terakanambi Someswara	102 11 4		
10	Do Mulasthaneswara ..	38 7 5		
11	Do Anjaneya	82 5 6		
12	Kote Ganolepet Anjaneya ..	0 0 0		
13	Kasba Chattra	1,583 4 11		
14	Triambakapura Triambakeswara ..	69 5 9		
15	Sukara Vasudeva temple	2 2 6		
16	Kasalur Basti	16 12 2		
17	Begur do	184 5 8		
18	Terakanambi Veerabhadra	2 7 7		
19	Vijaya Narayana	6 14 9		
20	Rameswaraswami	61 4 5		
21	Hosur Ganesha	6 1 11		
22	Kondagala hill Parvatamma	6 11 5		
23	Terakanambi Ghati Vinayaka . ..	1 1 6		
24	Do Ramabhadraswami .	19 13 6		
25	Do Gopalaswami ..	10 1 10		
26	Bandi Venkataramana	49 12 3		
27	Kasba Ganesha	45 12 10		
28	Thondavadi Varadarajaswami	13 4 6		
29	Gundlupet Ramadevaru	0 11 7		
30	Khaji	10 1 7		
	Total Gundlupet Taluk ..	4,763 15 6		1,500
	Nanjangud Taluk	Rs a p.	Rs	Rs
1	Brahmin Chattra	1,845 12 6	6½ per cent State Loan	1,000
2	Ganaradhana Chattra .. .	1,592 11 11	Do	1,000
3	Kaulande Do	1,201 10 3		
4	Kadakola Do ..	1,493 3 2		
5	Sree Srikanteswaraswami . .	15,316 14 2	1,896-97	500
6	Gonnahalli Maremma .	359 0 0	1865	200
7	Nanjangud Anjaneya	4 1 0		
8	Riverbank Chamundeswari . ..	719 12 8		
9	Kalale Lakshmikanta . ..	1,210 9 3	1,854-55	200
10	Konanur Gowripatheswara ..	956 0 7	1,865	300
11	Kalale Ramadevaru ..	9 8 10		
12	Do Anjaneyaswami . .	42 2 3		
13	Sree Raghavendraswami Mutt .	1,329 4 7		
14	Kalale Someswaraswami	136 7 10		
15	Do Alandamma . ..	244 3 6		
16	Uppinahalli Kalyadevi ..	102 4 2		
17	Khasa Nagarkatte .	219 5 9		
18	Kalale Brindavana Venkatramana	144 5 4		
19	Heddathala Lakshmikanta ..	26 9 7		
20	Kathivadipur Gopal Krishna and Krishnarajeswara .	2,186 7 1		
21	Jangam Kasi Matt ..	1,215 14 3		
22	Tayur Varadarajaswami .	1,771 15 6		
23	Do Anjaneya and Venugopala ..	—399 1 4		
24	Do Narayanaswami .	555 6 8		

No. 1475-76 Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B —*contd.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Nanjangud Taluk— *concld.*	Rs a p		Rs.
25	Tagadur Ankanatheswara	1,518 12 2		
26	Chikadevi	0 13 0		
27	Hullahalli Varadaraja	51 14 0		
28	Koulande Karyada Siddeswara ..	3 134 8 3		
29	Suttur Sankaranarayana	51 13 11		
30	Hemmaragal Gopalakrishna	—68 7 0		
31	Hadinaru Channigaraya	8,896 3 8	6½ per cent State Loan	2,000
32	Chikkahana Chattrada Prasanna Nanjundeswara	822 11 8		
33	Rampur Rameswara	763 13 9		
34	Narasimhapurada Basaveswara ..	173 8 0		
35	Hulimavoo Maremma	806 12 10		
36	Tayur Irmeswara Jagadeeswara ..	488 13 8		
37	Immavu Ramadevaru	25 1 0		
38	Hadinaru Rameswara	22 8 0		
39	Maralur Sambulingeswara	32 0 0		
40	Hullahalli Malleswara	....		
41	Hura Mallikarjuna	562 14 0		
42	Thagadur Mulasthaneswara	979 9 2		
43	Mirle Basaveswara	54 0 0		
44	Viswesvaraswami	14 8 0		
45	Jogithopu Mallikarjuna	2 6 0		
46	Nanjangud Parasuramadevaru	7 2 7		
47	Kalale Mahigamma	5 13 4		
48	Gurusere Mallikarjuna	0 11 8		
49	Sinduvalli Sankareswara	28 1 9		
50	Hedathal Nageswara	.		
51	Devanur Lakshmikanta	69 2 11		
52	Tagadur Lakshmiramana ..	248 12 6		
53	Tayur Kalamma	—1 8 0		
54	Mallanmule Basaveswara	.....		
55	Hullahalli Anjaneya ..	2 12 9		
56	Bilagali Venugopala	361 1 5		
57	Guddada Malleswara	157 1 4		
58	Chamarajanagar Basavanna ..	..		
59	Hedathale Anjaneya	..		
60	Nagatte Basti	250 9 6		
61	Tagadore Do	89 13 4		
62	Kirugunda Do	158 15 4		
63	Belagali Do	40 1 8		
64	Konanur Narayanaswami	47 12 10		
65	Tayur Kasivisveswara	0 11 8		
66	Hullahalli Khan ...	14 15 8		
67	Marvadanur Do	0 0 6		
68	Karyada Masjid ...	22 1 6		
69	Khandya Do	6 8 8		
70	Nanjangud Narayanaswami ...	—53 2 6		
71	Sinduvalli Jangam Mutt	—274 3 10		
72	Hulimavoo Channigaraya	—0 14 6		
73	Kumarlu Nageswara	80 10 0		
74	Chamalapur Hundi Lokeswara ...	63 0 0		
75	Tibballi Basaveswara	127 8 0		
76	Rampur Anjaneyaswami	—256 11 2		
77	Nanjangud Basaveswaraswami ...	109 2 0	In 6½ per cent State Loan	1,000
	Nanjangud Taluk Total ...	52,478 4 10		6,200

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	T.-Narsipur Taluk.	Rs. a. p		Rs.
1	Parakala Mani	....		
2	Avimukteswaraswami	160 4 6		
3	Tiramkudlu Chattra	3,563 10 1		
4	Sosale Honnadevi and Veerabhadra ..	452 1 10	1854-55	20,500
			Do	500
			6¼ per cent Mysore State Loan	5,000
5	Tiramkudlu Agastheswara ..	1,726 12 11	1854-55	1,800
			1865	100
			1879	100
			6¼ per cent State loan	2,500
6	Talkad Keerti Narayana	3,615 6 1		
7	Narsipur Gunja Narasimha ..	1,839 10 8	1865	300
8	Mugur Tripura Sundari	5,330 0 9	1854-55	300
			1865	1,000
			1879	1,000
9	Mugur Narayanaswami	96 10 10		
10	Do Deseswaraswami	1,666 14 2	1854-55	1,100
11	Talkad Vydeswara	11,857 2 7	Do	200
			Do	500
12	Ookkalgere Mallikarjuna	2,605 12 6	Do	4,300
13	Narsipur Mulasthaneswara ..	128 7 6		
14	Sosale Janardhana	1,325 8 1		
15	Hemmige Someswara	97 15 8	—1854-55	100
16	Gavi Mutt	....		
17	Narsipur Kalabhairava	51 0 0		
18	Mugur Doddabasti . ..	390 15 7		
19	Narsipur Rangaraja Gopalaswami ..	31 11 8		
20	Talkad Muduktore Mutt	12 0 0		
21	Mugur Visveswara . ..	5 0 0		
22	Talkad Mallikarjuna	468 0 0		
23	Maddur do	1 7 0		
24	Hittuvalli Venugopala . ..	1,979 5 7		
25	Narsipur Mahabaleswara	128 8 0		
26	Bannur Nadikere Rameswara ..	93 0 8		
27	Dodda Jain Basti	0 5 3		
28	Tiramkudlu Anjaneya	....		
29	Srirangarajapur Venugopala ..	678 2 0		
30	Mugur Vinayaka . ..	4 6 9		
31	Sosale Hanumanthadevaru	19 2 5		
32	Kudera Mullikarjuna	25 0 0		
33	Muduktore Bhairavadevaru	6 1 6		
34	Hemmige Varadaraja	396 13 4		
35	Muduktore Topina Mutt	1,890 2 7		
36	Bannur Ramadevaru ..	1,389 9 4		
37	Do Anjaneyadevaru . ..	662 1 6		
38	Basavanpur Mahabaleswara ..	1,421 15 7		
39	Sosale Venugopala	7 14 4		
40	Narayanaswami ..	0 1 6		
41	Chicka Basti . ..	231 6 10		
42	Somanathpur Janardana ..	551 4 10		
43	Vidya Shankara Matt ..	268 6 0		
44	Vyasaraya Brindavana ..	.. .		
45	Gurge wari Gargeswara	5 13 7		
46	Rangasamudra Brahmeswara ..	3 10 3		
47	Mugur Veerabhadra	—12 9 10		
48	Do Anjaneya ..	10 3 0		
49	Bannur Hemadriamma	18 12 10		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulares of Pro-notes	Amount
	T.-Narsipur Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
50	Muthatti Amriteswara	1,163 3 0		
51	Srirangarajpur Anjaneya	40 8 0		
52	Gudnallimari temple	143 3 10		
53	Talkad Agastheswara	30 13 11		
54	Sankaranarayanaswami	40 0 0		
55	Mahadeswaraswami	1 2 8		
56	Rangasamudra Janardhana ..	3 14 6		
57	Mugur Agastheswara	1 0 9		
58	Bannur Masjid	990 0 0		
59	Chidravalli Khaji	....		
60	Narasipur Khaji	250 6 0		
61	Malingi Janardana	3 4 6		
62	Talkad Anjaneyaswami	3 13 4		
63	Bannur Kailaseswara	28 7 0		
64	Rig Veda service	36 4 0		
65	Yejur Veda service	36 4 0		
66	Samaveda do	36 4 0		
67	T.-Narsipur Kalabhantaraya ..	3 7 10		
68	Kolatur Vigneswara	42 0 0		
	Total T.-Narsipur Taluk ..	48,060 3 7	....	39,300
	Malvalli Taluk.			
1	Marehalli Lakshmi Narasimha ..	250 13 5	1865 1179 In 6¼ per cent State Loan	1000 100 1000
2	Malvalli Gangadhareswara ..	11 12 3		
3	Marehalli Mulasthaneswara ..	7 7 6		
4	Avichakanahally Sambulingeswara ..	26 9 0		
5	Karigaval Bheemeswara ..	31 4 0		
6	Kyatagatte Harihareswara ..	195 0 0		
7	Chikkarsinkere Sambakesava ..	130 0 0		
8	Karigarasu Someswara ..	26 0 0		
9	Hagadur Maridevaru ..	5 8 0		
10	Kerangui Someswara ..	9 12 0		
11	Sivasamudra Ranganatha ..	0 0 0		
12	Malavalli Arkeswara ..	1 1 6		
13	Nittur Arkeswara ..	34 13 5		
14	Malavalli Sarangapani ..	27 6 3		
15	Kalkuni Manchigamma ..	108 0 0		
16	Marehalli Amruteswara ..	3 14 3		
17	Subtor Lakshmi Narayanaswami ..	4 13 1		
18	Nikkeri Veerabhadra ..	2 9 1		
19	Malavalli Khaji	0 15 4		
	Malvalli Taluk Total ..	877 11 1		2100
	Mandya Taluk.			
1	Kasaba Anna Chattra	0 0 0		
2	Honnalgere Narayanadevar ..	75 10 9		
3	Maddur Chattra	3232 9 8		
4	Kasba Janardana	686 15 4		
5	Do Narasimha	372 6 10		
6	Attagoor Siddarameswara ..	11 6 0		
7	Holalu Krishna, Narayana and Thandaveswara	41 13 5		
8	Anantha Padmanabha	43 1 2		
9	Maddur Visveswara and Ganesha ..	0 0 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro notes	Amount
	Mandya Taluk—*concld*	Rs a p		Rs
10	Mandya Sakaleswaraswami	105 11 1	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	200
11	Basaralu Mallikarjuna	66 0 2	Do	200
12	Kilar Someswara	19 12 5		
13	Kolagere Anjaneya	242 2 0		
14	Mandya Basavanna	55 9 1	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	200
15	Guthale Gopalakrishna	120 8 10		
16	Haralakere Venkatramana	145 2 9	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	300
17	Budanur Visveswara	0 0 0		
18	Kadalipur Venkatramana	15 12 6		
19	Vaidyanathapur Vaideswara	32 7 9		
20	Nilavagal Yoganarasimha	54 9 9		
21	Valagerhalli Venkatramana	4 15 8		
22	Besagarhalli Chennakesava	48 1 3		
23	Anasosale Anjaneya	7 4 8		
24	Koppa Venkatramana	6 14 4		
25	Do Mahalakshmidevi	19 9 8		
26	Do Channigraya	11 12 0		
27	Do Anjaneya	11 12 7		
28	Singarajpur Paymaster Venkata Roa's Chattra	0 4 4		
29	Hannevahalli Arkeswara	54 15 0		
30	Khaji	0 15 8		
31	Keragodu Panchal ngeswara and Basaveswara	154 8 9	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	200
32	Kirangur Varadarajaswami	225 4 11	Do	400
33	Basral Nageswaraswami	0 0 3		
34	Muddanaikanahalli Siddarameswara	9 3 1		
35	Dudda Lakshminarasimhaswami	155 2 4	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	100
36	Sodalalu Bramheswara	163 0 0	Do	100
37	Besagarhalli Kaivalya Rameswara	219 5 0	Do	200
38	Basral Lakshmi Narayana	98 0 0		
39	Belathur Someswara	191 0 0	In 6¼ per cent State Loan	100
40	Hebbavade Malleswara	7 4 0		
41	Vimmadihalli Mahadevamma	10 0 0		
	Mandya Taluk Total	6,459 15 5		2000
	Krishnarajpete			
1	Krishnarajpete Chattra			
2	Do Malleswaradevaru	1,437 6 3	6¼ per cent State Loan	2,000
3	Hemagiri Venkatramana	—476 9 8	Do	9,000
4	Harnharpur Kesavadevaru	545 9 3		
5	Kikkeri Subbarao's Chattra	2,595 5 0	1,865 1,879 6¼ per cent State Loan	7,100 14,800 2,000
6	Kannambadi Mahalakshmi	1,183 14 1	1 865	100
7	Kundur Chikdevamma	38 11 0		
8	Kikkeri Yoganarasimha	576 5 4	1,865	500
9	Chinkurli Chattra	484 8 0		
10	Bandihole Sreekanteswara	1,057 8 4	6¼ per cent State Loan	1,000
11	Akkihebbal Konkaneswara	583 15 3		

No 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Krishnarajpet Taluk—*contd.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
12	Mallikarjuna	48 7 9		
13	Gummanahalli Anjaneya	12 9 3		
14	Hebbal Narasimhaswami	145 9 5		
15	Mandagere Someswara	—1 11 7		
16	Kikkeri Ammanavaru	271 7 11		
17	Kallahalli Varahaswami	10 14 8		
18	Akkihebbal Amriteswara	34 7 0		
19	Kikkeri Bramheswara	725 2 10		
20	Sindaghatta, Narayangeri Kaivalyadevi ..	37 7 0		
21	Appagalu Veerabhadra	99 4 0		
22	Henmuge Anjaneya	100 0 0		
23	Mandagere Kesava and Anjaneya ..	1,243 0 3	6¼ per cent State Loan	500
24	Sasalu Someswara	89 8 0		
25	Lokanhalli Shambulinga	647 10 5		
26	Kannambadi Gopalakrishna	254 5 1		
27	Hoskote Basaveswara	444 15 9		
28	Choudanhalli Dodmaramma	24 5 0		
29	Kannambadi Kameswara	84 13 4		
30	Do Hiredevate	0 0 0		
31	Puravanhalli Bramhadevaru	29 5 0		
32	Do Hanumantha	17 0 8		
33	Do Vandareswara	46 6 8		
34	Hosahalli Narayana	912 5 5		
35	Bindiganavale Venkatramana	1 7 3		
36	Kikkeri Subramanyeswara	0 9 7		
37	Krishnarajpete Anjaneya	5 8 0		
38	Do Narayanaswami ..	....		
39	Do Basaveswar	300 0 0		
40	Akkihebbal Kesava	29 11 3		
41	Kyathanhalli Ramadevaru	350 6 1		
42	Bukankere Ranganatha	90 9 1		
43	Dodhalli Narayanaswami	55 10 0		
44	Gouripuje	452 0 0		
45	Bukankere Venkatramana	30 13 3		
46	Agale Lakshmi Narayana	53 1 1		
47	Do Malleswaraswami	179 4 2		
48	Appanahalli Veerabhadra	165 9 11		
49	Yekkanahalli Sambudevaru	268 6 0		
50	Govindanhalli Veerabhadra	128 13 4		
51	Agalada do	150 0 0		
52	Bevanhalli Anjaneya	208 3 8		
53	Chinkurli Ramadevaru and Anjaneya ..	694 11 3		
54	Madapur Triambakeswara	1,008 1 5		
55	Hariharpur Channigaraya	3 0 0		
56	Sakrebachahalli Veerabhadra ..	87 10 0		
57	Maradi Lingeswara	6 0 0		
58	Bukankere Kaivalyeswara	158 4 8		
59	Akkihebbal Narasimha and Anjaneya ..	1,431 8 2	6¼ per cent State Loan	500
60	Hariharpur Harihareswara	48 2 1		
61	Narayangere Kaivalleswara	50 1 5		
62	Hosur Rangaswami	.....		
63	Agrahar Bachehalli Amruteswara ..	.....		
64	Bharatipur Gopalaswami	19 8 9		
65	Athikoppa Vinayaka ..	28 2 9		
66	Ankanatheswara	0 7 0		
67	Anegola Paravasudevar	3 0 0		
68	Yerrevalale Arkeswara	67 2 3		
69	Kannambadi Rameswara	121 15 11		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Krishnarajpet Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
70	Halemadapur Anjaneya .. .	50 0 0		
71	Govinahalli Gopalaswami	11 8 0		
72	Bukinakere Gouramma .. .	24 9 10		
73	Madapur Tenkeramma ..	51 3 9		
74	Nandipura Amma	4 0 0		
75	Kadambi Anjaneya	67 12 10		
76	Sindaghatta Lakshmi Narayana ..	51 7 6		
77	Santebachahalli Anjaneya	50 0 0		
78	Do Mahalingeswara ..	572 1 4		
79	Madapura Channigaraya . .	231 4 6		
80	Do Anjaneya	330 1 5		
81	Vaddaragudi Lakshmidevi	1,187 1 9		
82	Chikkalale Arkeswara	163 9 3		
83	Doddamudigere Kattammadevaru .	107 15 0		
84	Sasalu Shambulingeswara	54 1 6		
85	Kurubara Mallenahalli Boredevar ..	3 12 0		
86	Sindaghatta Sangameswara ..	7 15 9		
87	Anegolada Byredevaru	31 15 0		
88	Doddabaganahalli Narayana	4 9 6		
89	Karatal Tavarekere Amma .	49 15 0		
90	Krishnarajpete Masjid .. .	300 0 0		
91	Sindaghatta do . ..	...		
92	Attikuppe Khaji .. .	....		
93	Kikkeri Khaji .. .	71 13 4		
	Total Krishnarajpete Taluk	22,506 8 3		37,500
	Nagamangala Taluk.			
1	Bindiganavale Kesavadevaru ..	1,276 15 8	6½ per cent State Loan.	1,000
2	Nagamangala Yoganarasimha ..	508 8 11		
3	Bellur Mule Sankara ..	320 0 0		
4	Kenchanahalli Maledevaru ..	9 10 1		
5	Bellur Anjaneya ..	—44 10 1		
6	Soumya Kesavadevaru ..	142 11 10		
7	Honnavarada Honnadevi Siddeswara ..	173 10 9		
8	Devalapur Lakshmikanta ..	345 9 0	6½ per cent State Loan	1,000
9	Bellur Madhavarayaswami ..	268 4 2		
10	Honnavarada Lakshmikanta ..	204 15 5		
11	Kasaba Kalamma	169 5 6		
12	Nagamangala Venkatramana ..	2 14 6		
13	Ankanahalli Anjaneya ..	149 0 8		
14	Devalapur Gangavisveswara	70 3 2		
15	Srirangapur Hanumantha .	12 0 0		
16	Manjenahalli Krishnadevaru .	36 0 0		
17	Government Chattra and Anjaneya ..	....		
18	Kotelettada Venkatramana .	186 6 2		
19	Honnenahalli Malleswara ..	17 8 0		
20	Manivar Bhyravadevaru	11 4 0		
21	Thuggahalli Venkatramana .	89 15 6		
22	Nagamangala Kodandarama	...		
23	Do Bhuveneswara .	25 15 5		
24	Dhanikara Byredevaru and Mahalingeswara	4 9 0		
25	Dindigehole Narayanaswami .	55 5 4		
26	Nagamangala Nagareswara ..	141 15 10		
27	Somanahalli Ammanavaru ..	42 0 11		
28	Nagamangala Veerabhadra	.. ..		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Nagamangala Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
29	Kadagabalu Ramadevaru ..	114 8 9		
30	Bheemanahalli Anjaneya ..	459 1 0		
31	Nagarle Someswara ..	1 5 3		
32	Nagatihalli Do ..	1 7 5		
33	Kyatavalli Krishnadevaru ..	6 4 0		
34	Chaluvalli Anjaneya ..	1 12 5		
35	Mylarpatna Mylaralingedevaru ..	18 13 2		
36	Jangam Mutt at Bellur ..	0 2 9		
37	Honnavalli Gopalakrishna and Anjaneya ..	115 8 6		
38	Khaji (Jumma Masjid) ..	0 8 11		
39	Nagamangala Channakesava ..	—382 10 3		
40	Nelligere Hatnada Garuda ..	—3 7 9		
41	Doddappala Eswara ..	—4 15 9		
42	Nagamangala Basavanna ..	50 0 0		
43	Mulakatte Lakshmidevi ..	7 8 0		
44	Nelligere Bellur Gangavisveswara ..	8 12 0		
45	Dodagada Channigarayaswami ..	85 2 0		
46	Doddappala Channigaraya and Tirumaladevaru	36 0 0		
47	Devalapur Kodi Tirumaladevar ..	83 8 3		
48	Abesandra Narasimhaswami ..	31 1 0		
49	Madihalli Kaivalyeswara ..	9 0 0		
50	Maradavanahalli Lakshmidevi ..	112 9 0		
51	Dadagada Kesava ..	88 2 8		
52	Doddappala Veerabhadra and Kalleswara ..	26 5 5		
	Total Nagamangala Taluk ..	5,086 6 6		2,000
	Kodagi ..	640 8 0		....
	Total Mysore District ..	2,90,417 4 7		2,96,100

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1920

Annexure B—*contd*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro notes	Amount
	HASSAN DISTRICT			
	Hassan Taluk	Rs a p	Rs	Rs
1	Hassan Chattra ..	1,104 4 9		
2	Gramı do .	.	1 884 55	500
3	Kudurugundi Lakshminarayana	30 0 0		
4	Chikkagiri Rangaswami ..	361 15 2		
5	Mudugiri Yogaıarasimha .. .	215 2 8		
6	Heragu Kerthi Narayana	225 2 8	6½ per cent State Loan	500
7	Dudda Govindrajaswami	24 2 0		
8	Mailanahalli Rangaswami ..	101 9 1		
9	Gramı Yogaıarasimhaı ..	335 9 9	6½ per cent State Loan	1 000
10	and Anjaneyaswami			
11	Palya Eriyarmuthkaswami	173 8 0		
12	Hassan Siddeswara	—106 14 3		
13	Markuli Rangaswami .	25 8 1		
14	Hassan Hasmambideva .	210 6 9		
15	Do Chanıa'Kesavaswami ..	238 0 10		
16	Do Virupaksheswami ..	282 9 1	6½ per cent State Loan	1 000
17	Gramı Chunnakesava ..	—791 14 4		
18	Kausika Basavanna ..	—56 9 0		
19	Holalu Yogaıarasimha	135 15 3	6½ per cent State Loan	200
20	Gramı Dharmeswara .	64 15 7		
21	Gorur Yogaıarasimha	45 7 5	1,879	100
22	Palya Lakshmi Janardhana .	319 3 2	1,879	600
			6½ per cent State Loan	4 000
23	Do Gowri and Ganesha . ..			
24	Hangıra Lakshmi Narayanaswami ..	10 0 0		
25	Dudda Bhyravaswami ..	11 11 9		
26	Bailahalli Janardhanaswami . ..	179 12 5	6½ per cent State Loan	800
27	Honnavara Kodagamma ..	6 1 0		
28	Bommanhalli Deveeramma	2 1 0		
29	Chikkanahalli Rangaswami	140 6 4		
30	Jogarahalli Basavanna .. .	121 8 6		
31	Pura Rameswara .	30 8 8		
32	Do Veerabhadraswami	45 9 3		
33	Karkihalli Arianeya	6 0 0		
34	Bahalapura do ..	22 0 9		
35	Nidadi Basavanna .	30 0 0		
36	Kanatur Durgammı	357 6 11	6½ per cent State Loan	1,200
37	Bisalahalli Amma .. .	84 4 0		
38	Koravangada Veerabhadra	16 0 0		
39	Ambugı Channakesava .. .	225 11 3	6½ per cent State Loan	200
40	Yellagunda Hallada Rameswara ..	153 3 8		
41	Yedıyur Basavanna ..	18 7 11		
42	Heragu Narasimhadevaru .	19 2 0		
43	Settihalli Rameswara ..	14 14 0		
44	Kurgi Channakesava ..	215 8 0	6½ per cent State Loan	100
45	Hasan Hallada Rameswara . ..	158 7 0		
46	Kenchanakanhalli Anjaneya ..	20 8 0		
47	Adabalu Ranganatha	203 9 1	6½ per cent State Loan	1,000
48	Kondaji Varadarajaswami	237 12 0		
49	Marisu Malleswaraswami	4 0 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Hassan Taluk—*contd.*	Rs a p	Rs.	Rs.
50	Kengal Channakesava ..	305 0 0	....	....
51	Shanka do	58 6 6	....	....
52	Hangal Vardhamanaswami	104 0 0	....	....
53	Ambuga Subramanyaswami	5 4 0	....	....
54	Doddabasti Paraswanathaswami ..	155 15 4	6¼ per cent State Loan.	800
55	Birthavalli Agastheswara	11 0 0	....	....
56	Lakkinahalli Lakshmi Janardhana ..	51 13 5	1,879	500
57	Hassan Chikka Jain Basti	209 13 2	....	....
58	Gubegudda Rangaswami	224 8 0	6¼ per cent State Loan.	200
59	Nallur Channakesava	232 6 10		
60	Honahalli Basavanna	97 0 11		
61	Hassan Adeswaraswami	290 0 0		
62	Bovanchapalli Lakshmi Janardhana ..	40 0 0		
63	Kudaragundi Anjaneya	66 0 0		
64	Devadahalli Chandamma ..	8 14 0		
65	Chowligere Malleswara	112 3 10		
66	Ambuga Someswara	426 0 0		
67	Madiya Chandramma	143 0 10		
68	Mugulur Keswadevaru	32 2 0		
69	Ammyanahalli Anjaneya	4 0 0		
70	Kurubarahalli Tirumaladevaru ..	40 12 0		
71	Honnavara Kailaseswara	52 4 6		
72	Gorur do ..	157 7 10		
73	Do Anjaneya	7 1 0		
74	Honnavara Veerabhadra	38 12 6		
75	Hassan Nirbagal Anjaneya ..	20 9 8		
76	Grama Ranganathaswami	61 0 6		
77	Yellagunda Siddaramadevaru .	6 3 6		
78	Hassan Pete Basavanna	15 1 9		
79	Pulya Anthanghattamma	60 0 0		
80	Hassan Jogur Anjaneya	5 1 7		
81	Marada Chaluvamma	22 11 7		
82	Honnavar Kondamma	20 11 0		
83	Grama Maridevate	0 8 0		
84	Hommada Gramadevate	5 2 8		
85	Krishnappa's Chattra .. .	100 15 9		
86	Agiley Kesavaswami	83 0 0		
87	Muttige Kesava and Anjaneya ..	20 8 0		
88	Dodda Uddehalli do	2 14 0		
89	Khaji	76 8 0		
90	Auchalli Kalikadevi	10 12 6		
91	Dodda Chikadalu Channarayaa ..	6 8 6		
92	Golenahalli Veerabhadra	120 8 0		
93	Banavar Anjaneya	12 0 0		
94	Bilagaravalli Bettada Ranganatha ..	69 6 0		
95	Honnavar Byredevaru	33 12 6		
96	Amagondanahalli Anjaneya	28 8 0		
97	Hosahalli do	8 8 0		
98	Malagodu do	30 12 0		
99	Mosale do	15 8 0		
100	Kattayya Rameswara	60 8 0		
101	Sahgame Sivenhalli Anjaneya	8 0 0		
102	Hosur Ranganatha	22 8 0		
103	Sahgame Channakesava	184 1 5		
104	Banavasi Anjaneya	24 0 0		
105	Avverahalli Malleswara	60 4 0		
106	Yogihalli Anjaneya	57 3 3		
107	Chikkalalu Channarayaswami ..	172 10 2		
108	Doddakuduruvalli Lakshmidevi ..	5 10 0		

No. 1475-76—MUZ. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

ANNEXURE B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	HASSAN TALUK—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.	Rs	Rs
109	Hosur Anjaneya	10 8 0		
110	Chendravalli Rameswara .. .	125 4 0		
111	Chatnahalli Rameswara	25 0 0		
112	Muttatti Bhuvaneswara	132 1 0		
113	Do Bhuteswara	76 15 0		
114	Hyrana Someswaradevaru	20 9 5		
115	Chikeyadenahalli Harihar .. .	325 0 0		
116	Ugani Kesavadevaru . ..	238 10 5		
117	Do Anjaneya	60 0 0		
118	Muttatti Ranganatha ..	22 8 0		
119	Kausik Yegneswara and Channakesava ..	158 8 0		
120	Halasinahalli Anjaneya .. .	8 13 6		
121	Singondanhalli Ganesha	14 0 0		
122	Gangana Lakshminarayana .	41 2 0		
123	Tejur Anjaneya	60 0 0		
124	Kottayya Gopalakrishnaswami ..	60 0 0		
125	Modihalli Mallikarjuna	18 0 0		
126	Muttige Channakesava	13 2 0		
127	Kottayya Saktidevate .	40 0 0		
128	Kowsik Lakshmidevi ..	94 0 0		
129	Khadarvalli Basti . .	190 2 0		
130	Bhuvanahalli Channakesava . ..	72 8 0		
131	Do Devecramma .	87 2 9		
132	Morasu Basavanna	9 0 0		
133	Muddanahalli Rangaswami and Anjaneya	60 0 0		
134	Doddaguddavalli Virupaksha Lakshmidevi and Kali	. .. 1,000		
	Total Hassan Taluk ..	12,599 6 1	..	12,700
	MANJARABAD TALUK			
1	Bisle Chattram	86 9 11		
2	Sikmi Saklespur Chattram ..	1,720 12 4		
3	Jangam Matt	50 0 0		
4	Sampushta Narasimhaswami Mutt ..	203 1 0		
5	Uppinangadi Subramanya	832 1 5		
6	Barahazar Santarpane	2,238 11 1		
7	Hiremande Bettada Bairava ..	77 7 1		
8	Bembali Amriteswara	47 2 1		
9	Jambuhalli Malleswara	19 1 6		
10	Rameswara *alias* Malleswara	36 3 6		
11	Margather Komaralingeswara ..	45 1 6		
12	Vongur Sabbamma . ..	21 11 0		
13	Kerodi Gopalakrishnadevaru	52 9 11		
14	Belluru Malleswara . ..	51 5 6		
15	Kattigadde Venkatramanaswami ..	19 5 3		
16	Hallibailu Basavanna	20 2 10		
17	Karodi Kalabhairava	13 4 9		
18	Basavanna	9 5 6		
19	Basavanhalli Dharmeswara . .	11 0 4		
20	Magulu Malikarjuna	34 10 2		
21	Lingappa Baswanna	17 0 2		
22	Meguli Gramadevati Kenchamma ..	78 10 5		
23	Doddabellur Kalleswara *alias* Rameswara .	34 12 1		
24	Bailahalli Gopalakrishna .	24 11 6		
25	Chikkallur Veerabhadra ..	17 15 6		
26	Sugni Devecramma ..	18 10 11		
27	Bairapura Mallikarjuna	16 6 7		
28	Sivanahalli Basavanna	17 15 7		

No. 1475-76 -Muz 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Manjarabad Taluk- *concld*	Rs a p	Rs.	Rs.
29	Kudurangi Veerabhadra . ..	17 15 8		
30	Hiregaudhi Anjaneya	12 12 0		
31	Guddathi Dharmalideyar	12 13 7		
32	Uchangi Rameswaradevar	495 3 0		
33	Yesalur Rameswaradevar ..	59 12 1		
34	Yesturpet Basavanna	30 13 7		
35	Agar Anjaneya	43 0 4		
36	Atiganahalli Malleswara *alias* Rameswara..	89 8 6		
37	Agar Maramma	29 9 11		
38	Do Chowdi	14 14 0		
39	Do Malleswara	29 9 11		
40	Hallib Basavanna ..	29 3 11		
41	Bommenkere Mallikarjuna	68 15 10		
42	Ummattur Malleswara	36 7 6		
43	Hettur do . ..	19 5 5		
44	Chikkettur Komareswara .. .	22 4 5		
45	Mukahalli Rameswara	29 2 1		
46	Kapini Bhuta Dalbigh	140 6 8		
47	Prasanna Nanjundeswara at Hoskote ..	45 1 6		
48	Kurigal Malleswara	33 12 3		
49	Saklespur Anjaneya	....		
50	Dhatri Prasannamma at Harihalli ..	....		
51	Saklesvaraswami at Saklespura ..	—778 11 11		
52	Hoskote Kempammadevaru	3,379 3 4		
53	Uchangi Anjaneya	419 13 4		
54	Lakammadevaru	36 4 9		
55	Hanakere Veerabhadra	20 0 0		
56	Chargadihalu Jangam Mutt	263 2 9		
57	Honnagar Channakesava	78 0 0		
58	Kerihalli Kesavadevaru	50 0 0		
59	Subramanya	36 3 0		
60	Mallapur Anjaneya	297 1 3		
61	Murgattur do .. .	.		
62	Bailu Mallikarjuna	1 13 2		
63	Kumbardi Malleswara	10 10 6		
64	Ramadevaru	1 3 7		
65	Bhartur Rameswara	0 7 10		
66	Banagere Gowramma	0 9 7		
67	Pete Ossie Anjaneya	....		
68	Tippurada Rameswara	0 11 9		
69	Byrahalli Do	26 2 6		
70	Hanabail Bhairavadewaru	50 10 0		
71	Dodsettigal Ahobaleswara	30 15 11		
72	Kundur Rameswara . ..	4 3 6		
73	Kosalapura Do	4 11 6		
74	Ujjanahalli Anjaneya	0 13 10		
75	Arikere Malleswara	1 11 8		
76	Do Suggiamma	1 12 1		
77	Muthsagar Anjaneya . ..	1 8 2		
78	Do Narasimhaswami	1 8 2		
79	Do Gopalakrishnaswami ..	1 8 2		
80	Do Malleswaradevaru ..	2 3 11		
81	Karodi Gramadewathe	0 5 9		
82	Do Bramheswara	5 4 4		
83	Ajjampadi Malleswaradevar	0 3 11		
84	Kambardi Byredevaru	4 5 0		
85	Hosakote Anjaneya	80 0 0		
86	Holekere Kalleswara and Amma ..	297 8 0		
87	Malale Malleswara	39 6 0		
88	Hasale Veerabhadra	23 6 0		
89	Do Gramadevaru	20 8 0		
90	Saklespur Masjid	....		
91	Khaji	0 5 0		
	Total Manjarabad Taluk ..	11,431 15 11	....	....

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Arkalgud Taluk	Rs a p	Rs	Rs
1	Ramnathpur Chatra	710 13 9		
2	Chaturvuga Rameswara .	185 5 7	1879	6
3	Subramanyeswara ..	1,091 0 10	1865	
4	Agastheswara .. .	1824 3 3		500
5	Konanur Gopalakrishnaswami	319 9 0	1872	200
6	Do Venkatramanaswami ..	207 1 0	6¼ per cent State Loan 1,879 6¼ per cent State Loan	200 100
7	Ramapatna Lakshmikanta ..	76 12 1		
8	Pranathartiharaeswara	44 5 4		
9	Hebale Chamakesavaswami	7 11 7		
10	Do Shankareswaraswami	5 15 6		
11	Do Basaveswaraswami . .	6 8 7		
12	Do Anjaneyaswami .	2 5 2		
13	Do Mahalingeswaraswami	5 8 10		
14	Konanur Nanjundeswara .	172 12 7		
15	Do Rameswaraswami	183 8 0		
16	Gramadevate Hebbalamma	9 6 4		
17	Arkalgud Lakshmiramanasvamma ..	578 1 8	6¼ per cent State Loan	500
18	Do Amrateswaraswami .	265 12 2		
19	Do Sambunathesvara . .	254 13 1		
20	Mallipatna Ulmeswara .. .	17 1 8		
21	The two Anjaneyas of Uttaradhi Mutt at Ramnathpur	12 2 6		
22	Vyasaraya Pratishte Anjaneya	..		
23	Kattepurada Anjaneya . ..	110 4 3		
24	Rudrapatna Chamakesava . .	59 8 7		
25	Pattabhiramaswami	—603 5 0		
26	Mallirajapatna Lakshmi Narasimha ..	44 15 6		
27	Do Lakshmaneswara and Nanjundeswara	132 9 4	6¼ per cent State Loan.	200
28	Suryanamaskara Gayatrisile ..	48 3 0		
29	Konanur Bhavani Sankara	127 14 6		
30	Maralu Anjaneyadevaru . ..	99 8 10		
31	Haradur Ranganatha	200 0 3	6¼ per cent State Loan.	400
32	Hulikal Venkatramana	131 1 11		
33	Kerlapur Sreenivasadevar ..	95 14 6		
34	Muduvatti Shankareswara	19 12 0		
35	Ramanathpur Anjaneya . .	40 0 0		
36	Basavanahalli Basavanna	139 0 0	6¼ per cent State Loan.	200
37	Kerlapur Ganeshadevar ..	40 0 0		
38	Kallapurada Anjaneya . ..	11 15 6		
39	Arkeswara	6 14 4		
40	Hingal Anjaneyadevaru . ..	4 10 0		
41	Mallirajpatna Agastheswara .	7 8 0		
42	Do Subramanya ..	0 5 8		
43	Kerlapura Veerabhadra . .	3 0 0		
44	Hopete Mallikarjuna ..	1 8 0		
45	Gubbi Kesavadevaru . ..	81 4 6		
46	Gurulingeri Malleswara and Basaveswara ..	60 8 0		
47	Hanigal Hongalamma .	25 0 0		
48	Yeroti Malleswara . ..	26 0 0		
49	Kerlapur Masjid ..	500 0 0		
50	Arkalgud Mosque ..	1,754 0 0		
	Total Arkalgud Taluk	6,078 9 8	..	5,500

NO. 1475-76—MUZ. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

ANNEXURE B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	BELUR TALUK.	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
1	Belur Chattram	374 14 9		
2	Raghavendraswami Mutt	9 6 6		
3	Channakesavaswami Belur	80 13 7	1842—43	500
		....	1854—55	1,000
		....	1865	6,000
		....	1900—01	1,000
4	Belur Pancha Anjaneya	61 0 0		
5	Halebid Hoysaleswaraswami ..	531 4 8	6½ per cent State loan.	1,500
6	Intitolale Veerabhadradevaru ..	74 6 0		
7	Kudur Ammadevaru	40 4 0		
8	Tharagada Lakshmi	42 12 0		
9	Hulligundi Anjaneya	9 8 0		
10	Belur Amruteswara	52 8 0		
11	Bikkodu Amruteswara	264 4 9		
12	Belur Bridge Ganesha	437 0 0	Do	200
13	Bernur Beeredevaru	55 1 6		
14	Adgur Deveeramma ..	150 0 0		
15	Siddapur Mallikarjuna	111 8 0		
16	Saggalur Deveeramma .. .	197 0 0	Do	200
17	Marihalli Hariharesvara .. .	297 15 9		
18	Gangur Ramanathaswami ..	252 14 0	Do	500
19	Hosahalli Beeradevaru ..	2 5 3		
20	Maravalli Anjaneya	49 8 0		
21	Halebid Ranganathaswami ..	144 6 6		
22	Bydane Chowdamma ..	60 2 10		
23	Belur Yoganarsimha	102 0 0	Do	200
24	Pushpagiri Mallikarjuna	146 14 11		
25	Bikkodur Anjaneyadevaru	100 9 4		
26	Kodihalli Ranganatha . ..	152 2 1	Do	400
27	Rajanasimhu Yoga Narasimha	40 0 0		
28	Adagur Maramma	19 6 0		
29	Belagavalli Amruteswara	155 13 0		
30	Belur Nanjundeswara . ..	0 1 10		
31	Padavalur Tirmaladevaru ..	54 6 0		
32	Madihalli Kesavadevaru ..	41 14 0		
33	Sonnenahalli Kariamma and Maramma ..	165 7 4		
34	Muttugame Someswara and Malledevaru ..	140 0 0		
35	Bellanada Chowdamma	0 12 0		
36	Niluvagal Anjaneya .. .	38 2 0		
37	Karehalli do . ..	17 0 0		
38	Sasivehalli do	3 0 0		
39	Nandigodanahalli Maramma ..	0 8 0		
40	Lakshmipur Basavanna	5 1 0		
41	Adagur Lakshminarayanaswami ..	120 7 5		
42	Hireguppe Channigarava	5 0 0		
43	Kadagost Anjaneya . ..	59 15 3		
44	Bydane Gopalakrishna	210 12 0		
45	Hiregonji Honnamma	0 8 4		
46	Harehalli Suggiamma . ..	0 10 2		
47	Bikkodu Deveeramma	31 4 0		
48	Malalur Veerabhadra	21 14 0		
49	Kirogodu Hanumantha ..	22 15 6		
50	Tumbadevahalli Kesavaswami ..	35 0 0		
51	Belur Sankareswara .. .	52 6 0		
52	Bikkodu Veerabhadra	94 10 10	Do	1000
53	Kesagodu Malleswara .. .	40 0 0		
54	Saggalur Deveeramma	50 0 0		
55	Siddapur Mallikarjuna	20 0 0		
56	Madihalli Veerabhadra	—25 0 0		
	Total Belur Taluk ..	5182 3 7		12500

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Channarayapatna Taluk	Rs. a p	Rs	Rs
1	Chattram at Channarayapatna ..	....	1865	2 500
2	Sravanabelgola Mutt	4,525 12 8	1854-55	5,000
			1865	18,000
			6¼ per cent State Loan.	21,000
3	Nuggehalli Lakshminarasimha, Venugopala and Narasimha	852 2 7	Do	1,200
4	Channarayapatna Channakesava ..	298 9 7	1879	100
5	Rameswara and Chandresekhara ..	227 10 5		
6	Anati Someswara	202 3 7		
7	Begur Venugopalaswami	—0 15 7		
8	Dindigur Anjaneyaswami.. ..	12 1 4		
9	Subba Rao's Anjaneya at Channarayapatna	318 14 6	6¼ per cent State Loan	500
10	Nuggehalli Sadasivadevaru ..	286 9 9		
11	Jambur Jakkeswara	233 15 2		
12	Belaguli Byateswara	9 15 8		
13	Hireseve Chowdeswari	110 2 5		
14	Agrahar Ratneswara	33 2 8		
15	Santeswara and Gangadhareswara ..	271 0 9	6¼ per cent State Loan	200
16	Holey Lingeswara	70 0 0		
17	Bukanabettada Ranganathaswami ..	141 10 9	6¼ per cent State Loan	400
18	Sagatawally Lakshmi Narayana ..	445 7 6		
19	Gollarhosahalli Arkeswara ..	278 8 2	6¼ per cent State Loan.	500
20	Dindagur Malleswara and Kesava ..	820 4 4	Do	1 000
21	Gollarhosalli Lakshmidevi ..	3 4 0		
22	Kemhalu Honnadevi	86 3 9		
23	Nagarur Sambulingeswara ..	5 6 0		
24	Bargore Anjaneyadevar	40 8 0		
25	Navile Nageswaraswami	181 11 3		
26	Santammadevaru and Santeswara ..	14 15 9		
27	Nuggehalli Bikkeswara	13 0 0		
28	Matighatta Channakesava	15 2 2		
29	Nallur Lakshmidevi	105 5 3		
30	Anekere Amma	214 5 10		
31	Sagatavalli Bairedevaru	32 8 0		
32	Anati Lakshmi Narayana.. ..	125 0 0		
33	Karehalli Ranganatha	212 1 4		
34	Sravanabelgola Anjaneya.. ..	90 4 3		
35	Baladore do	14 0 0		
36	Kattarighatta Sreenivasadevaru and Amma..	107 13 9	6¼ per cent State Loan.	200
37	Yachanaghatta Mahalingeswara ..	84 1 3		
38	Bendanahalli Anjaneya	26 4 0		
39	Do Eswaradevaru ..	22 0 0		
40	Nuggehalli Basavanna	29 0 0		
41	Kambala Narasimha and Lakshmi Narayana	399 6 9	6¼ per cent State Loan.	400
42	Melkote Narayanaswami ..	637 4 0		
43	Kallumallanhalli Anjaneya ..	3 0 0		
44	Jambu Anjaneya	10 14 0		
45	Anati Gowramma	12 0 0		
46	Karehalli Rangasami	17 3 9		
47	Jambu Channakesava ..	5 4 2		
48	Begur Santamma	8 8 0		
49	Hireseve Amruteswara	3 8 0		
50	Kalkere Eswara	70 0 0		
51	Gollarahosalli Ranganathadevaru ..	7 0 0		
52	Dyavanur Someswara	22 12 0		
53	Somanahalli Basti temple	19 15 4		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Channarayapatna Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p	Rs.	Rs.
54	Chalya Bairedevaru	11 4 0		
55	Ankanhalli Eswara	5 0 0		
56	Jadiswar Agrahar Bidagodu temple ..	68 4 0		
57	Sosalgori Anjaneya	49 6 6		
58	Bekka Do	10 0 0		
59	Mukkara Malleswaradevar ..	10 0 0		
60	Dindigur Santammadevaru ..	1 14 0		
61	Kuruvankadevaru	3 4 0		
62	Gangigere Basavanna	1 12 10		
63	Bukka Ranganathaswami and Chowdeswari	—13 15 0		
64	Yeliyur Anjaneya	—323 0 0		
65	Hebbaladu Chanakesava	245 14 0	6¼ per cent State Loan.	200
66	beladari Chandikamma	30 2 6		
67	Khaji	73 6 6		
68	Bakkarahalli Nageswara ..	20 0 0		
69	Jinnahalli Kesavadevaru	58 6 3		
70	Narayanaswami	7 11 3		
71	Choulenahalli Anjaneya	89 7 11		
72	Aruvanahalli Rameswara	5 0 0		
73	Kodihalli Byredevaru	75 0 0		
74	Somdevdupur Someswara	2 8 0		
75	Masakanahalli Malleswara ..	1 13 9		
76	Duggenahalli Venkatramana ..	1 1 6		
77	Belaghatta Ranganatha	0 12 6		
78	Chikkenahalli Guddada Ranganatha ..	2 0 0		
79	Hosahalli Kesava ..	7 1 1		
80	Bavagur Anjaneya	167 0 0		
81	Hiresave Kesava	22 3 0		
82	Yachaghatta Mahalingeswara ..	10 0 0		
83	Dyavanur Siddeswara	5 5 0		
84	Dodguni Lingeswara	7 14 11		
85	Dandinahalli Madhavaraya ..	6 5 0		
86	Do Byredevaru	4 0 0		
87	Bidare Do	10 8 0		
88	Belagahalli Narasimha	54 0 0		
89	Kodihalli Anantalingeswara ..	25 0 0		
90	Karehalli Ranganatha	11 0 0		
91	Gurigaranahalli Kudre Maramma ..	5 9 3		
92	Athahalli Malleswara	30 8 0		
93	Dindiganur Eswara	26 9 0		
	Total Channarayapatna Taluk ..	12,554 12 7		51,600
	Arsikere Taluk.			
1	Chattram at Arsikere	1,038 2 5		
2	Do Banawar	0 0 0		
3	Tirupathi hill Venkataramanaswami ..	3,464 12 1	1879	900
				1,500
				2,000
			6¼ per cent State Loan	2,000
4	Chandeswari *alias* Chamundeswari ..	396 8 4		
5	Talalur Shamhalingeswara	87 8 6		
6	Gandasi Channakesavadevaru	712 9 9	6¼ per cent State Loan.	1 000
7	Do Shambulingeswara	697 8 7	Do	600
8	Holalkere Rameswara	50 4 0		
9	Harnahalli Cannakesava	1,178 8 2	6¼ per cent State Loan.	2,000

No. 1475-76– Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Appendix B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash			In Investment	
					Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Arsikere Taluk—*concld*	Rs.	a	p		Rs.
10	Garudangeri Chandramowleswara ..					
11	Do Shankareswara	165	9	4		
12	Do Anjaneya ..					
13	Banawar Kalleswaraswami	28	11	5		
14	Chaluvanahalli Rameswara	21	14	2		
15	Banawar Marthanda Bhairava .	94	11	1		
16	Nerlage Channakesavaswami	205	9	4		
17	Gangigeripura Ranganatha	60	5	6		
18	Kanakatte Anjaneya	10	7	8		
19	Do Lakshminarayana . ..	32	0	0		
20	Kalgunda Venkataramanaswami ..	—334	13	6		
21	Banawar Channakesava	246	0	9		
22	Harnahalli Someswara	526	13	0	6¼ per cent State Loan	1,000
23	Bettadapurada Ranganatha ..	476	12	8	Do	1,200
24	Manikattur Bairavadevar . ..	22	8	6		
25	Banawarpet Basavanna .. .	0	0	0		
26	Javagal Lakshminarasimha ..	590	1	8	6¼ per cent State Loan.	600
27	Do Channakesava	50	14	0		
28	Kuruvankanda Anjaneya Banavar ..	61	5	0		
29	Jakkanahalli Amma . ..	55	5	0		
30	Arsikere Eswara	0	0	0		
31	Bettadapura Ranganatha	21	10	11	1879	100
32	Hiragonne do	11	0	0		
33	Lalankere Anjaneya .. .	70	12	10		
34	Hariharpur Ranganatha	10	0	0		
35	Yelavare Hanumantha	279	13	8	6¼ per cent State Loan	200
36	Gandasi do	285	3	8	Do	400
37	Arasikere do	102	14	9		
38	Marthur Marthanda Bairava	1	6	7		
39	Mathavapurada Ranganatha .. .	0	0	0		
40	Byelerahalli Byredevaru . ..	1	1	0		
41	Javagal Anjaneya	12	14	0		
42	Kondeganahalli Anjaneya	10	0	0		
43	Bandikere Do	88	6	6		
44	Do Marthandasiddeswara ..	88	6	6		
45	Kellangeri Lakshminarayana ..	93	7	7		
46	Bagade Madhavarayaswami	41	3	3		
47	Hullakere Channigaraya	39	12	1		
48	Honnuvalli Anjaneya . ..	2	0	0		
49	Arsikere Gollarahalli Anjaneya . ..	41	4	0		
50	Undaganal Kariamma	38	9	6		
51	Harihalli Kotyammah	92	7	0		
52	Narnaghatta Kalleswara	5	14	2		
53	Kallangere Antarghattamma .. .	4	0	0		
54	Banasandra Bramheswara ..	10	10	0		
55	Hullekere Kalleswara	4	8	0		
56	Yerraganal Kenchamma	57	0	0		
57	Cutcherry Ganapathy .	4	0	0		
58	Manikattur Bhairava ..	1	5	8		
59	Kondanal Anjaneya ..	15	6	6		
60	Banavar Agrahar Anjaneya .	10	5	9		
61	Honnandra do	10	10	0		
62	Chikkanal Gopalakrishna	117	0	0		
63	Ramapura Anjaneya ..	120	0	0		
64	Chikkandasi Gopalaswami .	76	5	0		
65	Kalgunda Gandasi . .	17	2	0		
	Total Arsikere Taluk	11,717	6	7		15,500

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Hole-Narsipur Taluk.	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
1	Sree Uttaradhi Mutt	326 4 3		
2	Lakshminarasimhaswami at Hole-Narsipur	294 12 9	1,879	200
3	Pattabhiramaswami and Neelakanteswara swami	1,640 4 0	1,865 1,879 6¼ per cent State loan.	5,000 900 2,000
4	Gopalakrishnadevaru at Hole-Narsipur ..	....		
5	Killebagal Anjaneya	....		
6	Paschimabagal Anjaneya	....		
7	Nadeebali Anjaneya	3 4 5		
8	Mavinkere Venkataramanadevaru ..	474 0 2		
9	Arkeswara at Yeksha Ankanathpur ..	289 8 9		
10	Chikpalaghattamma	20 15 6		
11	Mavanur Kesavaswami	2 14 4		
12	Malali Lakshmidevi	378 14 2		
13	Gavisomanahalli Rangaswami	46 3 10		
14	Narsipur Raghupathidevaru	130 0 3		
15	Kalammadevi	66 15 6		
16	Sunkada Vinayakadevaru	120 8 4		
17	Yannehole Rangaswami	3,996 6 0	6¼ per cent State Loan.	3,500
18	Hunasemarada Anjaneya	37 1 9		
19	Gunja Narasimhaswami	215 15 10		
20	Gubbi Channakesavaswami	24 6 3		
21	Pattadanahalli Beeramma	5 5 6		
22	Pattadanahalli Eswaradevaru	12 0 0		
23	Antaraghattamma	197 2 6		
24	Yellaspura Yella Nanjeswara	4 0 0		
25	Bagivalli Rameswara and Veerabhadra ..	550 0 0		
26	Hole-Narsipur Yellammadevaru ..	203 7 5		
27	Ankanathapur Ankanathadevaru ..	115 11 8		
28	Naldur Pirka Lakshmidevi	182 8 0		
29	Hulikal Pirka Narayanaswami ..	4 0 9		
30	Hulikal Venkatramanaswami	67 7 2		
31	Benki Paradesi Mutt	1 11 6		
32	Lakkur Lakkuramma	0 8 0		
33	Amriteswara	3 0 0		
34	Chikgogirhalli Anjaneya	1 3 8		
35	Maliyur Lakshmidevi	2 5 6		
36	Bagevalu Guddedevaru	88 10 5		
37	Madapur Jambukeswara	6 15 7		
38	Halekote Udasalamma	20 6 8		
39	Hazarada Ganapathy	—59 4 0		
40	Peddanahalli Kesava	58 4 10		
41	Kodihalli Anjaneya	45 0 0		
42	Mavinkere Markandeswara	148 8 10		
43	Marsettihalli Byredevaru	12 0 0		
44	Ganjee Basavanna	5 11 11		
45	Elachalli Eswara	60 0 0		
46	Kannambadi Narasimhaswami ..	128 12 0		
	Total Hole-Narsipur Taluk ..	9,932 0 0		11,600
	Kodgi ..	85 8 0		..
	Total Hassan District ..	69,581 14 5		107,400

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	SHIMOGA DISTRICT.	Rs. a p.		Rs
	Shimoga Taluk			
1	Shimoga Chattra ...	....		
2	Kudli Sringeri Matt	109 2 8		
3	Satyavarahaswami Brindavanam ..	.. .		
4	Swady Hayagreeva Matt	944 13 7		
5	Kudli Rameswaraswamy	250 11 8	6¼ per cent	500
6	Shimoga Laxminarayana and Gopalakrishna.	221 14 2		
7	Shimoga Anjaneya and Nalavagal Gopalakrishna	99 11 5		
8	Benkipur Laxminarasimha and Gopalkrishna	178 5 11	Do	1,500
9	Shimoga Arakeswaraswami	120 4 10		
10	Harakere Panivеebhadradevara ..	....		
			Do	1,000
11	Pillangere Venkataramana	509 15 9	1865	500
			1842-43	200
12	Kudli Brahmeswara	438 10 1	1865	400
			6¼ per cent	1,500
13	Chintamani Narasimha	263 1 8	Do	800
14	Gajanur Pranadevaru .. .	186 15 1		
15	Shimoga Bhavanishankaradevaru ..	....		
16	Holehonur Janardhanaswamy	271 10 1		
17	Shimoga Durgadevi	—32 9 7		
18	Shimoga Bheemeswara	94 12 8	Do	200
19	Gopala Adirangadevaru	30 4 8		
20	Kudli Siddeswaraswamy	0 4 3		
21	Kudli Kalabhairava	30 7 9		
22	Singanahalli Malleswaara	183 6 10		
23	Maranakatte Hanumantha	8 12 3		
24	Male Shankaradevaru	437 0 11	Do	1,000
25	Bilwaderakoppa Mallikarjuna ..	435 11 5		
26	Doddamathi Ranganatha	431 15 2		
27	Kohally Ranganatha	384 15 9		
28	Kumsi Veerabhadradevaru	818 4 5	Do	500
29	Kohalli Narasimhadevaru	...		
30	Jamburgatte Hanumanthadevaru ..	57 8 0		
31	Byranakoppa Hanumanthadevaru ..	8 0 0		
32	Harnahalli Mallikarjuna	40 0 0		
33	Navile Basavanadevaru	102 6 8		
34	Arathottu Mallikarjuna	100 0 0		
35	Hadonahally Tirumaladevaru ..	41 10 4		
36	Badami Banashankari	73 5 10		
37	Arabilachi Ranganatha	2 0 0		
38	Chorodi Veerabhadra .. .	— 6 0 0		
39	Chintamani Hanumantha .. .	327 11 0		
40	Phani Veerabhadraswami	14 6 11		
41	Gajanur Veerabhadraswami	173 11 3		
42	Chordi Darga .. .	119 10 4		
43	Kumsi Khazi	104 14 2		
44	Shimoga Khazi .. .	46 11 4		
45	Holehonnur Jumma Masjid	590 0 0		
46	Benavatti Veerabhadra	162 4 7		
47	Lakkavalli Hanumantha . ..	67 3 9		
48	Garudaguppe Brahmeswara .. .	19 7 3		
49	Byranakoppa Hanumanthu	46 0 0		
50	Kumsi Ranganathaswami	212 0 0		
51	Kumsi Venkataramanaswami .	255 11 7		
52	Devankere Siddeswara .. .	1,102 4 8		
53	Gajanur Narasimha	386 6 0		
54	Belagutti Brahmeswara	10 12 6		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash			In Investment	
					Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Shimoga Taluk—*concld.*	Rs.	a.	p.		Rs.
55	Kommananalli Laxminarayana	304	13	0		
56	Holchonnur Durgadevi	160	0	0		
57	Harnahalli Narayana	540	0	6		
58	Harnahalli Tirumaladevaru	175	0	0		
59	Harnahalli Hanumantha	503	14	5		
60	Harnahalli Gopalakrishna	180	1	8		
61	Chordi Tirumaladevaru	43	7	10		
62	Devara Narsipur Laxminarasimha ..	357	4	6		
63	Likkinkoppa Bheemeswara	7	2	0		
64	Sirigere Basavanna	151	3	7		
65	Hadenahalli Tirumaladevaru	569	1	9		
66	Tambihalli Narasimha	62	4	2		
67	Malegoppa Chennabaseswara	75	0	0		
68	Siddlipur Veerabhadra .	25	14	0		
	Total, Shimoga Taluk ..	13,630	1	0		8,100
	Channagiri Taluk.					
1	Udumardi Ranganatha	237	5	2	1865	100
					6½ per cent	1,500
2	Kote Ranganathadevaru	327	2	8		
3	Muddanahally Pranadevaru ..	538	1	0		
4	Thagattur Veerabhadradevaru ..	259	4	9		
5	Sulekere Siddeswara	518	9	4	1879	100
6	Chikodu Hanumanthadevaru ..	552	10	0	1865	100
					6½ per cent	550
7	Channagiri Pranadevaru	104	3	10		
8	Vadanal Malleswaraswami ..	159	4	8		
9	Basavapatna Venkataramana ..	513	9	2		
10	Santebidnur Ramadevaru ..	528	0	2		
11	Hiregangur Kesavadevaru ..	2	2	6		
12	Channagiri Veerabhadra .. .	3	2	4		
13	Urubage Hanumantha	52	0	0		
14	Basavapatna Jangam Matt ..	181	10	0		
15	Chikkolalu Hanumantha	159	5	10		
16	Naralehalla Hanumantha.. .	38	0	0		
17	Chika Tyenghur Hanumantha	341	9	6		
18	Ramasagar Hanumantha	20	0	0		
19	Belalagere Hanumantha . ..	476	2	7		
20	Ittige Narasimha . ..	69	9	1		
21	Doddahattada Hanumantha ..	95	4	8		
22	Nallur Hanumantha . ..	63	7	7		
23	Nagarhalli Hanumantha .. .	20	13	5		
24	Hosahalli Veerabhadra	253	3	2		
25	Nallur Kesavadevaru	10	13	0		
26	Honnaikanhalli Ranganatha ..	1	6	4		
27	Basavapatna Rameswara	18	11	11		
28	Kagatur Hanumantha	2	0	3		
	Total, Channagiri Taluk ..	5547	8	11		2350
	Honnali Taluk					
1	Honnali Chatra	4,900	13	9	6¼ per cent	1,500
2	Sowlanga Chattra	1,835	4	3	Do	1,500
3	Sarvagnarova Matt and Raghavendraswamy-Matt	4,111	2	5		

No. 1475-76—Muz 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Honnali Taluk—*contd*	Rs. a. p		Rs
4	Savanur Ramachandradevaru ..	85 14 0		
5	Chelur Veerabhadraswamy	255 13 11	6¼ per cent	500
6	Holeybali Anjaneya ..	....		
7	Honnali Neelakanteswara ..	—100 11 7		
8	Diddibagal Hanumantha	139 5 9	Do	800
9	Voddukere Vyasaraya Hanumantha ..	120 12 3		
10	Nyamati Pranadevaru	261 14 9	1879	200
			6¼ per cent	500
11	Kembalur Pranadevaru ..	71 14 8	Do	1,000
12	Guddehalli Pranadevaru ..	183 8 0	Do	200
13	Marikoppa Haladamma ..	291 7 3	Do	200
14	Bale Mallur Hanumanthadevaru	37 0 9		
15	Belagutty Umamaheswara	27 2 11		
16	Chetnahalli Hanumanthadevaru ..	29 8 1	Do	100
17	Harihalli Hanumanthadevaru ..	4 8 0		
18	Kagonahalli Garudadevaru .. .	75 0 0		
19	Soratur Hanumanthadevaru .. .	260 14 2		
20	Bilaghatta Channakesavaswamy ..	181 5 9	Do	800
21	Yekkanahalli Basavanna	10 0 0		
22	Kuruvadagadi Rameswara	6 12 3		
23	Yekkanahalli Rameswara	222 11 0	Do	500
24	Jivanahally Tirumaladevaru	39 4 10	Do	100
25	Chickgonikere Oodachalamma .	83 1 6	Do	500
26	Banakanahalli Vinayaka .	240 2 10	Do	500
27	Jivanahalli Beeradevaru	125 2 0	Do	100
28	Kulambi Hanumanthadevaru ..	103 5 7	Do	1 500
29	Sasivehalli Hanumanthadevaru ..	297 4 5	Do	1,500
30	Sasivehalli Srinivasadevaru	242 9 10	Do	200
31	Govinakere Basavanna	56 8 0		
32	Govinakere Narasimhadevaru	12 0 0		
33	Chilur Hanumantha	19 2 0		
34	Mavinakote Hanumantha	13 13 0		
35	Hanumanahalli Hanumantha . .	114 14 0	Do	100
36	Devarahonnali Madhavadevaru ..	87 4 11	Do	100
37	Hosahalli Tirumaladevaru .. .	91 10 4		
38	Hanumanahalli Basavanna .. .	107 11 4	Do	200
39	Bannikodu Hanumantha .. .	231 11 6	Do	200
40	Billeswarada Billeswara .. .	333 2 1	Do	1,200
41	Nayamati Veerabhadra	137 6 10	Do	1,200
42	Honnali Mallikarjuna ..	147 7 10	Do	500
43	Kundur Hanumantha	200 6 0	Do	1,500
44	Agrahar Hanumantha ..	11 5 2		
45	Nyamati Mylaridevaru . ..	10 8 0		
46	Jumma Masjid . ..	1,544 4 0		
47	Honnali Byla Basavanna	268 1 6		
48	Vaddinakere Sogda Tirumaladevaru ..	364 10 2		
49	Kote Chandramoleswara and Kille Hanumantha	31 1 1		
50	Agrahar Kesavadevaru ..	52 6 8		
51	Manikamikeghat Hanumantha .	38 10 6		
52	Kotejaya Hanumantha ..	216 1 6		
53	Nadidadada Subrahmanya ..	206 2 9		
54	Bilakere Rameswara .. .	23 3 6		
55	Arundi Veerabhadra Basavanna ..	227 15 2		
56	Kyasankere Veerabhadra ..	293 12 10		
57	Chinahalli Vinayaka ..	57 2 7		
58	Chikgonikere Kalleswara . ..	113 0 0		
59	Arakere Honneramma ..	2 5 1 7		
60	Kuntur Veerabhadra .	1 0 0		
61	Arakere Hanumantha ..	188 13 2		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Honnali Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a p		Rs.
62	Masadi Kambada Narasimha	194 8 10		
63	Masadi Madhavadevaru	8 0 0		
64	Masadi Kalleswara	....		
65	Chikkerahalli Rameswara and Basavanna ..	261 3 1		
66	Veeragondonahally Rameswara ..	80 1 10		
67	Narasagondonhally do ..	18 15 4		
68	Konanathale Hanumantha ..	97 12 9		
69	Tulasikoppal Anjaneya	117 1 6		
70	Doddasithanhally Basavanna	14 0 10		
71	Arehally Kuruva Veerabhadra ..	276 10 2		
72	Margondonhally Mallikarjuna ..	82 8 0		
73	Nyamati Banasankaramma	72 2 0		
74	Hale Madapur Tirumaladevaru ..	17 0 0		
75	Hiregonagere Kalleswara	41 0 0		
76	Kunkewa Anjaneya	15 4 9		
77	Arundi Rameswara	3 0 0		
78	Kalabagere Ranganatha	15 0 0		
79	Chillimallur Rameswara	....		
80	Singatagere Hanumantha	....		
	Total, Honnali Taluk ..	20,936 12 11		17,200
	Shikarpur Taluk			
1	Shikarpur Chattra	....		
2	Do Hutchurayaswami ..	1,039 4 3	6½ per cent	1,500
3	Pete Ranganathadevaru	9 13 3		
4	Budguni Hanumanthadevaru ..	17 12 6		
5	Kudurumandige Anjaneya ..	39 11 6		
6	Swadey Trivikramadevaru ..	68 9 2		
7	Pete Lakshminarayana	17 6 0		
8	Pete Kesavadevaru	42 14 4		
9	Billandur Ambligona Anjaneya ..	99 8 5		
10	Horihole Basavanpadevaru ..	225 2 8		
11	Belagavi Tripuranthakeswara ..	221 11 6	1,865	300
			6½ per cent	200
12	Togarsi Mallikarjuna	424 12 11	Do	300
13	Vudagani Rameswaradevaru ..	31 4 10		
14	Do Basavanna	162 9 11		
15	Thalgonda Hanumanthadevaru ..	187 5 1		
16	Thadguni Veerabhadradevaru ..	186 10 4		
17	Barur Siddeswara	377 15 0		
18	Hombala Hanumanthadevaru ..	20 10 7		
19	Billagunji Veerabhadradevaru ..	1 2 0		
20	Swadey Lakshmi Narayanaswami ..	0 0 2		
21	Shikaripur Anjaneya	0 0 6		
22	Do Khazi	....		
	Total, Shikarpur Taluk ..	3,174 4 11		2,300
	Sorab Taluk.			
1	Sorab Ranganathaswamy ..	642 1 9		
2	Chendragutte Renukamba ..	—983 10 10	Do	4,000
3	Nadekatte Anjaneya	500 2 2		
4	Anavatte Kaitabheswara	378 4 5	1854-55	300
			6½ per cent	1,500

NO. 1475-76—MUZ. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

ANNEXURE B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	SORAB TALUK—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
5	Hurli Anjaneya	305 12 5	6¼ per cent 1,879 1842-43 54-55 1,865	500 700 1,100 100 100
6	Anavattigadi Anjaneya	58 2 7		
7	Wlvi Vinayakadevaru	25 5 4		
8	Nadahalli Rameswara	176 0 8		
9	Kutatur Chintamani Narasimhaswami	33 7 0		
10	Taravanda Gramadevate	136 3 6		
11	Do Kalleswara	77 12 7	6¼ per cent	500
12	Madavally Hanumanthadevaru	1 12 3		
13	Tellagadde Rameswaradevaru	122 12 4	Do	1,000
14	Do Veerabhadradevaru	82 12 0		
15	Kavanande Jeeba Hanumantha	107 10 0		
16	Kadasur Ramadevaru	286 2 0		
17	Hirechouti Hanumanthadevaru	358 11 3		
18	Kuppegadde Sri Sitaramadevaru	531 3 10	Do	3 500
19	Anavatti Vinayaka	5 12 0		
20	Sakenahalli Hanumanthadevaru	2 14 9		
21	Harsi Lakshminaravana	25 4 0		
22	Hole Lingeswara	60 12 0		
23	Mudy Hanumanthadevaru	296 0 0		
24	Hirekesavi Veerabhadra	166 7 0		
25	Kadalur Hanumanthadevaru	12 0 6		
26	Kathodi Rameswaraswami	26 13 0		
27	Hire Kesavi Ranganatha	2 13 9		
28	Hire Shamiah Ranganath	2 6 3		
29	Kathodi Anjaneyaswami	36 0 0		
30	Kadankatte Vinayakadevaru	159 9 0		
31	Chouthikuppe Veerabhadra	75 0 0		
32	Thatnahalli Ranganatha	16 0 0		
33	Kuppegadde Rameswara	592 14 7		
34	Belgola Someswara	52 0 0		
35	Jadekote Rameswara	12 12 9		
36	Kuntagalale Narasimha and Gopalakrishna	50 0 0		
37	Thalaguppe Basavanna	1 15 6		
38	Mancha Hanumantha	24 0 0		
39	Khazi	36 14 6		
	Total, Sorab Taluk	4,496 14 10		13,300
	SAGAR TALUK			
1	Anna Chattra	260 8 6		
2	Kaule Devappa's Charities	1,992 5 11		
3	Achepur Jangam Matt	18 13 6		
4	Ekkeri Aghoreswaraswami	672 2 10	1842 43 54 55 1,865	100 100 1,500
5	Sagar Maha Ganapathy	1,163 4 4	1,865 6¼	600 1,000
6	Keladi Rameswaraswami	7 087 1 6	1900-01 6¼	4,000 5,000
7	Anantapur Ranganathaswamy	368 0 2		
8	Avinahally Veerabhadradevaru	1,683 10 8	6¼	2 000
9	Sagar Aswathanarayana	....		
10	Do Vittaladevaru	2 14 10		
11	Do Halladakatte Vinayaka	1 15 0		
12	Do Venkateshadevaru	8 6 2		
13	Do Kerekodi Anjaneya	..		
14	Do Subrahmanyadevaru	1 15 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Sagar Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
15	Siravante Vinayaka	3 2 6		
16	Sagar Hallada Basavanna	9 4 10		
17	Talaguppe Vinayaka	12 13 9		
18	Sagar Charadaya Vinayaka	1 12 9		
19	Kalasi Anjaneyadevaru	30 12 4		
20	Padavagod Ganga Visweswara ..	65 13 2		
21	Achapur Mahabaleswara	128 0 0		
22	Tagarthy Ranganatha	541 8 0		
23	Nadakal Mallikarjunaswami	108 4 0		
24	Marathur Kalleswara Rameswara and Anjaneya	1,671 12 4		
25	Avinhally Hanumanthadevaru ..	0 4 3		
26	Ekkeri Basavanna	45 4 0		
27	Hangeri Kudli Sangameswara ..	11 4 0		
28	Do Lakshmi Narayana	6 12 0		
29	Karoor Veerabhadra	11 4 0		
30	Karodi Vinayaka Karodi Anjaneya ..	201 0 5		
31	Mallikarjuna and Neelakanteswara ..	—100 0 0		
32	Avinahally Theertha Varadamma ..	72 9 3		
33	Vadalli Rameswara	328 0 0		
34	Chinnale Parswanatha	46 0 0		
35	Ekkeri Parswanatha	56 0 0		
36	Do Adinathadevaru	33 11 0		
37	Jambagar Rameswara	310 11 4		
38	Avinahalli Tirumaladevaru	47 8 8		
39	Kagarsi Veerabhadra	36 0 0		
40	Harakere Adinatha Basti	12 5 0		
41	Do Parswanatha	12 5 0		
42	Sagar Masjid	585 0 0		
43	Khazi	.. .		
44	Anantapur Jumma Masjid	350 0 0		
45	Madavalli Rameswara and Durgadevaru ..	74 8 0		
46	Anantapur Gautameswara	858 8 0		
47	Sagar Barur Siddeswara	120 0 0		
48	Do Venkatesa	....		
49	Charadaya Vinayaka	....		
	Total, Sagar Taluk ..	18,953 3 0		14,300
	Nagar Taluk.			
1	Hulikal Chattra	37 1 8		
2	Bandarkere Matt	488 2 9		
3	Nagar Neelakanteswaraswami and Parvatamma.	777 10 2	1854-55 1879	200 1,200
4	Nagar Hosa Anjaneya	1 3 4		
5	Nagar Venkataramana	21 14 2		
6	Nagar Katte Vinayaka	3 10 2		
7	Nagar Gopalakrishnadevaru	2 13 9		
8	Nagar Chennigaraya	4 5 9		
9	Nagar Kasivisveswara	47 1 7		
10	Sarada Hole Rameswara	9 1 10		
11	Hulikal Narasimhadevaru	426 6 8	6½ per cent	1,000
12	Nagar Raghavendraswami Matt Ramadevaru	4 12 3		
13	Nagar Tulijabhavani Amma	115 4 6		
14	Doopadakatte Anjaneya	19 8 11		
15	Somavarpete Anjaneya	14 9 0		
16	Balekoppa Anjaneya	23 8 11		
17	Dapha Anjaneya	12 13 2		
18	Pattepetey Umamaheswara	33 7 3		
19	Nagar Hosa Basavanna	9 2 10		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*contd*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Nagar Taluk—*contd*	Rs a. p		Rs
20	Nagar Hale Basavanna	7 8 10		
21	Rachoti Veerabhadradevaru	5 7 9		
22	Nagar Lakshminarayandevaru	18 3 8		
23	Nagar Tank-sale Vinayaka ..	0 5 8		
24	Gudda Venkatramanadevaru	272 3 8	6¼ per cent	500
25	Nagar Shikareswaradevaru	2 11 0		
26	Aparaji Mukhyapranadevaru	19 11 0		
27	Anantapur Ramanujavenkatramana	1 15 0		
28	Anantapur Subramanyadevaru	3 9 9		
29	Panchamukhi Anjaneya	6 1 8		
			6½ per cent	1,000
30	Mallaiki Sampakatte Sambulinga	465 7 7	1854-55	100
			1879	300
31	Kodrichadri Parvatheswar ..	1 168 1 2		
			6¼ per cent	500
32	Humcha Billeswaradevaru ..	363 15 11	1879	100
			1865	500
33	Humchadikatte Bhavanishankara	430 7 6	6½ per cent	500
34	Baruve Kalanathdevaru ..	208 8 2		
35	Tripurantakadevaru .	149 12 0		
36	Nagarada Chidambareswara ..	203 15 0	6¼ per cent	200
37	Nagar Vittaldevaru	163 13 11	Do	500
38	Hondakatte Anjaneya	165 4 8	Do	200
39	Nagar Chandramouleswara	19 13 0		
40	Cutcherry Vinayaka	351 10 10		
41	Nagar Anjaneya . ..	211 8 3	6¼ per cent	200
42	Nagar Veerabhadra	144 0 0		
43	Nagar Varahadevaru and Anjaneya	44 0 0		
44	Andagodi Ganapathy ..	7 2 0		
45	Nagar Mylaradevaru	163 9 7		
46	Basaveswara .	146 0 0		
47	Korekodi *alias* Karmageri Vinayaka	126 5 1		
48	Humcha Padmavatamma	....		
49	Bhujangeswara	7 7 5		
50	Melanchi Veerabhadra ..	7 1 8		
51	Bagenahalli Harihareswara	75 0 0		
52	Jenakalammah	7 6 0		
53	Devavrinda Rameswaraswamy . ..	12 10 8		
54	Balaramadevaru ..	1 2 2		
55	*Venkataramanaswami*	1 1 0		
56	Keragarasu Bhavanishankara . .	—144 0 0		
57	Mutati Sambalingeswara .. .	— 4 0 0		
58	Nagar Katteanjaneya .. .	223 7 3		
59	Sive-ayare Aswathanarayana	25 0 0		
60	Mudugoppe Aswathanarayana	25 0 0		
61	Gubbigada Sankaranarayana ..	160 0 0		
62	Bamey Chidambareswara ..	64 9 10		
63	Andagodi Kalanathadevaru ..	10 0 0		
64	Khazi	18 9 6		
65	Kedur Sankaradevaru ..	216 5 4	6¼ per cent	400
66	Kesave Analagodurameswara .	12 0 0		
67	Hebbahige Narasimha .	25 15 0		
68	Avakodu Bileswara	326 1 10		
69	Hebburli Vinayaka .	32 8 0		
70	Kadigere Grimadevat ..	40 0 0		
71	Kudnur Nirvaneswara	62 0 0		
72	Kabbinahole Parameswara	139 8 0		
73	Kalikapur Kalikamba .	90 5 6		
74	Hosandu Muryanadu Rameswara .	43 12 0		
75	Tenkasala Venkataramana	0 1 0		
	Total, Nagar Taluk ..	8,357 2 2		7,200

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Tirthahalli Taluk.	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
1	Agumbe Chattra	—204 2 11		
2	Tirthahalli Chattra	418 7 1		
3	Mahishi Chattra	....		
4	Mandagadde Chattra	....		
5	Udapi Krishnadevaru	....		
6	Udapi Kanur Matt	....		
7	Udapi Peejavar Matt	320 3 10		
8	Udapi Sirur Matt .. .	95 0 0		
9	Bheemanakatte Matt at Mulbagal .	10,791 12 2		
10	Udapi Palamar Matt	87 4 3		
11	Udapi Puttagi Matt	33 10 2		
12	Agumbe Gopalakrishna	5,232 9 6	6¼ per cent	3,000
13	Mahishi Aswathanarayana ..	349 6 2	1865 6¼ per cent	1,000 1,000
14	Tirthahalli Rameswara	5,286 11 4	1865 6¼ per cent	200 10,500
15	Mulbagal Ganga Visveswara ..	284 0 6		
16	Belagavatti Do	419 2 4	Do	3,000
17	Kesarvally Subrahmanya	325 2 0	Do	4,000
18	Arga Kalamathadevaru	896 14 3	1865 1879 6¼ per cent	800 600 1,000
19	Melige Venkataramana	419 12 6		
20	Mrugavadhe Mallikarjuna ..	1,683 0 9	1854-55 1865 6¼ per cent	600 100 2,000
21	Bhiklapur Maha Ganapathy ..	1 6 7		
22	Mandagadde Mukhyapranadevaru ..	129 6 3		
23	Tirthahalli Narasimha	92 10 11		
24	Udapi Ananta Padmanabha ..	1 3 10		
25	Udapi Durga Devi	35 11 8		
26	Humcha Vinayaka	110 14 6		
27	Kesargutte Rameswara at Bharatipur ..	—597 11 0		
28	Koneripurada Venkataramana ..	411 8 5		
29	Megarvalli Mallikarjuna . ..	455 6 11	6¼ per cent	500
30	Bharatipur Durga Devi	86 12 5		
31	Kuruvalley Chendramouleswara and Ganapathi	492 0 0	6¼ per cent	1,000
32	Kelakere Venkataramana.. ..	265 4 5	Do	500
33	Tirthahalli Madhavadevaru ..	286 15 7		
34	Bukalapur Vinayaka	31 8 0		
35	Belagur Chennakesava	338 15 3	Do	1,000
36	Hemageri Venkataramana at Mittagodu ..	18 8 8		
37	Koneripur Vinayaka	0 4 2		
38	Begavally Harihareswara.. ..	35 8 0		
39	Vyagrha Narasimha at Sivapatty ..	181 0 1	Do	500
40	Surhibalebyle Venkataramana ..	—60 5 0		
41	Talooru Kaleswara	12 0 0		
42	Megaravally Gopalakrishna .	181 1 7		
43	Bheemanakatte Bheemeswara ..	7 1 8		
44	Arga Kalanathadevaru	6 2 9		
45	Viroopaksha Vittaladevaru at Kudige ..	92 7 2		
46	Kuruvalli Gadi Rameswara ..	66 8 9	Do	500
47	Thalooi Lakshmi Narayana ..	27 0 0		
48	Bhadrarajpur Chennakesava at Dabegadde..	142 15 11		
49	Kelaguru Mallikarjuna	76 12 4		
50	Sivagur Ambuthirtha Ranganatha ..	471 9 6		
51	Do Ranganatha	213 12 3		
52	Nittor Kalanathadevaru at Humchadakatte..	55 3 9		
53	Kudige Tirumaladevaru	0 0 9		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Tirthahalli Taluk—*contd*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
54	Hadur Venkataramana	164 0 0		
55	Chintamani Narasimha at Kesravalli	10 0 0		
56	Tirthahalli Durga Devi	21 14 2		
57	Hurali Chintamani Narasimha	418 0 8		
58	Allemane Someswara	57 4 0		
59	Mundarvalley Pranadevaru	70 7 0		
60	Bommarasana Agrahara Someswara	—51 0 9		
61	Mattur Angalagongi Mallikarjuna	— 1 14 3		
62	Hanasandur Mallikarjuna	— 7 11 3		
63	Haritharpur Rameswara	—57 9 3		
64	Bidaragodu Gopalakrishna	— 0 4 0		
65	Tirthahalli Venkataramanaswamy	19 6 2		
66	Pheemanakatte Umamaheswara	7 14 0		
67	Kammaradi Vinayaka	50 4 0		
68	Devlapur Chennakesava	106 3 0		
69	Akalapur Vinayaka	10 14 4		
70	Tukopanth Agrahara Umamaheswara	61 12 0		
71	Ketandur Vinayaka	25 3 0		
72	Kalyani Agrahar Bhuvaradevaru	68 12 3		
73	Nambale Gopalakrishnadevaru	100 0 0		
74	Hunasavalli Mallikarjunaswami	105 0 0		
75	Tirthahalli Sitaramadevaru	124 0 0		
76	Bukkalapur Someswara	412 9 6		
77	Nallor Agrahar Venkataramana	40 0 0		
78	Guddikere Viseswara	50 3 4		
79	Keelakere Venkataramanaswamy	49 3 11		
80	Chickallur Banashankarayana	35 10 0		
81	Gopalapur Gopalakrishna	100 0 0		
82	Virupakshapur Brahmadevaru	30 8 0		
83	Alade Shankaradevaru	105 0 0		
84	Kallurangangadi Panchalingeswara	41 11 4		
85	Belagur Hanumantha	3 0 0		
86	Hamdigadi Vinayaka	77 14 9		
87	Kangal Koppa Anjaneya	80 0 0		
88	Mandigadde Kesava	30 0 0		
89	Talkur Panchalingeswara	52 8 0		
90	Kundapur Vyasarya Matt	379 11 5		
	Total Tirthahalli Taluk	36,154 15 4		31,800
	Total, Shimoga District ..	1,11,250 15 1		96 550

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	KADUR DISTRICT.			
	Chikmagalur Taluk.	Rs. a. p		Rs
1	Bisagni Matt	444 8 10		
2	Hiremagalur Kodandaramaswami ...	88 5 1	1865 1879	2,400 2,300
3	Bababuden Dattatreya Darga ...	.....		
4	Amble Venugopala and Krishnaswami ..	....		
5	Seethalgere Mallikarjuna	....		
6	Muthinapurnaprasanna Virupaksheswara ..	656 10 6	6¼ per cent	500
7	Basavanahalli Ranganatha	169 13 0		
8	Mirle Kalamma	56 6 2		
9	Bilakalva Ranganatha	319 11 6	6¼ per cent	500
10	Kuruvangi Rangaswami	222 10 0		
11	Dantarmukhi Rameswara	.. ..		
12	Malalur Amma	206 0 2	6¼ per cent	500
13	Vastara Anjaneya	97 5 5		
14	Anur Amruteswara and Billeswara ..	389 10 6		
15	Vastara Someswaradevaru	40 9 6		
16	Goutameswarada Goutameswara ..	40 2 4		
17	Lakshmikantahalli Anjeneya ..	35 12 0		
18	Kuduvalli Rameswara	14 2 3		
19	Basavanakote Vinayaka	181 2 11	1879	100
20	Khandya Markandeswara Janardhana and Yoganarasimha	142 14 11		
21	Vastu Padmavatamma	....		
22	Do Basavannadevaru	88 3 3		
23	Uppahalli Chendramouleswara	14 5 6		
24	Thejur Anjaneya	7 9 0		
25	Eswarahalli Lakshminarayana . ..	253 11 3		
26	Basavanahalli Ganapathy and Oonkareswara	—157 14 9		
27	Marle Cheenakesava	712 10 6	6¼ per cent	1,500
28	Basavanakote Basavanna	.....		
29	Uppahalli Rameswara . ..	—57 5 11		
30	Kalledevarpura Kalleswara	....		
31	Chika and Doddakurubarahalli Anjaneya ..	268 2 9	6¼ per cent	500
32	Basavanahalli Venugopala	82 10 11		
33	Dantarmukhi Anjaneya	228 10 6		
34	Chikmagalur Hariheswara ...	321 13 9	6¼ per cent	1,000
35	Oondadihalli Anjaneya	....		
36	Uppahalli Someswara	1 7 0		
37	Amble Deveeramma	288 10 3	6¼ per cent	200
38	Hallada Viswanathaswami . ..	20 8 8		
39	Mallesvarada Veerabhadra	11 12 11		
40	Mugalavalli Cheenakesava ..	27 4 3		
41	Mirle Anjaneya	7 8 0		
42	Chikmagalur Anjaneya	431 15 9	6¼ per cent	500
43	Hiremagalur Rameswara	111 12 0		
44	Amble Cheenakesavaswami	7 4 0		
45	Nallur Kalleswara	360 0 0		
46	Kalasapurada Cheluvarayaswami ..	216 9 0	6¼ per cent	200
47	Basavanakote Veerabhadra ...	69 1 9		
48	Kalasapur Chelu Narayana ..	382 6 0	6¼ per cent	400
49	Kuruvangi Thimmadasaru ..	72 6 8		
50	Do Rameswara ..	....		
51	Gonadahalu Siddeswara	76 0 0		
52	Lakshmikantahalli Vasudevagudda Malledevaru	20 2 8		
53	Bettemane Deveeramma ..	10 4 0		
54	Mirle Giddeswara ..	250 0 0		
55	Do Janakala Daru .	31 9 9		

No. 1475-76 - Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.– *contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Chikmagalur Taluk– *concld.*	Rs a. p.		Rs
56	Mirle Bairavadevaru	364 4 4	$6\frac{1}{4}$ per cent	200
57	Kudur Gopalakrishna	.. ..		
58	Belavatte Kalleswara	197 15 1		
59	Do Parameswaradevaru	32 13 0		
60	Do Vinayakadevaru	20 3 9		
61	Do Someswaradevaru	8 4 0		
62	Kalasapurada Veerabhadra	86 4 0		
63	Beekanahalli Kalleswara, Malleswara and Siddeswara	906 1 2	$6\frac{1}{4}$ per cent	1,000
64	Chikmagalur Malleswara ..	310 9 10		
65	Khandya Mrityamma ..	68 14 2		
66	Amble Malleswara	12 1 0		
67	Thalehalli Deveeramma	184 0 9		
68	Venkataramanaswami ..	326 8 6		
69	Hirekolal Someswara	0 9 8		
70	Alur Kalleswaradevaru	82 0 0		
71	Kanvi Veerabhadra . ..	181 0 0		
72	Mavinkere Kalleswara .. .	62 4 2		
73	Pataleswara	....		
74	Ramadevaru	.		
75	Hanumantha Temple			
76	Uppahalli Deveeramma	28 7 0		
77	Marle Ranganatha	3 0 0		
78	Basavanahalli Anjaneya	—432 8 0		
79	Chikmagalur Patalamma . ..	110 12 0		
80	Nariguddanahalli Hanumantha ..	39 11 0		
81	Kalasapur Ranganathaswami . ..	218 12 0		
82	Dantaramukhi Ranganathaswami ..	14 6 0		
83	Hiremagalur Madhavaswami	82 8 3		
84	Do Narasimhaswami .	73 10 8		
85	Basavanahalli Veerabhadra	46 15 8		
86	Sri Parasuramadevaru . .	10 8 0		
87	Jakkinahalli Deveeramma	8 3 3		
88	Anur Anjaneya	21 1 6		
89	Nagenahalli Hanumantha	25 15 4		
90	Siddarahalli Siddeswara	13 7 10		
91	Basavanahalli Someswara . ..	12 0 6		
92	Hirekulale Honnabelagamma . ..	5 0 0		
93	Hiremagalur Hanumantha	62 8 2		
94	Narayanahalli Hanumantha	46 8 4		
95	Kennal Venkataramana	171 6 3		
96	Alur Masjid	815 1 4		
97	Do Khazi	92 10 5		
98	Chikmagalur Masjid	21 12 8		
	Total, Chikmagalur Taluk ..	11,720 12 1		11,800
	Kadur Taluk			
1	Anna Chattra	....		
2	Kadur Keshavadevaru	441 7 9		
3	Jibi Anjaneyaswami	7 14 4		
4	Tengale Narasimhaswami and Keshavaswami	42 2 3		
5	Macheri Narayanaswami	75 9 0		
6	Patnageri L. Narayana, Narayanaswami and Rameswara	2 14 6		
7	Devanur Lakshmikanta	237 8 6		
8	Sakrepatna Ranganatha	2,046 13 2	1865	500
			1879	3,000
			$6\frac{1}{4}$ per cent	1,000

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Kadur Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
9	Sakrepatna Ballaleswara ..	79 0 2		
10	Do Hanumanthadevaru ..	95 0 7		
11	Siddarahalli Siddeswara	35 10 5		
12	Bramhasamudra Veera Narayana ..	300 10 0		
13	Birur Anjaneya	1,159 9 6	In 6¼ per cent	2,000
14	Hiriyangala Mallikarjuna and Kesavadevaru	4 12 9		
15	Chikangala Lashmidevi	14 10 4		
16	Herinellur Anjaneya .. .	6 8 3		
17	Karesante Hemagiri Mallikarjuna ..	14 0 8		
18	Bidare Hosahalli Ranganatha ..	241 3 8	1879	100
19	Yagati Anjaneya	178 6 9	1879	100
20	Yellambalse Kesavadevaru Venugopala Lakshmi Narayana etc	69 15 8		
21	Purada Mallikarjuna Yegati Mallikarjuna..	1,816 7 10	1879	500
			In 6¼ per cent	1,000
22	Antaragatte Durgamma	2,224 5 7	Do	5 000
23	Kadirpete Ganapathi and Anjaneya .	—874 1 9		
24	Arrekallu Baneswaradevaru .. .	33 1 3		
25	Sangamada Rameswara	81 14 4		
26	Nidghatta Anjaneya	137 0 1		
27	Hogarahalli Siddeswara . .	572 3 3	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
28	Kerehalli Ammanavaru . ..	91 7 0		
29	Bidare Kalleswaraswami .. .	100 0 0		
30	Begur Prasanna Rameswara ..	33 0 0		
31	Kereyellamma	10 0 0		
32	Belgunda L Narayanaswami ..	36 1 9		
33	Bidare Mallananalli Anjaneya ..	127 9 0		
34	Sakrepatnada Khazi ..	93 14 0		
	Total, Kadur Taluk ..	9,936 12 7		14,000
	Tarikere Taluk			
1	Amrutapur Madare Hanumantha	—24 10 6		
2	Tarikere Chattra	..		
3	Tarikere Subrahmanyaswami	—64 14 10		
4	Checkre Rameswaraswami	67 11 4		
	Guttahalli Paramankatte Anjeneya ..	50 6 0		
6	Kote Channakesavaswami	72 10 6		
7	Nirabagal Anjaneya .	5 15 0		
8	Marakathalli Ranganatha	681 0 9	In 6¼ per cent	500
9	Nagenahalli Ranganatha	43 5 9		
10	Guttahalli Kanchargerepete Anjaneya	12 12 3		
11	Bettavarkote Anjaneya ...	4 5 9		
12	Amruteswara Amrutapura .	13 5 4		
13	Rampur Anjaneya .	40 4 0		
14	Ajjampur Anjaneya .	6 5 9		
15	Baggavalli Narasimhaswami	101 11 1		
16	Bukkambadi Virupaksh ...	55 15 10		
1	Honnalihalli Rangeswara and Nageswara .	6 4 6		
3	Kadabur Hanumanthadevaru	202 0 4		
19	Lakkavalli Sangapur Somesvara	—1 2 4 15 11		
20	Kavalli Vishnu .	130 9 0		
21	Sangapur Yoganarasimha	221 8 2		
22	Gopala Obala Narasimha	8 13 2		
23	Lakkavalli Chavadi Vishnu	55 0 0		
24	Pandu Ranganatha	47 0 0		
	Bannahalli Narasimha	2 7 6		

No. 1475 76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Tarikere Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
26	Yallagere Veerabhadra	51 12 0		
27	Tarikere Ranganatha	56 10 10	In 6¼ per cent	1,000
28	Mandagere Someswara	8 0 0		
29	Mandre Hanumantha	25 12 6		
30	Kalhalli Ranganatha	18 3 6		
31	Lingadahalli Veerabhadra ..	109 9 5		
32	Kadali Ranganatha	....		
33	Rajenahalli Ammanavaru	80 0 0		
34	Attuganal Basavanna	2 3 3		
35	Hadikere Basavanna	94 10 0		
36	Marlenahalli Veerabhadradevaru ...	0 8 0		
37	Tarikere Masjid	155 6 2		
38	Ajjampur Khazi ..	214 7 8		
39	Lakkavalli Khazi	12 2 8		
40	Nabisha Makkan	16 6 2		
41	Honne Yoganarasimha	50 0 0		
42	Narasimharajpur Umamaheswara ..	—2 8 0		
43	Lakkavalli Gopala Veerabhadra .	28 8 0		
44	Pete Rameswaradevaru	11 12 0		
45	Hire Kadur Hanumantha	3 0 2		
	Total, Tarikere Taluk ...	2,088 3 1		1,500
	Koppa Taluk			
1	Sri Saradamba Temple .. .	.....		
2	Singanagadde Basti Matt . ..	4,744 10 2		
3	Tengina Matt at Bandigadi .. .	163 13 10		
4	Sampige Matt at Bandigadi and Lakshminarayana	841 10 2		
5	Balehonnur Simhasana Jangam Matt ..	16 15 6		
6	Hariharpur Matt	1,229 5 0		
7	Kigga Rishyasringeswaraswami ..	—658 3 3	6½ per cent State Loan	12,500
8	Magur Venkataramanaswami	1,750 3 11	6¼ per cent State Loan	2,000
9	Koosagal Ranganatha . .	207 8 3		
10	Bilavanakodagi Yedahalli Bramhadevaru ..	393 0 11	6¼ per cent State Loan	1,000
11	Marckal Nandani Aswathanarayana ..	33 14 0		
12	Hebbe Bhavani Shankara .. .	—312 3 3		
13	Narasimhapurada Patale Narasimha ..	192 3 1		
14	Bandigadi Venkataramana	61 11 3		
15	Hariharpur Dakshara Someswara ..	556 10 0		
16	Bandigadi Mallikarjuna	0 4 3		
17	Narway Gondaramma	10 0 0		
18	Nerlapurada Bramhadevaru	2 0 0		
19	Somalapurada Vinayaka	44 8 9		
20	Adavalli Varadarajaswami	553 15 11	6½ per cent State Loan	1,000
21	Nilavangal Bikkaly Shankaradevaru ..	354 0 6		
22	Vittalamakki Vinayaka at Heggaru ..	72 10 0		
23	Kundinalli Shankara Narayana ..	83 7 6		
24	Veggede Rameswara	8 0 0		
25	Belagodu Narasimhadevaru	105 3 0		
26	Kigga Sankara Narayana .. .	27 0 0		
27	Bandigadde Halur Agestheswara ..	87 14 0		
28	Bommalapura Tripurantakamma ..	58 0 0		
29	Jodi Kodig Durgamma and Bhairavadevaru ..	189 4 6		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Koppa Taluk—*contd.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
30	Aramballi Bramha and Chowdi	14 7 0		
31	Kumba Kasi Bramha	32 1 0		
32	Duggali Jettiga	70 11 0		
33	Hipperiki Anjaneya	49 11 0		
34	Arlekoppa Bramha and Kogur Ranganatha	16 1 0		
35	Thalava Chowdi at Heggaru	21 6 0		
36	Malagodu Bramha at Megundi	22 8 0		
37	Halimakke Bramha and Chowdi at Heggaru	27 6 0		
38	Magunda Mallikarjuna	21 5 0		
39	Hosakodagi Chowdi	38 4 0		
40	Nyaravalu Parameswara	21 9 0		
41	Kumbarkodu Basavanna	19 14 0		
42	Bettakondagi Bhuta	47 11 0		
43	Andagal Lakshminarasimha	35 15 0		
44	Hithalkolagi Bhuta at Machikoppa	56 4 0		
45	Bemankodu Bhuta at Narasipura	16 14 0		
46	Mahantana Matt Basavanna	10 2 0		
47	Tholagudi Bhuta	4 15 0		
48	Hippalaki Guthidevaru at Hiregadde	8 15 0		
49	Heggada Koppada Kannammadevaru	56 12 0		
50	Hunesanakoppa Bhuta at Hosuru	26 4 0		
51	Salavani Chowdi	16 2 0		
52	Devagodu Bhuta	11 4 0		
53	Kesaravalli Subramanyaswami	65 11 0		
54	Heggadu Mallikarjuna	15 2 0		
55	Metenguddekere Bhuta at Kalakuli	19 0 0		
56	Karavinamane Aswathanarayana	12 1 0		
57	Narasipur Rameswaraswami	9 5 0		
58	Padevani Bramha	11 4 0		
59	Bankodagi Bramha	30 0 0		
60	Kondaji Aswathanarayana	27 6 0		
61	Baggunniyapeta Angadi Veerabhadra	15 12 0		
62	Sirinad Bramha	20 4 0		
63	Madada Jettiga	39 11 9		
64	Kothadi Bramha	51 14 0		
65	Kotebagal Vinayaka	6 6 0		
66	Kumtarvalli Sadanathadevaru	38 0 0		
67	Mugur Mallikarjunaswami	41 11 6		
68	Doddasalamane Jettiga	51 3 9		
69	Muguru Thalavani Chowdi	6 12 0		
70	Chigatanahalli Bhuta or Bramha	7 8 0		
71	Hampapur Lakshminarayanswami	1 10 0		
72	Narasalmani Bhuta	5 10 0		
73	Bilmadi Hosakere Mallikarjunaswami	15 11 6		
74	Kumbaragal Gangadhareswara	28 0 0		
75	Balehalli Aswathanarayana	6 3 0		
76	Kabbala Tirumaladevaru	112 12 0		
77	Nandigavi do	5 10 0		
78	Tadasa do	1 4 0		
79	Tadasa Chowdi	3 2 0		
80	Malavalli Gangadhareswaraswami	29 6 0		
81	Kalkere Bhuta	10 9 0		
82	Thadamagaddi Durgamma	13 1 0		
83	Yedehalli Umamaheswari	17 0		
84	Karagodu Mallikarjunaswami	3 3 0		
85	Samadhi Basavanna	3 6 0		
86	Alchalli Ranganathi	7 8 0		
87	Kancheru Mallikarjuna	7 0 0		
88	Hasalavani Mallikarjuna	3 1 0		
89	Malavalli Gopalakrishnaswami	9 3 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Koppa Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
90	Malavalli Anjaneya	105 11 0		
91	Anegundi Gangadhareswara	2 4 0		
92	Vittalada Durgamma	6 0 0		
93	Kottur Basavanna	18 14 0		
94	Masgi Chowdeswari	26 7 0		
95	Harakuli Bramha	2 7 0		
96	Habbala Mallikarjunaswami	1 9 0		
97	Hiregadde Kinki Bhuta	5 12 0		
98	Malavalli Vinayaka	2 13 0		
99	Shankarapura Bhuta	3 12 0		
100	Bhuthnakodagi Bhuta	3 12 0		
101	Bettavalli Bhuta	3 13 0		
102	Bheemeswaradevaru	11 15 0		
103	Bhuvankoti Anjaneya	34 0 0		
104	Muthnakoppa Mallikarjuna	2 12 0		
105	Chebenadu do	1 12 0		
106	Bidabail Ramanathadevaru	3 4 0		
107	Seethur Gopalakrishnadevaru	12 2 0		
108	Do Guthidevaru ..	2 6 0		
109	Hebbe Lakshmi Venkatesadevaru ..	6 8 0		
110	Do Rameswaradevaru	370 1 0		
111	Kumbarakodu Vadera Matt Basavanna ..	1 8 0		
112	Halladanivasa Veerabhadradevaru ..	3 12 0		
113	Saluru Gopalakrishnadevaru	3 10 0		
114	Uttameswara Durgamma	11 5 0		
115	Bendagaddu Matt	0 1 0		
116	Bheemanakatte Ramadevaru	5 5 0		
117	Hale Danivasa Venkataramana ..	—14 11 5		
118	Madade Durgamma	31 2 6		
119	Bilarakote Bramhadevar	10 0 0		
120	Aramballi Malegal Siddeswara ..	6 0 0		
121	Konakere Mallikarjunaswami ..	249 0 0		
122	Hosamane Vinayaka	53 1 0		
123	Yelehalli Muragadde Chowdi	95 7 9		
124	Hariharpur Someswara	15 0 0		
125	Bikkuli Shankaradevar	68 11 0		
126	Yelemalalu Kalaseswaraswami ..	11 4 0		
127	Bandigadi Nanjundeswara	37 0 0		
128	Nelavangalu Shankaraswami	20 0 0		
129	Bagunji Hanumantha	23 10 0		
130	Yedehalli Khaji	101 13 0		
	Total, Koppa Taluk ..	13,587 5 10		16,500
	Mudgere Taluk.			
			1879	5,700
1	Kalasa Kalaseswaraswami .. .	1,467 5 7	1865	8,300
			In 6¼ per cent	30,500
2	Devavrunda Rameswara	1,933 9 2	1865	1,300
			1879	200
			6¼ per cent	2,000
3	Hasagallu Siddeswara	6 6 10		
4	Do Deveramma	3 1 10		
5	Do Chintamani Chowdi ..	9 13 2		
6	Kesavalu Rameswara and Lingeswara ..	5 6 2		
7	Abichur Lingeswaradevaru	85 0 6		
8	Kadadalu Lingeswara	17 8 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B—*concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Mudgere Taluk—*concld*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
9	Nadavinamanakallu Chenagarayaswami ..	57 8 5		
10	Hanumanahalli Malleswara	51 5 0		
11	Banumakal Kalleswaradevaru ..	89 15 2		
12	Urubage Bhairedevaru	396 13 2		
13	Hosahalli Kumaraswami	93 7 0		
14	Halekeri Tripurantaka	26 9 10		
15	Uggehalli Anjaneya	66 7 4		
16	Do Mallikarjuna	176 0 7		
17	Kirgunda Rameswara	110 2 10		
18	Khajjihalli Chennigaraya	90 9 3		
19	Kirgunda Hill Basavanna . ..	72 7 4		
20	Angadi Vasantamma	72 13 3		
21	Holavalli Subramanya	17 5 3		
22	Phalguni Kalentheswara	849 6 5		
23	Guttahalli Bhairavadevaru	569 10 3	6½ per cent State Loan.	500
24	Durgadahalli Ranganatha	0 12 10		
25	Yedur Nagalamma	252 7 6		
26	Nandipur Kalleswara	18 15 0		
27	Karivagal Someswara . ..	73 12 0		
28	Melangudi Venkataramana	39 5 0		
29	Gonebid Subramanya	500 1 8	6½ per cent	500
30	Mrugahalli Bhairavadevaru	92 3 1		
31	Urubagal Chowdi	2 0 0		
32	Yedur Basti Temple	379 1 6		
33	Javale Hemavathi Ganapathy ..	2 0 2		
34	Kodakalghatti Ka'abairavadevaru ..	342 1 9		
35	Phalguni Padmavatamma	586 13 2		
36	Mudusosi Someswaraswami	3 0 0		
37	Gubbahatte Tirumaladevaru	59 9 0		
38	Byadavatti Chowdi .. .	1 7 0		
39	Konehalli Rameswaraswami	19 3 9		
40	Halekoti Siddeswaraswami	21 12 1		
41	Lakkawalli Rameswaradevaru ..	19 5 0		
42	Bidaralli Lingeswara . ..	21 4 8		
43	Kesavalli Malleswara	5 4 6		
44	Karachalli Malleswara	1 6 0		
45	Dharadavalli Lingeswara	5 12 0		
46	Bettadamane Basavanna	70 4 2		
47	Uggihalli Basti Temple	30 8 10		
48	Mavinkere Basti	—53 8 0		
49	Totadur Ganapathy	14 3 6		
50	Annapurneswaramma	29 9 7		
51	Guttighat Bhairedevar	173 7 7		
	Total, Mudagere Taluk ..	8,981 5 8		49,000
	Total, Kadur District ..	45,714 7 3		92,800

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
	Chitaldrug Taluk.			
1	Chattram at Chitaldrug	573 5 8	1865 1900-01	800 700
2	Chattram at Janakonda and Janakonda Hanumanthadevaru.	196 4 0		
3	Kurubarahalli Jangam Matt	23 9 0		
4	Kelakote Chennakesvara	104 11 7	6¼ per cent 1865	600 400
5	Sampige Siddeswara	120 13 0	1865 6¼ per cent	200 200
6	Meludurga Yekanatheswari	55 1 1		
7	Gopalakrishnadevaru	29 8 9	1865 6¼ per cent	200 100
8	Kasi Viswanatheswara	17 7 8		
9	Subrahmanyeswara	10 3 8		
10	Pranalingadevaru	3 4 4		
11	Huligonda Dhawaleswara	4 0 4		
12	Garebagal Kalamma	95 15 8		
13	Kelakote Venkataramanadevaru ..	100 0 11	6¼ per cent 1865 1879	400 400 800
14	Pete Anjaneya	...		
15	Satyabodhamatada Anjaneya	—0 0 3		
16	Kodi Pranadevaru	25 0 0		
17	Sankara Narayanaswami	50 8 6		
18	Himavadkedara Mukhya Pranadevaru ..	46 10 11		
19	Lakshmana Rao Anjaneya	7 10 9		
20	Hajari Anjaneya	6 8 7		
21	Kudli Anjaneya	2 8 0		
22	Panchalingaswami	. ..		
23	Sumutendra Matt Ramadevaru ..	96 8 8		
24	Sumutendra Matt Pranadevaru ..	13 4 1		
25	Satyavrata Pranadevaru	0 7 10		
26	Vyasarayaswami Matt Gopala Krishna ..	67 11 9		
27	Sruvrushti Anjaneya at Santebagalu ..	—1 12 5		
28	Kannika Parameswari Venkataramana and Eswara.	6 11 6		
29	Utchangammadevi	103 10 4	6¼ per cent 1865	200 100
30	Guntinur Anjaneyadevaru	116 6 6		
31	Bheemasandra Bheemeswara	99 4 9		
32	Siddamanahalli Mukhya Pranadevaru ..	194 10 7	1865 6¼ per cent	400 200
33	Madehalli Anjaneya	5 14 8		
34	Hullur Anjaneya	45 0 10		
35	Umamaheswara at Chitaldrug ..	26 0 0		
36	Rimmaghatte Anjaneya	30 6 1		
37	Sirigere Anjaneya and Kalleswara ..	75 9 3	1865	200
38	Thuruvanur Anjaneya and Ramesvara ..	164 13 3	1865 6¼ per cent	100 200
39	Belaghatte Anjaneya Chennakesava and Gangadhara	139 1 0	1865 6¼ per cent	1,000 1,200
40	Pandrahalli Ranganatha and Harumantha	196 11 5	1865 6¼ per cent	100 200
41	Santhel ... Lakshmirarayana ..	....		
42	Mallapur Rameswara	54 9 11		
43	Garu Thippurudraswami	12 12 0		
44	Guddadi Rangavvanahalli Pranadevaru ..	120 0 0		
45	Bastihalli Hanumantha . .	80 0 0		

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Chitaldrug Taluk—*concld.*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
46	Kodi Rangavenahalli Hanumantha ..	27 6 0	6¼ per cent	200
47	Kogunda Basavanna and Baramsagar Basaveswara.	32 0 0	6¼ per cent	200
48	Alagavady Mareamma	20 0 0		
49	Chikandahalli Eswara and Anjaneya ..	301 15 6	6¼ per cent	600
50	Alaghattada Ranganatha	78 13 8		
51	Santhe Darwaja Anjaneya	17 0 7		
52	Hidambeswara	....		
53	Jammenahalli Anjaneya	131 0 0	6¼ per cent	100
54	Siddivinayaka	....		
55	Baragereyamma	39 0 0		
56	Kote Ranganatha	6 8 0		
57	Muddapur Anjaneyaswami	50 8 0		
58	Royanahalli Basavanna	13 8 0		
59	Bangarakkanahalli Anjaneya	122 12 0	6¼ per cent	100
60	Gadabanahalli Anjaneya	20 0 0		
61	Gadabanahalli Udusalamma	80 0 0		
62	Sondekolada Veerabhadra	40 0 0		
63	Godabanahal Kalleswara	16 0 0		
64	Khazi	—0 2 8		
65	Asur Khana	....		
66	Ahmed Sha Darga or Gumbuz ..	....		
67	Jumma Masjid	—31 13 0		
	Total Chitaldrug Taluk ..	4,085 10 10		9,900
	Chellakere Taluk			
1	Rest House at Challakere	....	1,865	1,000
2	Lakshminarayanaswami	206 9 0		
3	Gudisubbaraya Anjaneya	91 11 6		
4	Talak Chennakesava devaru	....		
5	Naikanhatty Mukhya Pranadevaru ..	....		
6	Gourisamudra Viroopa Ksheswara ..	122 6 4		
7	Naikanhatty Tipperudraswamy ..	3,080 14 0	6¼ per cent	1,500
8	Challakere Anjaneya	297 7 5	1,865—1,879	200—500
9	Ranganahalli Eswara	73 9 8		
10	Belagere Ranganatha	83 1 8		
11	Chowlore Thara Malleswara	23 6 3		
12	Ramjagihalli Hanumantha	50 0 2		
13	Jammanahalli Hanumantha	6 4 9		
14	Jammanahalli Eswara	9 9 3		
15	Moorlahalli Narasimha	0 4 0		
16	Parasurampur Viswanatha	223 4 6		
17	Bukambudhi Temple	270 0 0		
18	Ranganahalli Anjaneya	45 0 0		
	Total Chellakere Taluk ..	4,583 8 6		3,200
	Hiriyur Taluk.			
1	Annachattram at Hiriyur	684 7 8	1,865—	1,000—
2	Taramalleswara	2,392 9 2	Do—6¼%	500—1,500
3	Venkataramanaswami	33 6 8		
4	Uddigere Pattabhiramaswami Satyanarayanaswami.	—104 4 8		
5	Uddigere Kalabhairava	543 6 7	1,865	100
6	Thanikere Kalamma	8 15 0		
7	Hanumanthadevaru	11 1 4		
8	Myakalur Darga	484 13 2		
	Total Hiriyur Taluk ..	4,054 7 2		3,100

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
		Rs a p		Rs
	Holalkere Taluk.			
1	Chattram Holalkere ..	836 8 2		
2	Horakeredevanpurada L. Narasimhaswami..	941 1 10	1,865	1,500
			1,879	500
			1,900—01	2,200
			6¼ per cent	1,500
3	Thalya Anjaneya	10 9 5		100
4	Chitrahalli Anjaneya	151 6 7	1,865	600
5	Holalkere Gopala Krishna	610 15 11	1,865	
6	Lokadolalu Ranganatha	125 12 2		
7	Doddakatta Pranadevaru	109 6 4	1,865	100
8	Ramgiri Siddeswara	316 6 9		
9	Anandur Siddeswara	17 11 6		
10	Holalkere Anjaneya	21 5 0		
11	Bommanahalli Kariamma	4 3 2		
12	Garajkatte Kempala Narasimha ..	129 13 2		
13	Barumaroyanadurga Hanumantha ..	0 13 2		
14	Sourihalli Kesavadevaru	6 0 0		
15	Neeragunda Kesavadevaru	6 0 0		
16	Kodihalli Kesavadevaru	3 0 0		
17	Anandur Pranadevaru	10 0 0		
18	Kungale Ranganatha	40 0 0		
19	Chattram at Bharamasagar ..	89 8 1		6,500
	Total Holalkere Taluk ..	3,430 9 3		
	Davangere Taluk.		1,865	1,300
1	Rest House at Komaranahalli	....		
2	Chattram at Harihar	37 15 10		
3	Jangam Virakti Matt	4 14 8		
4	Harihareswaraswami at Harihar ..	317 3 5	1,865	1,100
5	Komaranahalli Ranganatha	188 12 1	1,879	400
6	Mukuteswara Harihar Bramhapurpet ..	181 7 11		
7	Tankbed Sangameswara	193 3 9		
8	Kille Anjaneya Herihar Town.. ..	33 8 2		
9	Pete Anjaneya	186 9 11		
10	Harihar Amruteswara	85 2 0		
11	Harihar Brahmapuri Venkataramana ..	8 8 6		
12	Banasankaramma at Badami	0 0 2	6¼ per cent	500
13	Davanagere Mukhya Prana	158 15 2	do	400
14	Neerthadi Ranganatha	114 7 9		
15	Mayakonda Chennakesava and Hanumantha	277 6 0		
16	Kondaji Pranadevaru	295 2 0	6¼ per cent	200
17	Malalkere Pranadevaru	218 1 0	6¼ per cent	800
18	Amaravati Pranadevaru	202 9 4	1 865	400
			1,879	100
19	Nadibagal Pranadevaru	108 10 3		
20	Tumbigere Pranadevaru and Brindavanam.	153 13 0		
21	Dibbadahalli Lakshmidevi	12 0 0		
22	Kantevenahally Ranganatha	4 0 0		
23	Honneru Ranganatha Hemugudu and Harikere	92 8 0	6¼ per cent	400
24	Nandigudi Ranganatha	2 0 0		
25	Taribelkere Ranganatha	50 0 0		
26	Davangere Byredevaru	300 0 0		
27	Naraganahalli Hanumantha	69 0 11	1 865	100
28	Lokkekere Hanumantha	329 0 3	6¼ per cent	1 000
29	Holavina Basavannadevaru	76 0 0		
30	Jamnapura Hanumantha	114 8 0		

No. 1475-76 - Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Davangere taluk—*concld*	Rs. a. p.		Rs.
31	Sankaranahalli Ranganatha	60 8 0		
32	Gudaal Hanumantha	107 8 0		
33	Hadady Hanumantha	263 0 0	6¼ per cent	500
34	Manganahalli Hanumantha	98 3 3		
35	Kodihalli Hanumantha	133 1 0	6¼ per cent	400
36	Jigali Ranganatha..	215 0 0	6¼ per cent	100
37	Iyyagur Brahmadevaru	186 3 10		
38	Anaji Hanumantha	75 0 0		
39	Hulavarti Hanumantha	112 8 0		
40	Lokkikere Eswaradevaru Mallebannur Eswara	221 0 0	6¼ per cent	400
41	Kabbur Ramadevaru	224 0 0		
42	Bannavalli Lakshminarasimha Hanumantha and Kalleswara.	56 0 0	6¼ per cent	200
43	Hanumantha and Kalleswara at Bannavalli	125 10 0	6¼ per cent	200
44	Soragur Hanumantha	40 0 0		
45	Bannikod Hanumantha	271 0 0	6¼ per cent	200
46	Doggahalli Hanumantha and Siddeswara ..	195 0 0		
47	Kumbalore Hanumantha	126 0 0		
48	Vasana Kalleswara	52 0 0		
49	Vasana Hanumanthadevaru	225 13 0	6¼ per cent	300
50	Halivana Kariammadevaru	22 0 0		
51	Kadarnayakanahalli Hanumantha ..	72 8 0		
52	Doddabatti Siddeswara Doddabatti Hanumantha	69 8 0	6¼ per cent	300
53	Harihar Jagannatheswara	0 0 5		
54	Kadalabala Anjaneyaswami	249 14 10	6¼ per cent	600
55	Brahmapuripete Mukteswara Brahmapuri Venkataramana.	.....		
56	Dhulihole Rameswara	173 0 0	6¼ per cent	300
57	Harihar Ekanteswara	118 10 2		
58	Devarayabelkere Mylaradevaru ...	12 0 0		
59	Halivana Halappadevaru	31 0 0		
60	Serapur Hanumantha	160 0 0		
61	Komaranahalli Hanumantha	72 8 0		
62	Bhammalli Hanumantha	376 13 0		
93	Thurchaghatta Hanumantha	67 10 0		
64	Kereyagalahalli Hanumantha	252 0 0		
65	Harihar Ramadevaru	119 0 0		
66	Harihar Khazi	4 13 0		
67	Anagodu Hanumantha	8 0 0		
68	Doddabatti Hanumantha	111 8 0	6¼ per cent	100
	Total Davangere Taluk ..	8,503 12 7		11,400
	Molakalmuru Taluk.			
1	Chattram at Bommagondankere	1246 0 5		
2	Rest House at Hanagal	....	1.865	800
3	Raghavendraswami Mutt at Sirekola and Pranadevaru	702 10 4		
4	Hampe Pranadevaru	34 13 7		
5	Bommagondankere Anjaneya	83 12 11		
6	Jattangi Rameswara	1440 12 0	6¼ per cent 1,865 1,879	4000 1700 800
7	Bommaghatta Pranadevaru and Ramadevaru	513 7 8		
8	Siddapur Venkataramana	566 4 8		
9	Devasamudra Pranadevaru	21 12 3		
10	Bandaru Ramadevaru	17 0 0		
11	Jambagar Rameswara	15 12 0		
	Total Molakalmuru Taluk ..	4642 5 10		7300

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*contd.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Jagalur Taluk.	Rs. as p		Rs
1	Santemuddapur Pranadevaru ..	1 3 4		
2	Halavadandi Pranadevaru	116 2 11		
3	Bilchodu Pranadevaru .. .	351 7 4		
4	Jagalur Ramadevaru	102 13 7		
5	Kalledevarpur Kalleswara	365 1 2	1865 6¼ per cent	300 600
6	Vouchigalgudda Ranganatha	320 14 0		
7	Sokki Hanumanthadevaru . ..	804 0 2	1865 6¼ per cent	100 1,000
8	Basavanakunte Hanumanthadevaru ...	299 11 8	1865	300
9	Tuppadahalli Chandamma .. .	15 8 0		
10	Asagadu Shambudevaru	30 0 0		
11	Asagadu Hanumanthadevaru	43 0 0		
12	Therhalli Ranganatha	462 10 11	1865	200
13	Jagalur Hanumantha .. .	66 0 11		
14	Bilchodu Ganapathy . ..	30 7 8		
15	Kanakoppa Gramadevathe .. .	14 0 0		
16	Jammapur Lakshmidevi	176 0 0		
17	Siddhanakote Hanumanthadevaru .	296 7 7	6¼ per cent	400
18	Marekunte Kalleswara	13 0 0		
19	Jagalur Papedevaru	14 0 0		
20	Jagalur Kalleswara	85 0 0		
21	Bilchodu Kesavadevaru	65 8 0		
22	Karatakere Ranganatha	30 8 0		
23	Bidarakere Pranadevaru	8 15 6		
24	Konakuppe Pranadevaru	14 14 6		
	Total Jagalur Taluk ..	3,733 7 3		2,900
	Hosdurga Taluk.			
1	Gavirangapur Ranganatha	1,349 8 7	1865	1,200
2	Budihal Anjaneyaswamy	14 1 4		
3	Devalapur Hal-Rameswara	1,657 12 7	1865	700
4	Kallodu Pranadevaru	179 2 0	1865 1879	200
5	Bagur Chennakesavaswami	3,311 4 6	1865 1879 6¼ p c	100 300 1,200 2,000
6	Kanchipura Varadarajaswami	266 8 8		
7	Mathodu Banada Ranganatha	172 7 6		
8	Bevinahalli Kariamma	854 6 4	1879	100
9	Kundur Pranadevaru and Ramadevaru ..	151 3 11	1865	100
10	Hosdurga Rangaswamy	30 4 7		
11	Neralakere Dasaratha Ramaswamy ..	1,177 14 3		
12	Belagoor Rameswaraswamy	73 14 0		
13	Devapurada Karey Yegalamma ..	303 10 6		
14	Koppagere Hamumantha	26 8 0		
15	Kille Pranadevaru	37 2 0		
	Total Hosdurga Taluk ..	9,605 12 9		5,900
	Total Chitaldrug District ..	42,639 10 2		50,200

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Annexure B.—*concld.*

Serial No.	Name of Institution	In cash	In Investment	
			Particulars of Pro-notes	Amount
	Provincial Abstract.	Rs. as. p.		Rs.
1	Headquarters	31,695 0 4		50,000
2	Bangalore District	75,279 10 8		66,900
3	Kolar „	52,925 10 9		78,800
4	Tumkur „	90,395 6 0		59,400
5	Mysore „	2,90,417 4 7		2,96,100
6	Hassan „	69,581 14 5		1,07,400
7	Shimoga „	1,11,250 15 1		96,550
8	Kadur „	45,714 7 3		92,800
9	Chitaldurg „	42,639 10 2		50,200
10	Amounts unallotted	22,817 15 8		
11	Interest on minor temples to be apportioned ..	70,852 1 6		
	Grand Total ..	9,03,570 0 5		8,98,150

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

ANNEXURE C.

Note on the final verification of the balances of Muzrai Institutions for the period ending June 1920.

This note is drawn up in continuation of the last note submitted to Government with this office letter No. 439—T. A. D. B., dated 31st October 1924.

Further work done with reference to the last note.—The items of work enumerated in para 12 thereof, *viz.*, tracing of items leading to debit balances, verification of the names of institutions with reference to the list called for from the taluks and the determination of balances have now been completed. The defects pointed out in para 9 of the note in the matter of the description of the names of institutions have been rectified as far as possible.

2. The taluk authorities have not also been able to identify and locate some of the institutions shown in the final statements of the Special Duty Officer. Remarks such as "Not in existence," "Situation doubtful", "Not in the taluk list" have been noted against these names. They number about 300. These include some of the institutions, whose balances were fixed in the Government Order of March 1904 Enquiries have to be made on the spot when opportunity occurs as regards the existence or non-existence of these institutions and a correct list of institutions prepared

3. *Further explanation of points raised in the last note.*—In para 4 of the last report, an observation was made in regard to the adjustment of the deduction of 20% of savings of institutions ordered in the Government Order of March 1904. It was stated that the Special Duty Officer held that the balances of institutions should be reduced by about Rs 43,000 and that he did not point out the names of the institutions to which the credit should be transferred, if the opening balance of 1900-01 was to remain unaffected. He apparently meant that the amount should be reduced from the General Ledger Balance and credited to Government. This inference is not correct.

4 It will be clear from the above Government Order that the expenditure incurred on the general charges mentioned in paras 5 and 7 of the order without a specific source had already been deducted from the total balances of the Muzrai Funds, and the intention was not to make the deduction to that extent once again but to find a definite source for the charges already debited. In order that the balances of individual institutions might work up to the total balance including this expenditure, a reduction of the balance of institutions having a certain minimum balance was ordered by Government.

5. The total of the Muzrai Surplus Fund balances included the balance of Palace Muzrai Institutions also The latter balance has been omitted in the appendix to the order both in the gross and the net total worked out therein though mentioned in the body of the order in para 11, thereby implying that it was to be made up out of the 20% deduction from the balance of individual institutions. In other words, a deduction from the institution balances to the extent of only Rs. 39,544-6-5 had to be made for this purpose and the net balance given in the statement adopted as the opening balance for the year 1900-01. This must necessarily have been done by this office at the time of compiling institutionwar balances for the first time, as otherwise it would not have been possible at all to start with the above opening balance for Palace Muzrai Institutions. The Special Duty Officer appears to have ignored this fact and omitted to take into account this opening balance and it is this which has led him to make the following remark in para 14 of his report dated 19th July 1924.

"The funds of Palace Institutions do not come under Muzrai Surplus Funds and have not therefore come under verification either now or on the previous occasion in 1900."

Instead of starting with this amount as the opening balance, he has taken the balances of some of the institutions shown in the Mysore Taluk in the appendix to the order and started with that balance.

6. Incidentally it may be mentioned that it cannot be made out, how individual balances were fixed for these few Palace Institutions while in para 8 (c) of the order, it was stated that a lumpsum balance only was available for all the Palace Institutions as a whole The Palace authorities recently put in a claim for the balance shown separately in the Mysore Taluk in the Government Order of 1904, and they have been informed that these amounts cannot be made available as only the sum referred to was expressly ordered to be brought to the credit of the institutions under Palace Management.

7. Even in this order of 1904, there are some defects pointed out in para 9 of the last note, in that the same institution is noted with separate balances under different names, *e.g.*—

Channarayapatna Taluk.

It is reported by Taluk Authorities that the institutions named, are one and the same:—

			Rs.	a.	p.
Page 31	Subbaraya Anjaneya		205	5	1
	Channarayapatna Anjaneya		268	2	10

Koppa Taluk.

Page 41	Nandigavi Tirumale Devaru	3	13	0
Page 42	Nandigavi Tirumale	1	13	0

8. *Further causes leading to debit balances.*—In the course of verification, it was noticed that expenditure was incurred monthly on a regular scale for the following institutions without a corresponding receipt, thus resulting in a debit balance.

Kunigal Taluk.

		Balance as per Special Duty Officer. Rs.	a.	p.
1.	Sanaba Channigaraya	—22	0	0
2	Kuthandahalli Ranganatha	—17	8	0
3	Goudagiri Channigaraya	—21	6	0
4.	Sanaba Rameswara and Basavanna	—21	0	0

Manjarabad Taluk

5	Sakaleswaraswami	—3,335	11	6

On examination, it was found that the receipt of the 3rd institution was erroneously credited to another institution in the final statement.

As regards the 5th institution, it is ascertained that the scale of expenditure is fixed on the Malnad Nagad Inam amounting to Rs. 235-10-0 per year. The grant is not being drawn and credited to the funds of the institution, while the expenditure is being charged to the Muzrai Funds. As the transaction of this institution is merged under "Minor Institutions" of the taluk, it was not possible for this office to watch the recovery of the receipt.

As regards the other institutions, the credits cannot be traced without obtaining particulars from the taluk. For the present, a nil balance has been shown against these institutions, and the matter will be looked into when dealing with the accounts for the subsequent period.

9. *Revised Statement.*—As the results arrived at by the Special Duty Officer in his final statement, have undergone considerable change both in the number and names of institutions, and also in the balances, a fresh statement is prepared The number of institutions in this statement stands at about 3,000 while it was about 3,500 according to the detailed final statement furnished by the Special Duty Officer. The accompanying are the statements thus prepared. In them, the balance of institutions fixed by the Special Duty Officer in his final statements is exhibited in the first money column, those actually arrived at by this office after applying corrections, as a result of verification, in the 2nd money column, those in the ledgers of this office in the 3rd money column and those suggested for adoption finally in the 4th money column. An abstract showing the totals by districts is also appended. In it, some additional columns have been provided to link the figures shown in the statement attached to the last report with those now arrived at.

10. *Explanations of the results arrived at in the several columns of the statement.*—In the first money column, the details entered will not, when totalled in some cases, work up to the total actually entered, as items such as travelling allowance, refund, etc., entered in the final statement without reference to the institutions and superfluous institutions have been omitted, by this office, the amounts being transferred to the appropriate places in the 2nd money column.

11. The figures in the 2nd money column are arrived at after adding to or deducting from the figures noted in the first money column the credits and debits traced out of the untraced items and the amount of transfers made owing to errors in classification. The balance of certain institutions shown separately whether in the same taluk or in other taluks has also been brought together and shown in the appropriate place. As illustrations, the following cases which are a few of the kind are selected to show how in some

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

cases, debit balances shown against the institutions originally have disappeared now and revised balance arrived at in other cases:—

Taluk	No.	Name of institution	S. D O.'s orgl. balance			Balance as revised in this office			Comptroller's balance		
			Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p.	Rs	a	p
Bangalore ..	14	Balepet Byatarayaswami	—7,220	8	9	—4	8	9	....		
T.-Narsipur ..	5	Tirumkudlu Agasteswara	—3,977	12	9	3,168	6	5	3,649	10	7
Srinivaspur ..	1	Addagal Ramadevaru ..	— 818	8	4	197	8	10	. ..		
Shikarpur ..	10	Horihole Basavannadevaru	— 774	13	4	225	2	8	....		
T.-Narsipur ..	24	Hittuvally Venugopala..	— 564	7	11	1,889	5	7	..		
Bangalore ..	68	Malleswara Basaveswara	4,218	6	0	2	6	0	....		
Chikballapur ..	2	Bhoganandeswara ..	4,526	4	5	—1,896	6	0	—1,090	11	11
Tirthahalli ..	10	Udupi Palamar Matt .	2,181	10	3	87	4	3	87	4	3
Seringapatam ..	12	Nowl Krishnadas Charities.	17,158	9	4	21,183	13	6	21,812	3	3
Koppa ..	7	Kigga Rishyasringeswara	13,139	0	2	9,749	6	9	8,787	6	1

12. It was pointed out in para 8 of the last report that the total net balance arrived at by the Special Duty Officer was made up of both individual credit and debit balances, and that the debit balance alone amounted to $1\frac{1}{4}$ lakhs of rupees On an analysis of the total of the figures as now revised, it will be seen that the net balance is also made up of both credit and debit balances and that the debit balance amounts to $\frac{3}{4}$ of a lakh only. The debit balance has thus been reduced by a lakh of rupees as a reuslt of the efforts made by this office to trace large differences and items shown as untraced by the Special Duty Officer.

13. In the 3rd money column the balance as per ledgers of this office is noted for purposes of comparison and for determining the balance to be adopted finally Balances are entered individually for major institutions and in a lumpsum separately for minor Hindu and Mahomedan Institutions.

14 *Determination of Balances.*— In the 4th money column the amount that may safely be adopted as the balance on 30th June 1920 is entered. The following principles have generally been adopted in determining the amount —

(*a*) In the case of minor institutions for which individual balances are not available in the ledgers of this office, the figures shown in the 2nd money column are noted. When this amount happens to be a debit balance the balance is taken to be nil.

(*b*) In the case of major institutions for which individual balances are available, either the figure in the 2nd or the 3rd money column, whichever is less, is taken except in cases where the accounts of institutions as per balance of this office have been finally adopted in subsequent years or where the institution is found entitled to large balance. The course of adopting the lesser of the two figures is followed with a view to wipe off the debit balances shown against some institutions (which have not been carried forward) for which a nil figure is now shown.

(*c*) In the case of some of the Mahomedan Institutions individual balances could not be fixed, as the figures arrived at in the 2nd money column include both credit and debit balances to a large extent as illustrated below. In such cases the balances as per books of this office are shown in a lumpsum.

Taluk	No	Name of Institution	S D O's balance			Comptroller's balance		
			Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Seringapatam ..	86	Ganjam Khaji ..	—6,753	1	11			
	87	Peerasha Makhan ..	16	10	0			
	88	Sali Hussani Darga ..	415	0	0			
	89	Ganjam Makhan	454	10	0			
	90	Kirangur Darga	3,758	12	0	985	10	8
	91	Atcheppankoppal Makkam ..	288	9	0			
	92	Rampur Makkan	288	9	0			
	93	Tonnur Salar Masood Darga.	2,746	3	9			
Nanjangud	66	Hullahalli Khaji	— 22	14	3			
	67	Marivadavur Khaji .	0	0	6			
	68	Khaji ..	— 121	4	10			
	69	Karagada Majid ..	17	11	6	3	13	10
	70	Khandya Majid ..	6	8	8			
	71	Hullahalli Majid .	150	4	7			

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

15. A statement is appended to illustrate the exceptions referred to in clause (*b*) of the previous para. The reasons for adopting a particular figure are given therein. The following are some of the cases which require to be specially brought to notice.

Name of Institution	S. D. O's original figure	S. D. O's figure as revised in this office	Comptroller's balance	Balance fixed
	Rs. a. p.	Rs a p	Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1 Sivaganga Matt ..	— 5,277 15 10	— 4,617 1 9	1,748 9 10	1,748 9 10

The accounts of this matt were specially verified by the special duty officer and the conclusions arrived at in regard to the closing balance were altered by him from time to time. The balance as per this office accounts is adopted as the matt is not in a position to bear the burden and is working at present also with a debit balance.

	Rs. a. p.	Rs a p	Rs. a p	Rs a. p.
2 Kalaseswaraswami ..	44,372 11 7	36 901 7 9	26,002 1 1	26,002 1 1
3. Chamarajeswaraswami ..	54,726 0 0	36,128 8 4	62,733 8 6	62,733 8 6
Plus net sayer receipts ..	....	44 811 3 3		

These two institutions have contributed to the funds of many of the institutions in the State that were in need of help and are also meeting special establishment charges. The contributions made out of the funds do not appear to have been adjusted by the Special Duty Officer by debit to these institutions and credit to the institutions concerned. This has resulted in a debit balance to some of the institutions and a large credit balance to these institutions. The balance as per books of this office is therefore adopted, as otherwise there will be no means of wiping out the debit balances.

	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a. p	Rs. a. p.
4 Sri Vyasaraya Matt. ..	28,426 8 5	30 175 4 8	8,824 9 11	10,573 0 2

The Special Duty Officer has taken the balance shown in the books of this office against this institution in addition to the figures arrived at by him in T.-Narsipur Taluk without assigning any reason. The difference relates to the period prior to 1904-05 for which years, no detailed accounts were kept in this office. There is therefore no easy means of verifying the figures. As the accounts of the matt have been recently settled (*vide* Government Order No. 5833-43—M. F. 580-21-8, dated 20th April 1922), the balance as per books of this office is adopted. Certain receipts credited in other districts and classified under minor institutions in those districts are now added on as they were not brought on to the credit of the matt originally.

	Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.
5 Sivasamudram Ranganatha ..	—8056 11 10	—8,056 11 10	359 0 1	359 0 1

The lands belonging to this temple were under the management of this Government up to 1904-05 when the Court of Wards of the Madras Government took up the management of the Jaghir. The accounts were finally closed as directed in Government Order No. 552-4—M. F. 28-96, dated 2nd August 1904 and No. 392-3—M F. 28-96, dated 7th October 1904 and the payment of the accumulated balance was made over on "Refund Bills", (the credits being duly certified to by the Treasury officer and verified by this office), to the Secretary and Treasurer, Bank of Madras, in May 1905, to the credit of the Personal Deposit account of the Court of Wards. A small balance is still left at its credit which is adopted.

	Rs a p	Rs a p		Rs a p
6 Palace Institutions ..	—18,940 10 1	1,377 10 10	Nil	4,196 3 10

How the Special Duty Officer has started with the opening balance, is noted in para 5 above. The closing balance is determined by this office after verifying the statements furnished by the Palace for the past twenty years. The balances of some of the institutions shown in the Mysore Taluk may have to be given, if it is decided that these amounts also should be added in addition to the amount specified in para 11 of the order of 1904. The balance determined is exclusive of the amount at the credit of these institutions under a separate head. This amount was Rs. 75,852 on 30th June 1920 and Rs. 4,663 on 30th June 1924.

Personal allowances.—No amount is fixed for "Personal allowances" as there are no individuals to whom the amount is payable. These personal allowances were accounted for under Muzrai Funds for some time and the balance represents the undrawn savings. These might have been drawn and charged to the service head, *i. e*, to Government when the system of accounting was changed.

16. The debit balance of ¾ of a lakh of rupees is wiped off by adopting the Principles enunciated in para 12 (b). The two institutions Kalaseswara and Chamarajeswara temples alone have contributed as much as 28,000.

17. It may be interesting to note that the balance fixed in the Government Order of 1904, has been repeated in the case of nearly 400 institutions without any transaction whatever during the period of twenty years. The amount involved is Rs. 7,000.

18. In the remarks column of the statement, the institutions which are stated to be "not in existence," etc , and referred to in para 2 above are indicated with a mark of interrogation. The balances at the credit of these institutions amount to about Rs. 20,000.

19 *Final results.*—The grand total of the balances so determined works up to Rs. 11,00,190-10-7 against the balance of Rs. 11,15,531-13-3 in the books of this office, leaving a sum of Rs. 15,341-2-8, unallotted to any of the institutions. It would be well to keep this amount as a reserve, to meet the claims if preferred by institutions in course of time. Recently the trustee of the Ramadevaru temple at Hallimal, Closepet Taluk, wanted to verify the balance of this institution and furnished this office with detailed statements. It was found that certain credits to this institution were taken in the statements compiled by Special Duty Officer to another institution in the place. The balance stood as under:—

	Rs.	a	p
1 Before verification by this office	—343	5	8
2 After verification	1,054	1	0

The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, has sent the audited statements of the Sri Bhoganandiswara temple at Chikballapur for verification. Other institutions may also follow the same procedure.

When once the balance is fixed, the amount will be spent away As it may not be possible to redebit an institution at a future date with erroneous credits afforded to it, the above suggestion to keep this unallotted amount as a reserve, is made.

20. The necessity for the additional grant of a lakh of rupees asked for in the previous letter to wipe off the debit balances of institutions does not arise if the proposals now made are accepted

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

ANNEXURE D.

Note on the compilation of accounts of Muzrai Institutions for the five years ending with 1924-25.

The compilation of accounts of individual Muzrai institutions was entrusted to this Office in Government Order No. 729-30—M. F. 37-24-4, dated 21st November 1924 and No. 1284-5—Muz. 1-24-9, dated 2nd March 1925, the work being taken up from 1st December 1924. The receipts and payments were compiled from the Treasury credit statements and bills. In some cases the monthly and annual accounts sent to the Muzrai Commissioner's Office by the Taluk Offices, were also made use of.

2. Statement showing the aggregate receipts and payments of individual institutions that had transaction in the Treasury during the period are appended hereto. The column "Opening balance" has not been filled in and the closing balance at the end of June 1925 not struck for the following reasons:—

(i) No orders have been passed on the proposal made in this office letter No. 782—T. A. D. B., dated 15th January 1925, in regard to the adoption of the balance at the end of June 1920.

(ii) In the course of this compilation, it is seen that some institutions which had a debit balance on 30th June 1920 and for which nil balances were proposed by this office, have received some credits in the subsequent years which will materially reduce the debit balance.

(iii) In some cases it is seen that the transactions of some institutions resulted in an overdrawal at the end of the last period owing to the non-realisation of grants due by Government, such as Malnad cash grant.

3. The institutions entered in the statements are not exhaustive as the names of the institutions that had transactions during this quinquennium only are given. It may be stated that there were no transactions during this period for about 1,000 institutions which appear in the statement furnished for the first period while about 600 new names appear in that for the subsequeut period. Thus the total number of institutions for which balances have to be determined is about 3,600.

4. In the case of over a hundred institutions *tasdik* grants have not been drawn. It is not known whether these institutions are in actual existance

Kille Gopalaswami temple at Dodballapur in receipt of a cash grant of Rs. 43-10-0 per annum is stated to be in disrepair. No reasons are forthcoming in other cases. It is a question for consideration whether the grant should not be withdrawn or suspended as the continuance of the grant is subject to the efficient maintenance of the institution and to the proper rendering of service therein (*vide* Rule VI, Section 4, Chapter III of Muzrai Manual). In this connection it may be observed that separate grants are made to the several deities in a temple or to several institutions having one account. Grants to institutions outside the State are being drawn by the Amildars of the neighbouring taluks, *e.g.*, Parakalamatt (Tirupathi) cash grant of Rs. 1,320-14-0, per annum drawn at Bowringpet Taluk. It is desirable that the list of ready money grants is revised fixing consolidated grant for principal institutions and bringing together grants outside the State, which are remitted by money order in one place preferably under "Headquarters".

5. Several institutions in Malnad are given grants similar to ready money grants for their support. These are called malnad cash grants, and are drawn by Amildars and disbursed as in the case as potgi amounts to patels and shanbhogs. The sanctioned grants under this head on account of Devadaya amount to about Rs. 14,000 per annum. An attempt was made to see how far these grants have been credited to the funds of the institutions concerned The Treasury credit statements of Shimoga and Kadur Districts were looked into and a statement of such grants credited to the institutions is appended. It will be seen therefrom that no uniform procedure has been adopted: (i) in some years grants have been drawn and credited to the funds of the institutions while in other years pavments have been made direct to the *vahivatdars* or managers; (ii) in some cases grants have not been drawn at all or a less amount has been drawn as explained below, *e g* —

(*a*) Saklespur Sakaleswaraswami assan District, enjoys a malnad cash grant of Rs 235-10-0 per annum (*vide* 229 Malnad Cash Grant Register, Page I. The scale of expenditure of this ed on the basis of this grant

and other income. Since the amount has not been drawn aud credited, there has been a heavy debit balance. The Amildar has since explained that the malnad cash grant is treated as ready money cash grant.

(*b*) Lakkavalli-Sompur Someswara in Tarikere Taluk enjoys a malnad cash grant of Rs. 211-13-0 (*vide* Malnad Cash Grant Register, Serial Nos. 37, 39 and 48, Pages 22 and 23). The scale of expenditure of this institution is based on the approximate income of Rs. 347 in which the malnad cash grant is also included.

(iii) Some institutions are in receipt of grants from other taluks, *e.g*, Mahishi Aswatha Narayanaswami and Tirthahalli Sitarama devaru which enjoy a grant of Rs. 62-6-0 and 11-5-0, respectively, in Koppa Taluk. (*Vide* Serial Nos. 99, 140 and 155 and 154).

It is not known when and how the amounts were credited to the funds of the institutions

(iv) In this connection it may be stated that the Taluks as entered in the printed registers of malnad cash grants have since been reconstituted A separate compilation bringing together various grants of a particular institution under different title deeds in the taluks in which the institution is situated will be very valuable. The drawing of grants requires also to be systematized It is also for consideration whether ready money grants and these grants cannot be clubbed together in the case of institutions which are in the enjoyment of both the grants as the purpose for which the grants are given is the same.

6. (*a*) Some institutions which are in receipt of cash grants and which are not under Government management, are shown to have some opening balance though the grant in subsequent years is being regularly drawn, *e.g.*—

	Rs	a	p
Udapi Krishnadevaru	984	11	7
Arunachaleswaraswami at Tiruvennamalai ..	369	2	5

(*b*) There are also other institutions with balances but without any transaction for over 20 years, *e.g.*—

	Rs	a.	p
Channapatna Taluk			
Mallur Kailaseswara . . .	122	11	7
Malavalli Taluk			
Sivasamudra Ranganatha	359	0	0
Madgere Taluk			
Phalguni Padmavatai	586	15	2
Gubbahalli Tirumal devaru	59	9	9

Some of these appear to be institutions endowed with malnad cash grants. The balances shown are not apparently due to the institutions and it is for consideration as to how they should be dealt with.

7. (*a*) In some taluks credits appear on account of realisation of interest on *Bhatamanya* investment. The investment appears to have been made out of the balance under personal allowance under Muzrai Funds as noted in the Statement appended to Government Order No. 2892-901—Muz. 5-96. dated 19th March 1904

Bhatamanya is a personal grant and is now termed Muzrai stipends

As these are now charged direct to State Funds under "13 Muzrai," there does not appear to be any necessity for the continuance of this investment

(*b* The investment made in 6½ per cent State Loan Bonds on account of minor temples and the interest realisations thereon have been shown in lump in the statement as the investment has not been apportioned among the institutions that might contribute towards the investment

8. The following are other peculiarities:—

(*a*) Kandayam due to Government by certain institutions has been included and drawn in the Muzrai bill while no credit of the income from lands appears in the accounts.

(*b*) Cowsik Basavanna in Hassan Taluk, is in receipt of Rs 47-8 0 per annum being the difference between the survey valuation of the inam lands alienated and the combined quit-rent thereon. (Government Order No. 5247 8—65, dated 3rd December 1887). The credit of this sum to the funds of the institution has not been afforded till now, but there appears in the year 1924-25, a debit of Rs 142-8-0 which is three years' grant.

(*c*) A credit of Rs 1937 6 appears against Basalu Hosur Arasammadevaru in Dodballapur Taluk. It is seen that the amount was kept under Revenue Deposit on 15th March 1906 but after it had matured and was refunded it was credited to Muzrai Funds in 1922-23

(*d*) The following credits are stated to be on account of recovery made towards loan due from certain persons. It is not known whether the loan was authorised and if so by whom detailed accounts for such loans are maintained.

Taluk	Name of Institution	Date of credit	Amount	From whom recovered
			Rs.	
Mudgere	Devavrinda Rameswara	22nd June 1921	188-13-10	Sitaramiah
Do	Palguni Kalanatha	17th Nov 1921	75-10-0	Ganapathi Jois

9. The names of institutions were not given in the treasury credit statement in respect of certain items of credit. Particulars were called for and those received up-to-date have been incorporated in the statement. A list of items for which information has not as yet been received from the Taluks is appended.

10. As regards the compilation of a consolidated list of institutions there will be considerable difficulty in identifying and linking the institutions that appear in the statements furnished for the first period with those for this period for the following reasons:—

(i) Different names are given to one and the same institution.

(ii) Want of specific information as regards the place where the institutions are situated as they are indicated by the names of Village, Hobli or Taluk, either separately or jointly.

(iii) Want of information as regards the institutions that go under a group or have one account. For these reasons an enquiry on the spot will be necessary in many cases, as accurate information cannot be had by correspondence from the taluks.

In connection with the introduction of the pass book from 1st July 1925, instructions were issued as regards the drawing of the *tasdik* grants and other bills. The taluk authorities have not followed the instructions, as some taluks have not drawn the grant at all, while others have either drawn according to the scale of expenditure sanctioned for the institution or a sum less than the *tasdik* grant itself. This shows that even the taluk authorities are not in full possession of facts relating to Muzrai matters.

No. 1475-76—Muz 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

ANNEXURE E

Special Duty Officer's Note, dated 28th October 192

I have studied carefully the statements sent by the Comptroller proposing the closing balances that might be assigned to the several institutions individually. These statements are in five columns as hereunder —

(1) Name of Institution.
(2) balance as worked out by the Special Duty Officer.
(3) Balance as revised in the Comptroller's Office.
(4) Balance according to the Comptroller's ledger.
(5) Balance proposed for each institution.

2. On taking up the statements, the first thing that struck me was that the totals of the two columns 2 and 3 varied widely. Looking into column 2, I found the totals correctly entered according to my final statements. I naturally expected that the defaulting institution's would have been also entered in full as in my statements but on actually totalling up the figures entered in the column, I found it otherwise. My statements had not been copied out in full, some item had been omitted. There was nothing to show what these omitted items exactly were and how they had been disposed of. I then examined the figures as entered in Columns 2 and 3 institution by institution and in several cases they differed. Noting these differences, institution by institution and running over the computation over and over again, I discovered what actually had been done towards the revision of the figures worked out.

3. The only items omitted in this revision are —

First, the figures of institutions entered in my statements under different names and the institutions whose accounts have been put together on the report of the Auditor to whom the Comptroller had sent copies of my final statements. (Letter C. No. 1475-76, dated 19th March 1926, enclosed).

Secondly, in the course of the above checking the final totals to this Note, I found a few minor errors in the totals of the entries of Credits and Debits by institutions from the Register of Totals as booked in the ledger. On pursuing the differences to their ultimate source, I took up the computations noting the amounts thereof in the statements of the Final Statements now after completing the final computations and submitted them to the Mineral Commissioner on July 1925. In the course of checking the omitted items and the work further, the omitted amounts and the corrections of the Grindlay's work and the transfer of the Special Duty Accountants immediately thereafter for work in the Comptroller's Office, I had to give up all further check on my part and to content myself with simply having given effect to the statements leaving it to the Comptroller's Office to trace the items which still remained untraced and to incorporate in the statements such items as had been left out by me but left unaccounted in the statements sent by the Grindlay's Auditor.

The items so traced and left un-incorporated by me have all been added on to the figures of the institutions as also of some items subsequently traced in the Comptroller's office. Even now some items have still been left for incorporation in the revised statements by the Comptroller and his commissioners have now been vested with several such remaining to be traced, and in the revision of the balances made by the Comptroller all such items have been omitted wholesale.

The simple work has been described by me as checking of the statements as applying correct totals to the amounts actually traced and of reclassification received by this office. I have not quarrelled over language etc. in this particular instance. I respectfully beg to submit that the work was not much. Comptroller has put it in his statement of the effect of my note and of rectification thereof in order that all may have consolidation to make the office can point out what errors of omission or commission have been in the computations and how they have been rectified.

4. In the examination of the statements of balances worked up, I have had to refer to the accounts of the institutions and incidentally to check my

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

own compilations again to make sure of the results. This work, for which I was not prepared, proved highly laborious and taxing and took over three weeks. But I am glad I have had to do it, as it gave me an opportunity to examine the final statements, which, in the pressure of time towards the closing stage of my work, I had to pass off my hands as they were copied. The present examination has disclosed but three mistakes in the statements, *viz*:—

(1) In the final statement of Bangalore Taluk giving Credits and Debits yearwar, a credit of Rs. 4,216 of Sreenivasa Mandiram has been entered by the Copyist on the line just above against Malleswaram Basaveswara; this simple clerical error of the Copyist has affected the figures of the final balances of both the institutions.

(2) In the final statement of Chamrajnagar Taluk, I discovered an error of Rs. 700, the Copyist having written *minus* Rs. 350 for *plus* Rs. 350 in the last column of balances.

(3) In compiling the figures of Chamrajnagar temple the following items of the period of 1900-01 to 1906-07 had been omitted —

	Rs.	a.	p.	
Minus	13,176	0	9	Sayer
Plus	1,038	3	7	items
Minus	143	3	6	

Lastly in the Comptroller's ledger itself an old sayer balance of Rs. 60,930-1-7 which had been eliminated in the reconciliation of the closing balance of 1906-07 with the general ledger has been added on again to the credit of Chamrajnagar temple in the Muzrai ledger of the following year 1907-08. I have now been informed that a sum of Rs. 16,118-14-4 has been deducted from the temple funds in the adjustment of sayer in the accounts of June (final) 1924, the latter deductions having been carried back in effect to the closing balance of 1919-20; this amount is the final Provincial debit balance with which the sayer accounts were finally closed in the year 1907-08.

5. Lastly in the Comptroller's statements I discovered small errors of copying and arithmetical mistakes in totalling the figures. These have been corrected too.

6. The errors of omission and commission so discovered and rectified by me now are all entered for ready reference at the end of the statements of the several Taluks and Districts.

7. I give below an abstract of the figures as they stand finally:—

District	Balance worked out			Balance as revised			Ledger balance			Balance proposed		
	Rs	a.	p.	Rs	a.	p.	Rs	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
1. Bangalore ..	73,231	14	10	85,020	0	10	89,971	4	11	91,561	2	6
2. Kolar ..	106,434	3	5	105,371	15	0	111,852	14	11	106,536	15	10
3. Tumkur ..	110,208	15	6	108,497	10	1	113,724	1	10	108,293	2	8
4 Shimoga ..	124,664	4	0	123,504	9	1	132,901	13	2	127,210	0	11
5. Kadur ..	110,775	15	10	107,438	11	5	96,365	15	9	98.877	14	0
6 Chitaldrug ..	50,166	6	0	50,312	3	5	50.988	15	2	51,438	10	8
7. Hassan ..	110,811	4	0	107,204	1	1	104,531	15	10	106,917	14	1
8 Mysore ..	417,342	13	4	416,017	13	9	395,768	2	1	400,261	9	8
Total ..	1,093,635	12	11	1,103,367	0	8	1,096,105	3	8	1,091,087	6	4

8. In the figures of the last two columns above, I have omitted the figures of Kodigi and Armistice amounting to Rs. 1,423-1-4 as below:—

	Rs.	a	p
Bangalore	90	0	0
Kolar	411	3	4
Tumkur	77	6	0
Shimoga	35	0	0
Kadur	36	0	0
Chitaldrug	50	0	0
Hassan	78	0	0
Mysore	645	8	0
Total ..	1,423	1	4

9. No details are given to show how much of the above is Kodigi and how much, Armistice. So far as I know, there are kodigi allowances in Pavagada Taluk and

in one or two taluks of Kolar District in the statements of which there now stand debit balances as below:—

Pavagada Taluk.—	Rs	a.	p
Tumkur District (taluk untraced)	136	14	0
Chikballapur Taluk	66	10	0
Mulbagal	185	1	5

The institutions to which the kodigi figures relate may be readily ascertained from the Amildars. It is also a question for consideration whether these allowances should continue to be included in the Muzrai or may not be transferred to "revenue" in the same way, as malnad cash grants have been done.

10. As for Armistice, the figures, I think, should represent the grants allotted for special services on the day of the Armistice and the un-expended portions thereof should lapse to the State. I do not see how they could be treated as muzrai surplus. In Channarayapatna Taluk statement there is a debit balance of Rs. 5 on account of Armistice which, along with other untraced items, has been omitted by the Comptroller in the revision of the balances.

11. I shall now proceed to show how the figures under the four heads in the foregoing statement reconcile with one another.

12. Firstly—*Balances as worked out and the ledger balances.*

In regard to this, the Comptroller in para 3 of his letter to Government says that I have not furnished any abstract bringing the net result of my work and comparing it with the figures of his office as on 1st July 1920. I am sorry that the Comptroller's Office has not gone thoroughly into the statements that I had compiled though these were all with the accountants.

13. As a matter of fact, besides districtwar abstracts reconciling the closing balances of 1906-07 as worked out by me with the general ledger balance, I had compiled and given to the accountants statement showing talukwar how the compilations of the subsequent years from 1907-08 had worked out in the net result with the balances of the Comptroller's ledger on 1st July 1920, and further noting the items making up the differences.

14. If these were not clear or sufficient, I would have readily furnished whatever reconciliation was wanted, if only as originally ordered by Government the whole reconciliation had been gone through by one of the Comptroller's Assistants sitting with me for the work. All the main points of the entire work would then have been fully cleared, leaving only the arithmetical checking of the figures to the office. The work too might have been completed very much earlier and brought to a more satisfactory finish. But the whole work was conducted otherwise. On receipt of the Government order I went to the Comptroller's Office for two or three days. The Deputy Comptroller in the pressure of his current work referred the whole to his Superintendent, and the latter went about the work in his own way in a manner which made me feel that my presence was superfluous. I then withdrew leaving all further work to be done by the Comptroller's Office in their own way and contented myself with giving such further information and help as was required from time to time through the special duty accountants and with replying to points raised by formal official correspondence. I have now annexed hereto statements to show how the compilations stand reconciled with the Comptroller's books for the two periods separately and how the difference in the net result is only Rs. 562-13-5 contributed by a few items noted therein.

15. I give below a statement exhibiting how in the net result for the entire period from 1900-01 to 1919-20 the balances as worked by myself stand reconciled with the Comptroller's ledger balance on 1st July 1920.

Comptroller's ledger—	Rs	a	p
Balance of the eight districts on 1st July 1920	1,096,105	3	8
Add—Balance of Palace Muzrai (1906-07) .	44,886	12	1
Do State Huzur Treasury (1906-07)	6 845	2	4
Personal allowances on 30th June 1907 deducted from O B of 1907-08	7,549	10	4
Balance of Personal Estates on 30th June 1907 deducted from O B of 1907-08 ..	2 695	7	3
Untraced difference between Muzrai and General ledgers on 30th June 1907 ..	6	8	0
Total ..	158,086	11	8

NO. 1475-76—MUZ. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

		Rs.	a.	p.
Compilations.—				
Balance of districts on 30th June 1920	..	1,093,635	12	11
Deduct "taluk untraced" (1900-01 to 06-07)				
Minus	..	3,387	14	7
„ Balance of S. H. T. on 30th June 1907 Debit				
Minus	..	5,453	7	10
Add.—				
Balance of Palace Muzrai (1906-07) ..	..	28,718	0	6
Tree rent (1900-01 to 1906-07) ..	..	138	14	8
Rounding off (1902-03)	..	0	14	7
Total ..		1,113,652	4	3

Difference Rs. 44,434-7-5.

The Comptroller's figure is in excess by Rs. 44,434-7-5 which is but the total of:—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Deduction at 20% out of balances of 1900	42,902	0	0
Difference between balance worked out and ledger in 1900, which should have been written off	969	10	0
Difference in the compilations from 1907-08 to 1919-20 ..	562	13	5
Total ..	44,434	7	5

16. From the above, it will be seen that but for the deduction of the two items *viz.*, Rs. 42,902 and Rs. 969-10-0 out of the balance of June 1900, the net difference between my compilations and the Comptroller's ledger for the entire period from 1900-01 to 1919-20 is but Rs. 562-13-5; the items making up the difference are noted in the statements.

17. Now taking up the balances as worked out and the balances as revised by the Comptroller, I have reconciled the figures institution by institution and have compiled abstracts by taluks and districts. I give below a statement showing how, in the Provincial Total, the two sets of balances stand reconciled:—

					Rs.	a.	p.
Balance as worked out ..				..	1,093,635	12	11
Deduct amount transferred by the Comptroller to State Huzur Treasury and the Palace Muzrai				..	7,225	5	4
		Balance		..	1,086,410	7	7
Add Variations net plus ...				..	3151	7	5
Debit balances omitted.—		Rs.	a.	p.			
Personal allowance ..		1,786	13	1			
Untraced items ..		11,207	5	3	13,805	1	8
Other items ..		810	15	4			
	Total balance as revised			..	1,103,367	0	8

18. I append statements noting every one of the items making up the variations as above.

19. Lastly, as between the balances as revised and the balances finally proposed, the latter has been worked out from the former by a very simple step, *i.e.*, all debit balances have been omitted altogether and in the case of some major institutions—not all — the balances standing in the muzrai ledger have been adopted in preference to those as worked out and revised by the Comptroller.

20. By this, some institutions benefit and some lose to a very considerable extent.

21. I give below an abstract exhibiting how the balances proposed have been worked out from the balances revised:—

		Rs.	a.	p.
Balances as revised ..	..	1,103,367	0	8
Add increase by omission of debit balances	..	19,690	12	9
Balance ..		1,123,057	13	5

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

Deduct net variations—minus by adoption of ledger balances:—

		Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p
Plus		51,107 5 8	
Minus		83,077 12 9	31,970 7 1
	Balance finally proposed ..		1,091,087 6 4

22. As between the balances worked out by me and those proposed by the Comptroller, the variations are exhibited in the following statement:—

		Rs a p
Balances as worked out deducting amounts transferred to State Huzur Treasury and Palace Muzrai ..		1 086.410 7 7
Add Personal Allowances debit balance *omitted* ..		1,786 13 1
Net balance of institutions proper ..		1 088,197 4 8

Add	Rs. a p	Rs a p
Increase by variations	3,151 7 5	
Increase by omission of untraced and other items ..	12,018 4 7	
Increase by omission of final debit balances	19,690 12 9	34,860 8 0
Total ..		1,123,057 13 5
Deduct net decrease by adoption of ledger balances ..		31 970 7 1
Balance ..		1,091 087 6 4

23. Now as the Government have been pleased to call for my opinion about the acceptance of the balances proposed as above by the Comptroller, I beg leave to give a free expression to the same ; and I hope that the points urged by me will receive due consideration. To begin with, I beg that the memo herewith submitted as an enclosure to this note may be critically perused; in that memo I have explained at great length:—

1. how the net amount of balances of June 1900, after making the deductions at 20% under para 9 of the Government order of 19th March 1904, as detailed institutionwar in the statements annexed thereto, still included the amounts that had long ago been invested in Pro-notes and had remained unadjusted till June 1904 and how the amounts of these investments were worked out institutionwar and the final net balances held in cash were fixed.

2. how the aforesaid balances had represented only the funds then held under the heads 'surplus' and Hosahead to the exclusion of the funds of certain institutions taken over under the "temporary management" of Government which funds were held under a separate head designated 'receipts of institutions under the temporary management of the Government.

3. how, on being asked at a very late stage of the work to extend my final compilation to all major institutions also the general ledger balances under the head of the temporary management on 30th June 1900 were distributed by districts and then worked out in details of institutions.

4. how finally the balances of June 1900 under all the three heads of muzrai funds were determined institutionwar and adopted as opening balances of 1900-01.

5. how in consequence of the loss of all traces of the statements of balances up to June 1907 worked out by the Comptroller as directed in para 10 of the Government Order of 19th March 1904 and for want of information in the muzrai ledgers about the balances of minor institutions individually, I had to reconstruct the accounts of the earlier years from 1900-01 to 1904-05 by a process of analysis starting from the General Ledger and working down vard to the Taluk Balawards and 'C Books

6. how in this work of reconstruction of the accounts at this distance of time, I was greatly handicapped by the destruction of the District Treasury ledgers and the Treasury C books in some Taluks in spite of orders not to destroy them issued from the Muzrai Secretariat at the very outset and without heed to the repeated references made to them from April 1922 by myself and subsequently by the Muzrai Commissioner.

7. how, as an inevitable result of this and other imperfect features of the Taluk records and of vague entries in the Comptroller's books some items amounting in the net to minus Rs. 3,387-14-7 could not be assigned to any taluk and have therefore had to be treated as 'taluk untraced,' how these items of taluk untraced now stand as a result of further investigation on the Comptroller's records and how with a little further work these may be considerably reduced.

8. how finally, the compilations of credits, debits and balances of the seven years from 1900-01 to 1906-07 have worked up to the general ledger balance with the difference of Rs. 43,871-10-0 which is but the total of two items, *viz.*—

	Rs.	a.	p,
Amount of deduction at 20 per cent out of balances of certain institutions as detailed	42,902	0	0
Difference between the general ledger balance of 1900 and the balance of institutions as worked out and accepted in the Government order of 1904	969	10	0
Total ..	43,871	10	0

Both the above being items which ought to have been deducted from the balances of 1900.

9. how the compilations of the subsequent years from 1907-08 to 1919-20, I have closely followed the Muzrai ledger with the result that the compilations of this period have differed from the Comptroller's books by Rs. 562-13-5 only, and

10. how lastly, taking the compilation of the entire period from 1900-01 to 1919-20 the net result is only the difference of Rs. 562-13-5 pertaining to the compilations from 1907-08 to 1919-20.

24. The first question that now arises for consideration is the correctness or otherwise of the procedure adopted by me. If the procedure be admitted to be correct the results deserve to be accepted apart from considerations of small mistakes which might perhaps be discovered still. For, so long as the compilations are preserved it is always open to correct mistakes as they are discovered by suitable entries of adjustments in the books.

25. Now comparing the balances as worked out by me with those finally proposed by the Comptroller, it will be seen that the Comptroller has omitted wholsale all items of Personal allowances, all items noted as untraced, all items specified as entered in the accounts but not fixed by institutions and all items of debit balances.

26. In regard to the balances of institutions, the Comptroller has put together the figures of institutions which have been entered by different names in the compilation and those going under one 'account unit' according to the information supplied by the Amildars. Noting the balances of major institutions individually as entered in his books, the Comptroller has proposed in some cases the ledger balances in preference to the balances worked out and revised while in the case of the other major institutions the balances worked and revised have been retained in preference to the ledger balances.

27. There can be no question about eliminating the items of personal allowances. The balance as a whole should be treated as one unit and should lapse to the State.

28. In regard to the untraced items, these come under two heads, *viz.*:—

i. Untraced by Taluks.
ii. Traced by taluks but not traced by institutions.

I append complete lists of the untraced items under both heads.

29. In regard to the untraced items by Taluks, I have explained in the enclosed memo what this is made up of, how far the items have been investigated, how they now stand analysed and how a little further work on the records of the Comptroller's office will reduce the untraced to a great extent. There is nothing further for me to do in the matter.

30. In regard to items traced by Taluks but yet remaining untraced by intitutions, I have explained in the memo what these items are, how the work of tracing these items was taken up after completing the compilations, how much work was done in the two months of August and September 1923 and how, in the sudden intervention of Gumbaz work and the abrupt termination of my work thereafter, all further work in that direction was given up.

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

The items still remaining untraced may be analysed as hereunder:—

		Credit.			*Debit.*		
		Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
I	1900-01 to 1906-7 ..	5,531	5	9	11,729	9	5
II	1907-08 to 1916-17 ..	177	6	3	1,788	6	9
III	1917-18 ..	537	5	9	505	5	5
	1918-19 ..	371	11	10	3,456	14	11
	1919-20 .	340	4	2	691	3	0
	Total ..	6,904	2	3	18,171	7	6

31. In the last three years, the credits and debits of Muzrai institutions could not be completely worked out *at the very first step* owing to the confusion, all round, incidental to the transition from the old to the new system of account procedure under Datta's Scheme. In the pressure of time I had reserved this to be looked into later on, along with the untraced items of the other periods. The items of these three years have been separately noted. Even now they may all be traced by the special duty accountants now working in the Comptroller's office, and if their services cannot be spared for this work, I am prepared to do it myself if some clerk is deputed to help me for a week and the files of Taluk returns and of Muzrai ledgers of the three years are made available to me from the Comptroller's Office.

32. In the second period from 1907-08 to 1916-17, another attempt may be made to trace a few more items particularly Transfer Entries and items exceeding Rs. 20, not after all many.

33. In the first period which may be termed "ante-ledger period" the untraced credits are heavy in the two taluks of Yedatore and Chikmagalur. The Amildars of these taluks have finally reported that institution details for the credits could not be traced as Treasury C books have been destroyed.

The following items of credit in the taluks of Mysore District are of the year 1904-05; a special circular was sent to the Amildars at my request by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore over two years ago to furnish to me institution details. The Amildars did not reply. As the C Books of 1904-05 could not have been destroyed even now, the Amildars may be asked to furnish the information:—

	Rs	a.	p.		Rs.	a	p
Gundlupet ..	29	1	10	Nagamangala ..	468	14	0
Hunsur ..	69	13	4	Krishnarajpete ..	9	5	8
Nanjangud ..	207	5	8				
T.-Narsipur ..	914	8	10				

34. On the debit side, the four taluks of Tarikere, Belur, Arkalgud and Mysore have contributed Rs. 7,170-7-8 as hereunder:—

	Rs.	a.	p
Tarikere	1,596	3	5
Belur	3,209	4	9
Arkalgud	1,351	1	9
Mysore	1,013	13	9
Total ...	7,170	7	8

The items are not many numerically; and they have all been fixed voucher numbers and dates; I append a list. With some serious attempt, the items—at least many of them—can be easily traced. But the Amildars will not respond though repeatedly written to. I am confident that Mr. Subba Rao will be able to find out from the Taluk records what these items are. He might be sent just for a week or so. I shall also help him in the matter.

35. Anyway, it is worth while to look into these untraced items once more on the lines indicated.

36. In regard to items described as entered in the accounts but not fixed by institutions, I append a list of these separately. The Amildars ought to be able to say what these items are. I give a few items below:—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Doddallapur Taluk Somenahalli Talwar Inamti compensation	150	4	0
Lankal-Jodi Vaddarhalli from Amildar b, transfer from Excess bill.	513	9	3
Gubbi Siddapur inam ..	5	6	3
Siddagiri-Deputy Amildar Kadigere	10	12	0
Hulkere-Chikmagalur taluk	14	12	8

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, DATED 19TH MAY 1926.

In the references to the Amildars, particulars of years and months of these items may be readily quoted from my compilations.

37. Some of the items may be traced from the books of the Comptroller's Office. For instance the following:—

	Rs	a.	p
Bangalore-Endowment Government Order No. 773. dated 17th July 1906	203	10	0
Anekal—Debits by the fund —			
1900-1	632	3	7
1901-2	1,445	2	4
1903-4	2	4	0
Hunsur-Refund to inamdars sayer ..	507	8	4

38. The items of debit charges now figuring as debit balances may also be adjusted:—*e.g.*

	Rs.	a.	p.
Chitaldrug 1902-03—Establishment ..	145	0	8
Mysore—April 1924 Payment to Acharyapurushas Palace or Melkote.	421	6	9
Seringapatana Taluk Gumasta	60	0	0
Hassan District office establishment ..	20	0	0

39. In short, all such items ought to be traced and not dropped out altogether.

40. In regard to the omission of debit balances, I regret that this does not appeal to me as quite a correct thing to do. Such debits need not be viewed as indications of mistakes in the compilations.

41 Even in the statements of balances up to 30th June 1907 compiled and sent to Government by the Comptroller himself in continuation of the balances worked upto 30th June 1900, even those statements were not free from debit balances as will be seen from para 5 of Comptroller's letter to Government dated 29th June 1908 wherein he writes as follows:—

> "Coming to the statement itself, the reason for debit balances against some of the institutions is explained in the remarks column of the statement and early steps may be taken for their adjustment."

42. There is no knowing now what reasons were assigned then by the Comptroller as the statements are all lost, and the letter stands without any indication of having been worked up for orders. But the debit balances could not have been avoided in the extremely defective system of Muzrai Accounts.

43. Except for a few major institutions even which are being changed from time to time, the Comptroller has no accounts of institutionwar credits, debits and balances.

44. The Deputy Commissioners have considered themselves as practically absolved from all responsibilities in the matter of the Muzrai Accounts by the orders of Government entrusting the same to the Muzrai Superintendent in *direct communication* with the Amildars; and no accounts whatever, not even as a show of check over taluk accounts, are maintained in the District Office. The accounts of the taluk, as an inevitable result of lack of control and check, have fallen into utter confusion. But all the same, when any works of repairs are proposed, the Amildar reports that according to his accounts the institution has so much balance and the existence of this balance is implicitly accepted and the estimate is sanctioned.

45. The works sanctioned are put in execution long afterwards without any limit of time; and when bills for works carried out are received, they are implicitly passed for payment without any further look into the balance existing at the time even according to the taluk accounts. For, as muzrai affairs are conducted there is no guarantee of the amount that was available at the time of sanctioning the estimate remaining unspent otherwise during the long interval of several years within my personal knowledge that might have lapsed between the sanction to and the execution of the works. For in the case of muzrai works there is no such thing as *lapse of sanction* if not carried out within a prescribed time; an estimate once sanctioned holds good for ever.

46. As for the Comptroller, he has no means of knowing the state of the accounts of any institution other than the few which figure as Major in his books. In respect of all other institutions he has only one Taluk Total and he considers any balance as merged in that tolal; and so long as the amount of the bill does not exceed the taluk total he has no choice but to admit the bill.

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

47. It is only when the accounts of the individual institutions are analysed as they have now been done that the real situation is disclosed how in the imperfect and unchecked run of the taluk accounts, some institutions have managed to get repaired or otherwise benefited without funds of their own; the question of adjusting the resultant debit balances becomes in some case highly problematical.

48. In this connection I may submit how, even now, some institutions are steadily running into the wrong side of the balances, year by year, as a result of the reorganization scheme sanctioned in 1917 without the introduction of any subsidiary arrangements to ensure a proper working of the scheme. In connection with this scheme an enquiry was held into the resources of the several institutions by a staff of specially appointed Muzrai Sheristedars ; on their reports the Inam lands held by temple servants for various small services were taken over under Government management and instructions were issued to the Amildars to lease out these lands for the benefit of the temples. Acting on assurances of increased income from this source, the Government in the case of major institutions and the Deputy Commissioners in the case of minor institutions have sanctioned increased scales of expenditure. This scheme has been in force for over seven years and so far as I have seen no proper accounts have been kept anywhere of these lands to see that they are properly leased out in due time, that the guttas are duly collected and credited to the institutions in proper time while on the other hand the bills of current and hetchukatle expenditure are freely disbursed on the strength of the sanctioned scales without any look into the being credit side.

49. Even now all the work done will go to waste, as did the work done 25 years ago, if steps are not taken to place the muzrai accounts on a satisfactory basis by the introduction of personal ledgers and arrangements for the proper maintenance, check and inspection of the accounts hereafter at least.

50. For the present the question of dealing with the debit balances is not free from difficulties. The best course seems to be to dispose of each case on its merits on the following lines:—

1. Where the institution has large income, the debit balance thereof may be recouped out of the receipts of ensuing years in instalments.
2. In the case of institutions of special importance, devotional or architectural, but not possessed of sufficient income, debit balances may be adjusted out of the accumulated savings under stipends including amounts of Nagad Bhatamanya held in investment.
3. Perusing the statements of pro-notes held by Muzrai institutions, I find too that some institutions which have small debit balances. have also small sums held in pro-notes, for instance, the temple of Nidigal Anjaneya in Davangere Taluk has a closing debit balance of Rs. 93-10-3 ; it has also Rs. 146-4-4 held in investment. The latter may be disposed of by transfer to some other institution and the debit balance adjusted, the remaining money being booked in the accounts as savings in cash. There are several cases of this kind.

51. I now proceed to discuss the revision made in respect of the balances of the several institutions individually. As I have said before in this revision, the balances of institutions entered in the compilations under different names have been added up. This was a very necessary step. The reason for entries under different names in the compilations is a very simple one. Some temples go by different names locally. Anyone of these names, at the fancy of the Taluk Gumasta for the time being, is entered in the bills, accounts and even official reports ; the names so entered in the records have been copied out in my compilations as without an exact local knowledge I could not take up the responsibility of altering the names entered in the bills, Government Orders and Comptroller's books. The Comptroller has now put the balances of the institutions together on the strength of the reports made by the Amildars to whom copies of my final statements were sent for revision and remarks.

52. Acting on the Amildars' report the Comptroller has also put together the balances of different institutions, which have been reported as coming under one "account group, in the reorganization scheme of 1917.

For instance, the following temples form one 'account group':—

1. Tirumakudlu Aswathanarayana,
2. Bikseswara,
3. Tirumakudlu Chowdeswari,
4. Sosale Anandeswara,
5. Tirumakudlu Visveswara,
6. ,, Anjaneya, and
7. ,, Anandeswara

These 7 institutions form one group and the balances of these institutions have been put together into one total.

53 I had no knowledge of such account groups. In my compilations the institutions have been entered severally.

54. In regard to institutions going under different local names or grouped together as above, the confusion in the accounts cannot be avoided unless the Government pass an order that a muzrai institution should go invariably by the name under which it has been registered in the register of Cash Grants or in the Quit Rent registers ; and in the case of institutions grouped together, the accounts should be booked under the name of one of these which should be marked as the head of the group. As matters now stand there is much confusion in this respect.

55. Now turning to the question of balances finally proposed, the institutions come under three heads.

1. Minor institutions
2. Institutions formerly minor and transferred to the category of major institutions in different years subsequent to 1907-08.
3. Major institutions treated as such all along.

56. In the case of minor institutions, the Comptroller has only one total for the whole taluk ; and for want of any other data he has proposed the balances now worked out individually.

57. In the case of institutions transferred to the category of the major, subsequent to 1907-08, the differences between the ledger balances at the close of June 1920 and balances now worked out are due to the fact that when commencing separate accounts for such institutions he has taken for opening balances the amounts reported by the Deputy Commissioner with reference to taluk accounts ; and deducting these from the taluk total the Comptroller has adopted the balance as the surplus of the other institutions yet remaining as minor. But on actually working out the credits and debits of such institutions in continuation of the balances of June 1900, it has been found that the figures furnished by the Deputy Commissioners have been far wide of the correct balances. I have clearly pointed out this in the notes submitted by me to Government in reply to references made to me about the balances of Hatyal Narasimhaswami temple and other institutions while the compilations were yet in progress. In the case of these institutions, therefore, the Comptroller has mostly proposed the adoption of the balances as now worked out irrespctive of his ledger figures.

58. It is only in respect of institutions which have been treated as major all along that the Comptroller has proposed divided treatment ; in some cases the ledger balances have been proposed and in others the balances now worked out. The principle underlying this differential treatment is not clear. In the case of these institutions, no separate compilations were made from 1907-08 as my work primarily related only to minor institutions. When, towards the close of my work, the Muzrai Commissioner wished that the major institutions should also be included in the final compilations, I copied out the credits and debits of these institutions from the Muzrai ledgers which were available from 1905-06 in continuation of my compilations of the previous years from 1900-01 to 1904-05 and worked out the final balances. If, still, the balances as thus worked out have varied from the ledger balances at the close of June 1920, an explanation of the differences has to be sought mainly in the figures booked as *opening balances* of these institutions in the first muzrai ledgers of 1905-06.

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

59. Further, in the course of my compilations, I have noticed instances of mistakes of credits in the treasury. For instance, in November 1916 the Muzrai Superintendent remitted to State Huzur Treasury a sum of Rs. 500 for credit to Sivaganga Mutt; this money has been credited by the treasury to Vyasaraya Mutt. I have also noticed errors here and there of misclassification of debits in the books of the Comptroller's Office in which, the figures of major institutions are entered individually and those of minor and mahomedan institutions in the total for the taluk. Such errors cannot altogether be avoided so long as the amounts payable to the different classes of institutions, and even personal allowances, are all drawn on one bill as is done in some taluks. For, in the way in which the bills are written up in rough unreadable Kanarese hand without regard to any order in entering the different classes of institutions, the accountants of the Comptroller's office should feel greatly handicapped in the task of classifying the items under the different heads and it is no wonder that mistakes do arise in spite of all care.

I have also noticed instances of credits and debits of one taluk having been booked in the accounts of another taluk.

60. All these mistakes have been rectified in my compilations.

61. In this connection I beg to submit respectfully that the administration of Muzrai insititutions has all along been viewed as one of Trust. It may perhaps be argued that there is nothing wrong or inconsistent with the 'trust' if, owing to confusion in the accounts, the funds of different institutions have got simply mixed up, so long as the funds themselves are not diverted for purposes not related to muzrai. In fact such a view was once urged by the Comptroller in connection with the institutionwar distribution of the profits derived by the transfer of the railway debentures to the Government of India pro-notes of 1879. But the Government took a more confirmed view and in Pro. No. 3969-78, dated 7th March 1908 gave expression to it in the following words:—

> "The Government observe that it is neither right nor reasonable to withhold from the institutions their legitimate profits however small. This is a case of investment of 'Trust money' and the diversion of any portion of the income of an institution for any other purpose is not defensible."

62. In the view so explicitly laid down by the Government, the Comptroller's proposal to continue the ledger balances in some cases and the balances now worked out in other cases does not strike me as quite the proper thing to do. Perusing the statement, it will be readily seen how, in the variations of the balances as proposed, some institutions benefit and some lose to a very large extent of thousands of rupees. I have compiled a full statement of the resultant variations institutionwar. I give a few instances below.

In Sagar Taluk, Ekkeri Aghoreswara temple is a gainer by Rs. 4,666-5-3 while Keladi Rameswara temple loses Rs 7,090-10-9; Udupi Krishnadevaru gains Rs. 2,677-0-2 while Malur Appremeya loses Rs. 2,012-2-1; Akkal Sha Khadri Darga gains Rs. 3,328-10-1 and Ghati Subramanya gains Rs 1,963-11-9, while the temple of Honnadevi and Veerabhadra at Sosale loses Rs. 4,109-3-9 and the Basti at Chamarajnagar loses Rs 1,052-4-3, lastly the institutions at Sivasamudram gain Rs. 8,415-9-7 while the Vyasaraya Mutt loses so much as Rs. 10,777-2-3.

63 In regard to Sivasamudram charities I have in a note submitted to the Muzrai Commissioner on 23rd January 1925 explained how the unds of the revenue villages of the Jahgir taken over under Government management in the Revenue Department in connection with the maintenance of certain irrigation channels should have got mixed up with the funds of the Devadaya Inam villages of the Chatram and temple at Sivasamudram which were also taken over under Government management in the Muzrai Department and how these matters could be cleared from the papers relating to the adjustments of Jahgir surplus made when the Jahgirdar attained majority Looking furthe into my notes I now find that the Comptroller in reply to a reference from the Muzrai Secretary No. 1392-3 of 17th October 1904, has reported a transfer to the Bank of Madras of a sum of Rs. 35,821-4-5 as surplus of the Devadaya villages of Purigal and Kaglipur. A thorough study of the files with the accounts is very necessary to determine the exact balance of institutions But I could not get these files and the connected accounts of the Comptroller's Office

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

64. As regards the Vyasaraya Mutt, I have on more than one occasion reported in what confused condition the accounts of the Mutt are and how it is very necessary to overhaul the accounts of the Government management from the very beginning. The question was referred to the Mutt Sarvadhikari about a year ago to intimate if the Swami will accept the cost of the work which might come up to Rs. 1,200. No reply has been received. Unless the accounts are overhauled it is not possible to fix the correct balance.

So far as my compilations go they are based on the Taluk Treasury books and the Comptroller's books beginning from 1896. Perusing the file of papers relating to a longstanding claim of the Swami for a much larger balance than the surplus according to the books of the Comptroller, it will be seen that the Comptroller has commenced the Mutt accounts in 1901-02 with a nil opening balance and worked out the closing balance of 1914-15 at a debit of Rs. 11,839-5-2, the debit balance commencing from 1903-04 in which year, the closing balance has been worked out as minus Rs 30,309-6-2 As a reason for beginning the Mutt accounts with a nil balance in 1901-02 the Comptroller has stated that in the statements of balances of June 1900 published under the Government order of 19th March 1904 there was no balance for the Mutt. The Comptroller had evidently lost sight of the fact that at that time the funds of this Mutt along with those of some other institutions taken over under the management of Government as a temporary measure had been held under a separate head of accounts designated "Receipts of temples under the temporary management of Government," the balance under this head on 30th June 1900 was Rs. 80,605-11-6 which amount has been distributed by me by institutions, the balance the of the Mutt included in this amount is Rs. 24,395-8-8 and the receipts of the Mutt in the year 1900-01 also held under the same separate head of accounts have amounted to Rs. 4,232-10-0; so in the year 1901-02 the Mutt had a balance of Rs. 28,628-2-8 and the Comptroller has commenced his account with a nil balance.

In my note submitting proposals for overhauling the Mutt accounts I have explained all these matters in full detail. In these circumstances the Comptroller's proposal to fix the Mutt balance at his ledger figure is rather hard to accept.

65. In para 2 of his letter the Comptroller states that he has proposed balances as above in view of the difficulties that beset the work and the impracticability of arriving at the correct balances with reference to *proved* credits and debits—I have underlined the word *proved* as its significance is not clear to me. For, so long as the credits and the debits have been compiled on the treasury credit statements, bills and other official records of the taluk and of the Comptroller's Office they do stand *prima facie* proved; and there can he no valid question of the correctness of the balances arrived at with reference to such credits and debits and in continuation of the opening balances of 1900 accepted to the satisfaction of the Government in the case of the surplus and Hosahead funds and those booked in the General ledger in the case of funds under temporary management. There may be a few mistakes in the compilations which have yet escaped notice; it is open to rectify these mistakes as they are discovered hereafter. For instance, so far back as January 1922 I submitted to Government a Note explaining the situation with reference to the opening balance of 1905-06 and pointing out how in the reconciliation of the muzrai balance at the close of 1906-07 I found sayer allowances and surplus of private estates mixed up with muzrai funds and how on a compilation of sayer accounts from the general ledger I found that the accounts had been finally closed in the year 1907-08 with a Provincial debit balance of Rs. 16,118-14-4. This debit balance has now been adjusted by the Comptroller by debit to the Chamrajnagar temple in the accounts of June final 1924 after a lapse of over 17 years. In this way it is always open to the Comptroller to rectify any mistakes in the compilations whenever they might be discovered in the future.

66. In his report to Government dated 31st October 1924, the Comptroller has stated that the compilations included some institutions which no longer exist; and in my reply thereto under date 23rd January 1925 I have explained how I have been aware of this and how the question of the elimination of the funds of such defunct institutions was a matter to be settled in consultation with the Deputy Commissioners

of Districts. Such defunct institutions have been entered even in the statements of the balances up to 30th June 1900 printed with the Government order of 19th March 1904, the balances representing the surplus that had accrued long before the institutions became defunct. As patent instances, I may refer to the following in the statement of Mysore Taluk.

	Rs	a	p.
Devambudi Chattram	710	14	8
Honnajammanni Chattram	2	10	2

There are several such institutions in the other taluks, and yet, the accounts stand as printed over 20 years ago and no steps whatever have been taken to eliminate these items from the accounts. So long as these items stand in the accounts included in the opening balances of 1900-01, I have no choice but to continue them in my compilations too as otherwise the accounts cannot be reconciled. Further, I should be going out of the way if I took up the responsibility of eliminating these items even on a definite personal knowledge or Amildar's report. The proper course will be to take action in the matter of absorbing the surplus of these defunct institutions after ascertaining definitely from the Deputy Commissioners what the institutions are and how the funds at their credit might now be disposed of.

67. To conclude, the proposals which I would respectfully submit for the consideration of the Government in the matter of fixing up the final balances of the several institutions individually are :—

1. To adopt provisionally the balances as now worked out and revised by the Comptroller (Col. 3 of the statements).
2. To trace further the items still remaining untraced on the lines explained and to incorporate the items as they are traced in the figures of the institutions concerned.
3. In regard to the items which might still remain untraced even after further work to absorb them in the respective taluks by credits or debits to some institutions on probabilities based on local conditions in consultation with the Deputy Commissioners.
4. In respect of debit balances to adjust the same on the lines indicated in para 50 supra.
5. Before issuing the statements of balances finally, for adoption in the accounts to send copies thereof to the Deputy Commissioners for a critical scrutiny so as to make sure that the nomenclatures of the institutions are in strict accordance with those under which the institutions have been registered in the cash grant registers and the quit rent registers and further to eliminate the defunct institutions;

At the same time the Deputy Commissioners may be asked to report how they would propose to dispose of the surplus at the credit of the defunct institutions and how far the debit balances may be recouped out of the future income of the institutions or otherwise.

68. Such a course will be more satisfactory and appeal to general acceptance more readily than the proposal now made by the Comptroller to fix the final balances at ledger figures in some cases and at figures now worked out and revised by the Comptroller in the case of other major institutions.

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

MEMO.

Enclosure to the Note.

1. *Opening Balances of 1900-01.*—So far back as April 1897, the Comptroller submitted to Government proposals for maintaining in his office personal ledgers for each Muzrai Institution so that it may be possible to state the correct balance in favour of any institution at any time. But before adopting the proposals it was necessary to determine the balances of the institutions individually to serve as opening balances to start with. I was then Manager of the Muzri Secretariat and I was deputed to do the work with the aid of an accountant from the Comptrollor's Office.

2. After two years of hard work, detailed statements of the balances at the credit of the several institutions up to 30th June 1900 were compiled and submitted to Government through the Comptroller. The statements worked up to a total balance of Rs 500,886-12-7, *i. e.*, Rs. 969-10-0 short of the general ledger balance of Rs. 501,856-6-7 then held under two heads designated "Surplus" and "Hosahead" the former, 'surplus' representing the savings out of the cash grants and the latter "Hosahead," representing the receipts from all other sources such as lands Kanikes, etc. These statements of institutionwar balances so worked out were accepted by Government in Pro. No. 2892-901—Muz. F. 5-96, dated 19th March 1904 ; by the acceptance of the statements, the difference of Rs. 969-10-0 between the balances according to the General Ledger and the total of the details worked out had to be written off the Muzrai Funds.

3. In para 9 of the aforsaid order the Government directed that amounts to the total of Rs. 43,802 should be deducted from the funds of certain institutions at 20 per cent of the balances to find funds to adjust certain charges ; the details of these deductions are printed against the several institutions in the statements appended to the Government Order. Actually, adding up the figures as printed in the statements, the total of the deduction is Rs, 42,902, the difference of Rs. 900 being due to errors in the totals, as printed of Bangalore and Chamarajnagar Taluks. Not being possible to locate the mistake now, I have adopted the actual total of Rs. 42,902 in my present compilations.

4. Again, the balances included the amounts which, long before had been invested in Pro-notes but had not been actually deducted from the cash balances, the investments at the time having been accounted for under a separate head of accounts designated "Muzrai Investment Fund." The accounts relating to this Muzrai investment and certain other investments were all finally adjusted in the accounts of June 1904.

5. Up to the end of June 1900 the investments of Muzrai funds had amounted to Rs. 277,300. In the statements of balances the details of the Muzrai investments are also printed against the several institutions concerned ; but the figures are not correct With great difficulty, I worked out the accounts of the investments from the old registers in the Comptroller's records and fixed up the amounts of the several institutions individually from a press proof copy of the statement of promissory notes held by the Comptroller on 31st December 1922, in which were given for the first time institution details of Muzrai investments. The amounts so fixed by institutions have come up to Rs. 2,76,900 out of Rs. 2,77,300 invested up to the end of June 1900, leaving untraced a sum of Rs. 400 about which all that I was able to find was a note in pencil in the transfer entry slip of June 1904, that Rs. 400 had been credited to savings bank account ; further attempts to find out the particular savings bank account proved futile. This sum of Rs. 400 has therefore been entered as an "untraced" debit in the statement of the State Huzur Treasury where all the transactions relating to the investments had been conducted.

6. Besides the "surplus" and the "hosahead" there was yet a thirdhead of Muzrai account designated "funds of institutions under the temporary management of the Government." This head of account was opened in October 1896 under a Government Order wherein it was directed that the funds of certain institutions specified therein should be held separately from Muzrai funds proper and accounted for under a new head designated as above. The accounts of the funds so held under this new head were not examined in 1899-1900 as being quite a recent introduction

in respect of a few select institutions, it was thought that institutionwar accounts of balances would have been maintained by the Comptroller as directed in the Government Order. It was therefore no small surprise to me when on being instructed by the Muzrai Commissioner at a very late stage of my present work in October 1922 to include the major institutions also in the final compilations in the forms then prescribed by him, I discovered that no accounts whatever of the funds of these institutions under temporary management had been maintained up to the year 1905-06 ; all the accounts available were only the totals of credits and debits of the funds under this head as entered in the General Ledger and in the final Detailed Books. I had then to take up the General Ledgers and the Detailed Books and work out the figures "by districts, month by" month, from October 1896. The balance under the head temporary management on 30th June 1900 was Rs. 80,605-11-6 distributed by districts as below ;—

			Rs.	a.	p
State Huzur Treasury (minus)		..	841	9	1
Bangalore	do	..	7,938	11	4
Kadur	do	..	150	12	10
Mysore	(plus)	..	47,907	13	8
Hassan	do	..	41,628	15	1
	Total	..	80,605	11	6

7. The next step was to distribute the district balances in details of institutions. This work was also done. The figures of State Huzur Treasury, Bangalore and Kadur, were worked out on the records that were available in the Comptroller's Office. Mr. Subba Rao, Accountant, worked out institutions detailes for the figures of Mysore District on the taluk records at Melvalli and T.-Narsipur. The figures of Hassan District were worked out also on the taluk records, myself going to Hassan the for work in October last.

8. The balance stands distributed institutionwar as below :—

			Rs	a,	p.
State Huzur Trearury—					
Pay of Muzrai Agamks 1897-8-98-99 (minus)		..	580	0	0
Sivaganga Matt	do	..	261	9	1
	Total *minus*	..	841	9	1
Bangalore District—					
Sivaganga Matt(minus)		..	5,871	6	10
Sri Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Sivaganga (minus)		..	2,067	4	6
	Total *minus*	..	7,938	11	4
Kadur District—					
Hariharpur Matt	(minus)	..	96	8	10
Chikmagalur Anjaneya	do	..	9	0	0
Khandya Markandeswara	do	..	25	0	0
Mirle Chennakesava	do	..	20	4	0
	Total *minus*	..	150	12	10
Mysore District—					
Vyasaraya Matt		..	24,395	8	8
Naul Krishna Doss Charities		..	5,115	15	8
Sivasamudram Charities		..	18,396	5	4
	Total	..	47,907	13	8
Hassan District—					
Uttaraj Matt		..	21,321	8	6
Chaturbhuja Pattabhiramaswami temple at H.-Narsipur		..	3,835	8	6
Sri Channabelagola Matt		..	17,254	0	6
Unadjusted credit Rs. 92-)					
Unadjusted debit Rs. 874-11-6 (minus)		..	782	2	5
	Total	..	41,628	15	1
	Grand Total	..	80,605	11	6

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

9. The debit balance of Rs. 580 in State Huzur Treasury is due to the pay of the Agamiks having been charged to the head of "Temporary Management." This ought to have been charged to the Surplus Funds of Muzrai Institutions. Similarly the debit balance of Rs. 150-12-10 in Kadur is due to the charges of certain Muzai Institutions having been debited to the temporary management. These two misdebits have been rectified subsequently by *transfer* entries in October 1900.

10. The debit of Rs. 580 above referred to appears to have been now charged by the Comptroller, in the revision of the balances, to Sivaganga Gangadhareswaraswami temple. I do not see why this particular temple should bear the entire charge.

11. The debit balance of the Bangalore District is explained by the fact that even after the new head of accounts "temporary management" was opened, the credit of the Mutt and the temple at Sivaganga continued to be booked under 'hosahead funds' while the debits alone were booked under the new head. This is why according to the statement of balances printed with the Government Order of 19th March 1904, the two institutions had on 30th June 1900 credit balances to the total of Rs. 29,716-3-4 while the debits stood merged under the head "temporary mgnagement" for which institution details had not then been worked out.

12. In the case of Mysore District, I had to work out institution details also for the credits under "temporary managemet" for four years from 1900-01 to 1903-04 to the total of Rs. 53,452-0-5. The details for the credits of 1902-03 were worked out from the registers in the Comptroller's Office. Here a credit of Rs. 228-8-7 in January 1903 has been entered as "Muzrai investment" fund. This perhaps related to the investment of the funds of Vyasaraya Mutt; but not being sure of it I have entered the item as 'untraced.'

13. The figures of the other three years were worked out from the taluk records at T.-Narsipur and Malvalli by Mr. Subba Rao, along with the details for the closing balances at the end of 1900. The entire amount stands distributed as follows :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Sree Vyasaraya Mutt	12,272	13	0
Naul Krishna Doss Charities	4,960	15	0
Sivasamudram Institutions	35,989	11	10
Untraced	228	8	7
Total ..	53,452	0	5

14. Inclusive of the balance of Rs. 80,605-11-6, held under the head "temporary management" and after making deductions at 20 per cent as ordered in para 9 of Government Order of 19th March 1904, and also deducting the amount invested in pro-notes up to the end of June 1900 the *net* balance of Muzrai funds under all the three heads will be Rs. 261,290-8-1 as worked out below :—

Balance as per Ledger.

	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
Surplus	1,11,727	13	7			
Hosahead	3,90,128	9	0			
Temporary management	80,605	11	6	582,462	2	1
Deduct—						
Difference between the ledger and the balance worked out up to 30th June 1900	969	10	0			
Deduct at 20 per cent of balances as per para 9 of Government Order ..	42,902	0	0			
Amount invested in pro-note up to end of June 1900	277,300	0	0	321,171	10	0
Balance ..	....			261,290	8	1

15. In the present compilations, this amount of Rs. 261,290-8-1 has been adopted as the opening balance on 1st July 1900 in details of institutions as per statements printed with the Government Order of 19th March 1904 in respect of surplus and hosahead funds and as now worked out by me in respect of funds held under the head "temporary management."

16. I give below an abstract of the opening balance distributed by districts. —

			Rs.	a.	p.
State Huzur Treasury (minus)			980	0	0
Bangalore (plus)			38,397	12	0
Kolar	do		18,799	2	2
Tumkur	do		1,535	11	9
Shimoga	do		36,145	15	6
Kadur	do		9,515	0	3
Chitaldrug	do		6,595	0	9
Hassan	do		55,831	4	0
Mysore	do		87 224	15	2
Palace institutions (included in the statement of Mysore Taluk)	..	..	8,227	12	6
		Total ..	261,290	8	1

II. Accounts of the five years from 1900-01 to 1904-05

17. In para 10 of the Government Order of 10th March 1904, the Government have ordered that similar statements showing institutionwar balances up to June 1904 should be prepared by the Comptroller and submitted to Government. Special establishments for this work were also sanctioned by Government one for the Comptroller's Office and one for the Mysore District Office. A perusal of some files in the Secretariat, went to show that statements of balances worked up to 30th June 1905 should have been submitted to Government. Relying on this and in the hope of finding the statements later on, I started the compilation from 1905-06 and after completing them up to the end of June 1920 I turned my attention to the balance of 1905-06. But, on further search, I could not find the statements. They were all missing with the entire files on the subject The Comptroller's Office had also lost the drafts of the statements and I was not able to get any information about the work done in Mysore District Office. The results of this work having thus completely vanished, I found myself in a fix in the matter of a starting point of correct opening balances with which the compilation made from July 1905 could be linked so as to determine the closing balances of the several institutions on 30th June 1920.

18. I then looked into the Comptroller's records and there found a book containing a consolidated statement of the credits and debits of two years, 1905-06 and 1906-07, and reconciling the closing balance on 30th June 1907 with the balance of Muzrai Funds on that date according to the General Ledger. There was no record to show how the opening balances of 1905-06 entered in this compilation had been determined. Presumably they should have been adopted from the results of the further work done by the Comptroller under the Government Order of 19th March 1904. But these balances were of no use to me as, though distributed by taluks, institutions details were given only in respect of major institutions while the balances of minor institutions were in the taluk totals. Unless institution details for these taluk totals were worked out, it would not be possible to fix the balances of the institutions individually at the end of any subsequent year and the object of my work would be defeated.

19. After much consideration, I decided upon reconstructing the accounts of the five years from 1900-01 to 1904-05 on the data afforded by the General Ledger, the detailed books and other records subsidiary thereto down to the Taluk Treasury "C" Book.

20. The idea was rather a bold one as not being familiar with the work and the registers of the Comptroller's Office I was myself uncertain as to where my attempts would land me; but the attempt was worth making in the interests of the work already done for over six months, the whole of which would have had to be thrown away unless the gap of five years from 1900-01 to 1904-05 was bridged up. I explained the situation to the Comptroller and to the Financial Secretary, and I submitted a note to Government in January 1922 explaining how matters stood and offering to reconstruct the accounts from 1900-01 and to work out the balances institutionwar in continuation of those worked up to 30th June 1900. My proposals were accepted by Government and I was given a very limited time of just three months for the work.

21. The work so taken up with a light heart in the impulse of the first enthusiasm proved highly perplexing in practical work.

22. From the General Ledger and detailed books, I took compilations of credits and debits of "*surplus hosahead* and *temporary management*" funds month by month in details of districts and in Provincial total of transfer entries. The transfer entries were then analysed from the ledgers districtwar and itemwar, and the whole was thus analysed by districts.

23. The next step was to distribute them by taluks and the institutions.

24. As regards the credits, the details for credits proper excluding transfer entries were found in the Treasury statements which were available in the Comptroller's records only for the four districts of Bangalore, Tumkur, Chitaldrug and Hassan. The credits of these districts were compiled by institutions from the Treasury statements *month by month*, and when these compilations were compared with the ledger figure there were small differences which could not be put down to any taluk. In Chitaldrug District, particularly a difference of Rs. 1,314 in July 1903 could not be traced; a sheet appears to be missing from the file. These differences have been treated as 'taluk untraced.'

25. The credits of the Shimoga District were locally compiled by one of the Special Duty Accountants on the District Treasury accounts and the Taluk Registers so far as they were available. These were not complete. A sum of Rs. 270-14-6 in 1900-01 and a sum of Rs. 2,746-10-4 in 1902-03 therefore stand untraced by taluks.

26. As regards the credits of the other three districts, the Deputy Commissioners were asked so far back as April 1922 to instruct the Amildars to get them worked out from the Treasury books and Muzrai Accounts. The statements so received from the Amildars of Mysore District and Kadur District have been implicitly adopted; these statements have left some items untraced by taluks. Not to protract the work, the untraced credits of Mysore District were added on to Chamrajnagar temple.

27. The Amildars of Kolar District did not reply to the requisitions for over two years though repeatedly written to even by the Muzrai Commissioner. At last, Accountant Mr. Subba Rao was asked to go to the several taluks and get up the statements from the local records. By the time he went, some taluks had destroyed the Treasury '*C Books*' up to 1902-03 in spite of a circular issued by the Muzrai Superintendent at the very commencement of this work in July 1921 and without heeding the calls for credit statements repeatedly sent to them. The result has been that in this district large sums have been treated 'as taluk untraced' as hereunder:—

	Rs.	a.	p.
1900-01	3,518	5	6
1901-02	1,014	15	1
1902-03	629	9	6

28. The same plea of '*C Books unwittingly destroyed*' has been advanced by the Amildars of some other taluks also as an excuse for their inability to furnish full institution details for credits the total of which had been determined from the District treasury ledgers. As a result of this, details institutionwar are wanting for such large credits as Rs. 1,286-2-4 in Chikmagalur Taluk, and Rs. 2,216-0-4 in Yedatore Taluk.

29. Turning to the debits the district figures as determined by compilations from the detailed Books were distributed by taluks from the files of Muzrai bills and lists of payment in the Comptroller's Office and the institution details for these taluk figures were compiled from the taluk accounts. Even here, there were difficulties. The lists for some months in 1902-03 were wanting in the files of Bangalore and Mysore Districts; the gaps were filled up, as far as possible, by references to Amildars about the Muzrai bills paid in those months and also by local compilations from barawards and other records. Again, the compilations made by an exhaustive scrutiny of the lists of payments, talukwar, could not be completely worked up to the district totals, thereby leaving differences here and there which could not be put against any taluk in particular; lastly in some cases the entries in the bills paid in district treasuries are so vague as 'Muzrai surplus bill' 'sayer bill' that they could not be included in any taluk. These amounts had therefore to be marked as 'taluk untraced.' Besides, these items which could not be associated with any taluk owing to the defective nature of the records a large number of transfer entries made in the

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Comptroller's Office have had to be treated as taluk untraced by reason of the vague entries in the transfer entry slips. I quote a few below:—

District	T E. No.	Particulars	Amount
		Credits.	Rs a p
Mysore	1754 of 1900-01	Debit endowment—verification of balances	120 0 0
Do ..	1752 do	Mis-credit of V S funds verification ..	57 3 5
Shimoga ..	1758 do	Wrong credit to V S funds verification ..	94 15 6
Chitaldrug ..	218 of 1902-03	Discount, etc, on 3½ per cent Pro-notes of 1879	97 12 7
		Debits	
Kolar ..	1753 of 1900-01	Allowances deducted from Sayer erroneous credit	29 7 10
		Do do ..	136 3 6
	1775 of 1902-03	Credit insurance error ..	241 4 0
Chitaldrug ..	1751 of 1900-01	Savings of Muzrai institutions from July to December 1900 credited to 18—Mis 3 Sayer.	496 15 2
Shimoga ..	207 of 1902-03	One month's interest, etc on 3½ per cent Pro-notes of 1879.	9 14 8

30. Lastly some items to taluk untraced ' have been contributed by differences in the grants under endowments, gograss and stipends, *i. e.*, difference between the lumpsum figure recorded in the ledgers and the total of the grant distributed by taluks. These differences occur particularly in the two years 1900-01 and 1901-02 when the system of accounts was quite different from what it is now Now the entire grant is credited to Muzrai Funds at the beginning of the year and the disbursements are charged to the funds on the debit side. But under the old system followed till 1901-02 only the payments of the endowments gograss, etc., were charged on the debit side under separate heads distinct from the Muzrai surplus and hosahead funds and the difference between the total of the payments and the cash grants for the entire district was credited in a lumpsum to the surplus without any details by taluks. When the payments exceeded the grants the excess was a minus credit on the receipt side. No taluk or institution details are available for these amounts. I have also re-cast the accounts o these two years under the new system for purposes of a final reconcilation of the balances as worked out up to the end of June 1907 with the closing balance of 1906-07 as booked in the General Ledger.

31. The items so treated as taluk untraced for various reasons as above have amounted to minus Rs. 3,387-14-7 in the net. I have appended statements exhibiting the items in various aspects and showing how much thereof is due to vague entries in the transfer entry slips, how much have since been traced by taluks and voucher numbers and dates how much by voucher numbers and dates of advances drawn and how much may be finally treated as untraced on the credit and on the debit sides on account of want of records which have been destroyed in taluks. I give below two abstracts of the items just to show how far I had investigated the matter and how it is still possible to find out institution details for a considerable portion of the amount if the matter is looked into a little further on the Comptroller's records. -

		Credit	*Debit.*	*Net.*
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
Cash grant ..	..	721 8 9	13 15 4	707 9 5
Gograss ..	.	25 13 10	25 12 10	0 1 0
Personal allowance ..	.	12,338 3 9	1,919 2 4	10,419 1 5
Kodigi (Tumkur)	.	2 6 8	139 4 8	—136 14 0
Repairs—	Rs a p			
Kolar ..	741 9 1			
Kadur .	157 4 3			
Hassan ..	34 8 3	1,233 6 3		1,233 6 3
Contribution (Hassan) ..	..	202 8 0		202 8 0
Untraced—				
Surplus and Hosahead	..	15,304 3 6	30,856 1 10	—15,261 8 4*
Temporary management	..	321 1 9	674 11 7	—353 9 10
Untraced	.	1 7 6		1 7 6
Total	..	30,441 2 0	33,829 0 7	—3,387 14 7

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

The 'untraced' surplus and temporary management funds marked* above may be distributed as hereunder:—

	Credit. Rs. a. p.	Debit. Rs. a. p.
Transfer entries	1,271 9 11	2,690 15 10
Taluks voucher numbers traced	1,097 12 0	16,604 7 7*
Advances (Mysore)		2,884 11 8
Sayer-Chitaldrug		6 1 3
Travelling Allowance, Muzrai Superintendent's office		6 3 1
Endowments Government Order 773, dated 17th July 1906		
Hassan District	37 14 0	
Public Works Department (Hassan District)	70 0 0	
Gumbaz (Mysore)		300 0 0
Muzrai Investment Fund (Mysore)	228 8 7	
Set off (Credits vs. Debit)	2,693 15 2	2,693 15 2
Rounding off (1902-03)	1 2 3	1 11 10
To be traced still	10,514 13 4	6,542 11 0
Total	15,915 11 3	31,730 13 5

32 I also append a statement giving an extract of the entries recorded in the transfer entry slips and noting the voucher numbers and dates of advances traced by taluks and those still remaining untraced even by taluks. It is worth while to make one more attempt to find out the institutions to which the items relate.

The credit of Rs. 1,097-12-0 above is of Seringapatam Taluk and audited Muzrai bill voucher No. 879 of June 1904.

*The debit of Rs. 16,604-7-7 above stands distributed by taluks hereunder:—

	Rs. a. p.
Seringapatam Taluk	455 12 0
Nanjungud	8,552 4 2
Yedatore	933 1 2
Mysore	6,653 6 3
Total	16,604 7 7

The voucher numbers of the items making up these amounts are given in the statement.

X. Particulars of voucher numbers and dates of the advances to the total of Rs. 2,884-11-8 have also been traced.

All the above amounts appear to be advances drawn by the Deputy Commissioners for repairs extensively carried out in some taluks of the Mysore District prior to 1900 when the Deputy Commissioners were in the habit of drawing advance themselves on sanctions to estimates without any further orders of Government. This procedure had swelled advances to some lakhs of rupees ; and I was then sent to some of the districts to look into those advances and have bills sent up for their adjustment. Orders were then passed putting a stop to the Deputy Commissioner drawing advances wihtout the previous sanction of Government

Much of these untraced items may now be traced from the registers of the Comptroller's office relating to repairs, audited bill and advances under Objection.

33. The sum of Rs. 300 traced as the debit of Gumbaz (Tippu Sultan's Urus) should now be adjusted by debits to the funds of that institution and credit to Muzrai Funds.

34. In the statement of State Huzur Treasury a credit of Rs. 764-11-0 in the year 1904-05 stands untraced as the treasury credit statement is not forthcoming.

III. Compilations.

First period from 1900-01 to 1906-07.

35. The Comptroller's office has maintained regular ledgers of Muzrai transactions only from the year 1907-08. The ledgers of the previous years opened from 1904-05 are very incomplete.

No. 1475-76—Muz 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

36. The ledger of 1907-08 has been started with opening balances in details of major institutions individually and of totals of minor institutions in Taluk units—the whole reconciled in the Provincial total in the General ledger. I had therefore to work out separately the balances up to 1906-07 according to my compilations to see how they compared with the opening balances of the Muzrai ledger of 1907-08. For this purpose I have divided my compilations, into two periods one from 1900-01 to 1906-07 and the other 1907-08 to 1919-20 so as to reconcile the results of the two periods severally.

37. Starting with the opening balances of 1900-01 explained in the first section and taking the credits and debits of the seven years up to 1906-07 as compiled by me, the closing balances on 30th June 1907 stands distributed as hereunder. I give in *juxta position* the Comptroller's ledger balances for purposes of ready comparison.—

District		Balance as worked out			Taluk Untraced			Total		
		Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
S. H. T.	M	5,453	7	10				M 5,453	7	10
Bangalore		4,795	11	2	1,571	4	7	6,366	15	9
Kolar		37,468	9	4	5 456	10	10	42,925	4	2
Tumkur		5,672	9	7	M 643	9	11	5,316	15	8
Shimoga		58,963	7	11	888	12	10	59,852	4	9
Kadur		50,393	6	4	3,435	3	4	53 828	9	8
Chitaldrug		18,044	5	1	721	6	6	19,365	11	7
Hassan		59,585	14	1	M 748	8	9	58,837	5	4
Mysore		1,23,742	0	10	M 14,357	2	0	1,09,384	14	10
Palace		28,718	0	6	....			28,718	0	6
Tree rent		153	14	8	..			138	14	8
Rounding off (02-03)		0	14	7	.. .			0	14	7
Untraced		.. .			....			...		
Total		3,82,670	0	3	M 3,387	14	7	3,79,282	7	8

District	*Ledger Balance.*		
	Rs.	a.	p.
S. H. T	6,843	2	4
Bangalore	25,936	1	5
Kolar	43,835	2	9
Tumkur	9,308	0	10
Shimoga	67,083	13	7
Kadur	36,003	0	3
Chitaldrug	19,585	5	11
Hassan	66,290	13	0
Mysore	1,05,374	15	6
Palace	44,886	12	1
Untraced	6	8	0
Total ..	4,23,154	1	8

38. From the above it will be seen that the Comptroller's ledger balance is in excess of the balance worked out by me by Rs. 43,871-10-0 which is but the total of

	Rs	a.	p
Amount of deduction of opening balance of June 1900 ordered in the Government Order of 19th March 1904	42,90	0	0
Difference in the balance of June 1900 as between the General Ledger and the statements of institutionwar balances accepted by Government which difference should have been written off the balance of June 1900	969	10	0
Total	43 871	10	0

39 Persuing the general ledgers from 1900-01 to 1906-07 it would be seen that the balance of Rs. 4,25,154-1-8 at the close of 1906 07 has been arrived at on the credits and debits of the seven years from 1900-01 to 1906-07 in continuation of the General Ledger balance of Rs 5,82,462 2-1 on 30th June 1900 , and I have not been able to find any entry either definite or suggestive of the above two items having been

deducted in the accounts. Even now I shall be glad if the Comptroller's office will point out *when* and by what entry the deductions have been given effect to.

40. In his present letter to Government, the Comptroller states that my view of the non-deduction of the sum of Rs. 42,902 is based on the assumption that the funds of the Palace institutions did not come under the *surplus funds* while actually they were merged in the latter all along till 1918-19 when they were separated therefrom. I fail to see what the accounts of Palace Muzrai institution have anything to do with the deduction of the amount out of the balances of the institutions other than those under Palace management as detailed in the statements printed in the Government Order of 19th March 1904. These statements do not include the institutions under Palace management save a few entries of very old balances which should have accrued and been included in the Muzrai surplus prior to 1870 when they were all under district charge. This fact does in itself conclusively prove that the funds of Palace institutions had all along been kept separate from the surplus of Muzrai institutions proper.

41. Before I was deputed to work out the balance of Muzrai institutions I had checked the accounts of Palace Muzrai institutions in November 1898 and orders thereon were passed in Proceedings No. 1096-7—Muz. F. 51-93, dated 6th April 1899. This order is referred to in para 8 (*c*) of the Government Order of 19th March 1904. Reading this paragraph, it will be seen that apart from the surplus of Muzrai institutions as detailed in the statements, the Palace Muzrai institutions had, according to the books of the Comptroller, a separate lumpsum credit of Rs. 66,041 as balance on 30th June 1900 ; as the existence of such a balance had not been brought to the notice of the Government at the time the orders of 6th April 1899 were passed, a special grant of Rs. 26,496-9-7 was sanctioned by Government to adjust certain expenditure which had been incurred in excess of the authorised grants. Subsequently when the Government were made aware of this credit balance a refund of the above special grant was ordered.

It is thus clear that even according to the Comptroller the Palace Muzrai institutions had been treated separately from the District Muzrai institutions and in the accounts the Palace Muzrai Funds had been treated as a separate factor quite independent of the Muzrai surplus.

42. In the detailed books are recorded the consolidated accounts under all heads month by month, in details of districts and Transfer Entries and in these books 'Palace' is treated as a separate district unit ; and in the pages relating to the Muzrai funds some credits and debits are entered against Palace and they are included in the Provincial total booked in the General ledger. In my compilations therefore for the first seven years from 1900-01 to 1906-07 I have worked out the palace credits and debits in total yearwar and determined the balance of the Palace Muzrai on 30th June 1907 so merged in the Muzrai Funds as otherwise it would not have been possible for me to reconcile my compilations with the general ledger. This balance of Palace Muzrai amounting to Rs. 28,718-0-6 on 30th June 1907 has been entered in the statement under para 37 *supra* as a separate district unit. Only I have not worked out institutions details for this total as being unnecessary for purposes of my work relating to minor institutions. Once I attempted even to do this from the books of the Palace Muzrai. But I found that the compilations made from the Palace books were far wide of the Comptroller's figures ; it appeared to me that the credits and debits booked in the general ledgers did not embrace all the amounts of Palace Muzrai transactions. As, however, I had to adhere to the Ledgers and as institution details for Palace Muzrai were of no avail to me so long as the totals had been fixed up, I dropped the matter there.

43. As regards the item of Rs. 969-10-0 the untraced difference in the balance of June 1900 which ought to have been written off the Muzrai surplus, the Comptroller's letter is silent.

44. Anyway as the Comptroller has finally adopted the balances as worked out by me as the basis of his further proposals the question relating to these items does not call for further discussion.

45. With this difference relating to the reduction of the two items in question the closing balance of 1906-07 according to my compilations tallies with the closing

balance of the year according to the general ledger in the Provincial total, though viewed in details of districts, the balances vary widely. These differences may perhaps be explained if the figures are analysed by taluks and major institutions. Even now it is not too late to do so if so desired.

46. So far as the present compilations go I have done my best to render them as accurate as was possible in the strained conditions and pressure of time under which I had to work particularly in the closing stage. Having had to go through the statements once more to find out the revisions made by the Comptroller, I very critically examined them and discovered only three errors in all. These I have explained in para 3 of the note

47. I am however far from claiming perfect accuracy to the statements compiled by me as I fully realise that into them might have crept several unwitting mistakes of omission and commission which are unavoidable in a work of this magnitude, particularly considering also the imperfect and disconnected nature of the old records on which I had to build up the compilations of the first five years and how owing to the sudden dropping off of the Special Duty Accountants by reason of severe illness at the most critical stage of work, the final compilation had to be done by new men put on the work who had no experience or knowledge of the work already done and could not make out the stray notes recorded here and there by those responsible for the original compilations at the different stages of the work and how I myself had not sufficient time at the end to examine the statements compiled and copied out by the Accountants in a great hurry against the pressure of time It is therefore a matter of no small satisfaction to me I submit this even now with great reserve that my further scrutiny of the statements has disclosed but three mistakes in all.

48. Turning to the balances of the 30th June 1907 worked out by the Comptroller's office the statements compiled by him are all gone. I, however, unexpectedly found the letter No 7156—Muz. 264, dated 29-6-08, addressed by the Comptroller to Government reporting the transmission of the statements, tagged among papers in an old file relating to the funds of the Vyasaraya Mutt. I submit this letter in original. It will be seen from the office note on the letter that the statements themselves had not been received with the letter and the letter was reserved for submission on the receipt of the statements. There the matter ends.

49. The letter speaks for itself.

50. Even then there were debit balances against some of the institutions and it was urged that early steps might be taken for their adjustment

51. In para 6 of the letter the Comptroller writes as follows :

> 'As the balances of the Muzrai institutions under Palace have not been verified from the year 1881, only a lumpsum aggregate balance is given without any distribution among the several institutions."

If such was the disposal of the Palace Muzrai then, I fail to see how now my omission to work out the institution detail for Palace Muzrai could act as a serious flaw and the results of my present compilations.

52. Para 7 of the letter runs as follows :—

> " The details for the amounts invested in the 5 per cent endowment fund not having been furnished by the Deputy Commissioners of Districts except Kolar, only a sum of Rs 23,000 belonging to the latter district is included in the total balance.'

It is not clear what need there was to include the endowment fund of Rs. 23,000 belonging to the Kolar Ditrict in the total balance of the surplus of Muzrai institutions

53 Lastly para 8 runs as follows : —

> The balance on the 1st July 1907 includes a sum of Rs 7,549-10-4 drawn by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, in previous years on account of hereditary and life stipends due to parties and credited to the head and also a sum of Rs 2,695 7-3 the receipts of private Estates under Government management wrongly credited to Muzrai Funds These will be adjusted in the final accounts of this office for this year

The first of the two items referred to in the above, *viz.*, Rs. 7,549-10-4 is the aggregate of the balances of personal stipends of all districts which had accumulated

till 1906-07 and which had been deducted by the Comptroller from the opening balances in the muzrai ledger of 1907-08 in district details as below:—

		Rs.	a.	p.			Rs.	a.	p.
Bangalore	...	2,315	8	4	Kolar	...	1,416	4	5
Tumkur	...	17	14	3	Shimoga	.	1	13	1
Kadur	.	19	10	0	Chitaldrug	...	88	7	7
Hassan	..	78	11	10	Mysore	..	3,611	4	10
					Total	.	7,549	10	4

Even here there is a small mistake of Rs. 14-13-9 due to the omission of the two items of personal allowances in the balance of Koppa Taluk on 30th June 1900, the items being Nagar Ramachar's allowance Rs. 3-9-9 and Swami Rao's allowance Rs. 11-4-0.

Under such circumstances, the Comptroller's report that the aforesaid amount of Rs 7,549-10-4 was stipend money drawn by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, is not quite clear.

54. According to the present compilations the net balance of the funds of the Private Estates of Arkalgud Taluk included in the closing balance of 1906-07 is Rs. 102-15-5 against Rs. 2,695-7-3 mentioned in the Comptroller's letter.

From the statements of credits of the first seven years compiled on the original treasury credit statements still in the Comptroller's records, and in the accounts of later years too, I find that, in the Muzrai ledgers, some items of these private estates have got mixed up with the credits of Muzrai Institutions proper, particularly those then under the temporary management of Government.

55. As I have already submitted the statements of balances up to June 1907 worked out by the Comptroller are not forthcoming. The figures of the opening balances in the ledger of 1907-08, evidently based on those statements, do not give the institutions balances for minor institutions. Without such details it is not possible to determine the present balances in details of individual institutions. The compilations made by me, on the other hand, give such details fully; though the results vary when taken by districts, the difference vanishes in the Provincial total. If these compilations are preserved it is always open to rectify errors as they are discovered at any distance of time.

Second Period from 1907-08 *to* 1919-20.

56. I have not worked out the accounts of the State Huzur Treasury under the Palace Muzrai subsequent to 1906-07; the balances at the close of 1906-07 having been worked out, the balances on 30th June 1920 are at once arrived at by simply carrying forward the differences in the closing balances of 1906-07 as between my compilations and Comptroller's ledger these difference will remain constant if the credits and debits as booked in the ledger are implicitly adopted from 1907-08 onwards.

57. My compilations for this period have been confined to institution details for the taluk totals of the minor institutions only to which the work undertaken by me was primarily limited. In respect of the major institutions, the credits and debits as booked in the ledgers have been implicity adopted in the final compilations which under instructions of the Muzrai Commissioner were extended to major institutions also so as to reconcile the balances arrived at with the books of the Comptroller's Office. The difference in the results of the compilations of this period is only Rs. 562-13-5 as might be seen from the following abstract:—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Opening Balance on 1st July 1907, according to the Comptroller's ledger..	4,05,983	12	11
Add Cash grant from 1907-08 to 1919-20	30,51,371	3	3
Add other receipts do	32,15,980	6	7
Total ..	66,73,335	6	9
Debits from 1907-08 to 1919-20	55,77,793	0	6
Balance. ..	10,95,542	6	3
Balance on 30th June 1920 of districts proper according to Comptroller's ledger, *i.e.*, excluding S. H. T., Vyasaraya Mutt (Headquarters) Personal allowances, Kodigi and Armistic ..	10,96,105	3	8

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

Difference Rs. 562-13-5—Ledger more.

That is to say if the credits and debits of this period as compiled be tagged on to the opening balance of 1907-08 according to the Comptroller's ledger, the balance on 30th June 1920 would come up to Rs 1,095,542-6-3 against Rs. 1,096,105-3-8 according to the ledger, the difference of Rs. 562-13-5 being due to the differences of credits and debits as between the compilations and the figures booked in the ledgers during the entire period from 1907-08 to 1919-20.

Full details of this difference by Districts, Taluks and Institutions are given in a separate statement appended hereto.

58. I have also explained in para 15 of the note how this sum of Rs. 562-13-5 is practically all the difference for the entire period from 1900-01 to 1919-20.

Untraced Items.

59. The final statements of balances which have been compiled, talukwai do not include the items to the total of minus Rs 3,387-14-7 marked as "taluk untraced," under circumstances already explained.

60. In these final compilations some amounts of credit and debit in the total for the taluk are marked as 'untraced,' these being the differences between the ledger totals and the totals of the figures entered in the details of the institutions.

61. These differences have arisen from various causes.—

(1) In some old bills there are small mistakes in totalling up the details entered, thereby resulting in excess or short-drawals of small sums. These errors have escaped notice both in the treasury of payment and also in the subsequent audit in the Comptroller's Office. As in my compilations I have adhered to the amounts actually drawn and booked as debits in the Comptroller's ledger, the differences have been treated as 'untraced.'

(2) Some items have been marked as untraced because of imperfect and vague entries in the bills themselves, for instance, in some bills institutions details have not been given for the amounts short-drawn as undisbursed; the resulting credits could not be given to any particular institution and they have been therefore marked as untraced.

(3) Some bills are not in the files being replaced in a few cases by slips noting the return of the bills for further information which is yet to come; the amounts of these bills have been marked as untraced.

(4) Since the replacement of the old Taluk Treasury 'C. Books' by other registers under Datta's Scheme, the entries of credits and debits in the Taluk Treasury Registers have become extremely vague. I quote a few entries below:—

Tumkur Taluk	..	Credit July 1919, Rs 10, Inam Gutta amount credited by Shanbhog Venkatanaranappa for Naranappa
		Credit August 19th, Rs. 513-9-3, Jodi Voddarhalli Amount from Amildar by transfer from Excise refund bill
Holalkere Taluk	.	Credit Rs 14-12-8, Chickjajur tree rent
Gubbi Taluk Credit	.	Rs 5 6 3 Siddapur Inam.
Maddagiri	..	„ 10 12 0 Deputy Amildar
Hassan, 1917-18	.	„ 21 4 0 Sale proceeds of kavals

I have given a complete list of such items in a separate statement. These items could not be put down to the credit of any particular institutions and they have therefore been treated as untraced.

(5) Lastly some transfer entries effected in the Comptroller's Office remain to be traced yet

62. Reserving these items untraced to be looked into later on, I took up the work of consolidating the compilation of credits and debits already worked out, noting in the statements the taluk total of the untraced items so as to keep up the totals

63. Complete lists of these untraced items talukwar with particulars of years and months too in most cases were separately prepared and these lists were also mostly copied out for ready reference in the final statements of the respective taluks.

No 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

64. After completing the final compilations in the two forms prescribed by the Muzrai Commissioner and submitting the same to the Muzrai Commissioner in July 1923, I took up the work of tracing the institutions relating to the untraced items so far as that was possible from the records of the Comptroller's Office. Much work was done in this respect in the two months of August and September 1923. As I was examining the items as they were traced, I received a letter from the Muzrai Commissioner then at Camp at Mysore, to take up the verification of the Gumbaz accounts as a matter of 'immediate' urgency putting by all further work. The Gumbaz work was completed by Christmas and as soon as the offices re-opened I was asked to send away the Special Duty Accountant to the Comptroller's Office for further work there.

65. This sudden turn of events put a stop to all further work on my part. I sent away the Accountants to the Comptroller's Office with all the papers leaving it to them to trace the items still remaining 'untraced' and to incorporate in the compilations such items as had been already traced but not incorporated in the statement for want of time.

66. With reference to the untraced items, the Comptroller in his letter to Government No. 943 TAD B, dated 27th March 1924, stated that after completing certain preliminary compilations then on hand "attempts will be made to trace the items marked as 'untraced' large items being taken up first and minor items afterwards." And in para 9 of the same letter the Comptroller wrote as follows:—

> 'to prevent further protraction of the work this is being attended to by the Muzrai Section of my Office in addition to its current work As at present gauged this work may be expected to be finished by June next This additional work has devolved on the Superintendent and two of the officials attached to the section and I shall recommend a suitable remuneration when the work is completed "

67. But I now find that several items still remain to be traced and in spite of the full notes which the Accountant Mr. Subba Rao had collated before he left me, no attempt appears to have been made to trace the Transfer Entries and advances adjusted in the accounts of Mysore District, all of which still remain as Taluk untraced. To save further protraction of the work all the items still remaining untraced have been altogether omitted by the Comptroller in the revision of the balances worked out by me.

68. In my note paras 28 to 39, I have explained how the 'untraced' items now stand and how by some further work much of the items may be easily traced. At any rate it seems to be necessary to make an attempt to trace all the items of the three years from 1917-18 to 1919-20, and also the heavy debit items of the anteledger period 1900-01 to 1906-07 in the Taluks of Tarikere, Belur, Arkalgud and Mysore. The items described in the statements as entered in the records without particulars of institutions may also be readily traced by the Amildars and from the records of the Comptroller's Office as explained in the note. Such a course is certainly preferable to omitting the items wholesale.

(Sd.) A. MRITYUNJAYA IYER.

Letter No. 7156—Muz. 264, dated Bangalore, the June 1908, from M. N. Krishna Rao, Esq., Comptroller, Mysore Government, to L. Anantasami Rao, Esq., B A., Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Muzrai Department.—Statement will follow.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 4446—Muz. of 14th April 1908, I have the honour to forward herewith a statement of the balance of the several Muzrai Institutions in the Province as it stood on 1st July 1907.

Received 29-6-08. Submitted for information. This will be submitted when the Statement is received. N.V 29-6-08. Seen. L A. 30-6-08.

2. I regret the delay in the preparation of this statement, which was due to the incomplete manner in which the statements and accounts used to be received from Districts before the organization of a separate Muzrai branch in this office, and from which the statement had to be compiled, and thereafter also it was sometime before the system became quite familiar to all concerned.

3. The progress was further impeded by the incorrect method adopted in the Districts of accounting for the receipts and expenditure of several Muzrai Institutions, as already reported in several of my communications and unofficial Memo No. 194 of 16th May 1906. No sooner was it considered that the correct balance of an Institution had

No. 1475-76 Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

been arived at, than some fresh fact would come to notice that required a modification of the conclusion arrived at, and the whole thing had to be worked out again from the very commencement.

4. The proper system of accounting for the receipts and expenditure of all Muzrai Institutions is to credit one head of account in the Treasury, all receipts from whatever source they may be including Puduvat Funds, and then to utilize them for expenditure including investments. This would necessitate the maintenance of only two sets of accounts for each Institution, one a current one for ordinary income and expenditure, and the other for the Investment of the Funds of the institutions. Hitherto a large portion of the receipts of several temples used to be invested in Government Savings Bank deposits, and a portion of the expenditure also met out of amounts withdrawn from such deposit. And these transactions not being known to this office as on account of Muzrai Institutions, were not being included in the accounts of such Institutions This was one fruitful source of difference between the balances at credit of Institutions as worked out in the offices of the administrators of those funds, and as recorded in this office It is however noted that such differences in the case of many institutions have now deen reconciled after a great deal of correspondence, and it is hoped that the differences that have not yet been reconciled in the case of a few will be done ere long.

Coming to the statement itself, the reason for the debit balances against some of the Institutions is explained in the remarks column of the statement, and early steps may be taken for their adjustment

As the balances of Muzrai Institutions under Palace, nave not been verified from the year 1881, only a lumpsum aggregate balance is given, without any distribution among the several Institutions.

The details for the amount invested in 5 per cent endowment fund not having been furnished by the Deputy Commissioners of Districts except Kolar, only a sum of Rs 23.000 belonging to the latter district is included in the total balance

The balance on the 1st of July 1907 includes a sum of Rs 7,549-10-4 drawn by the Deputy Commissioners of Districts in previous years on account of hereditary and life stipends due to parties and credited to this head, and also a sum of Rs. 2,695-7-3 being the receipts of private estates under Government management, wrongly credited to Muzrai Funds. These will be adjusted in the final accounts of this office for this year.

SPECIAL DUTY OFFICERS NOTE, DATED 23rd JANUARY 1925.

Muzrai Commissioner's D. O., dated 28th November 1924, on Comptroller's letter to Government, dated 31st October 1924.

Deputy Comptroller's Note, Para 2.—The Deputy Comptroller says that, after reference to the Amildars, he has traced a debit of Rs. 24,000 and credit of Rs. 7,000 out of Rs. 70,000 and Rs. 30,000 respectively which he says 'The Special Duty Officer was not able to trace though he or his staff visited some of the taluks and consequently shown in his accounts as untraced. The Deputy Comptroller's statement is rather a broad one. As a matter of fact I did not visit any of the Taluk stations in connection with this work those visited by my accountants are very few not more than a dozen at the most; and even here the 'untraced items' were fixed only after the statements furnished by the local officials were closely scrutinised and checked with the ledger figures at the final Districtwar compilations made long afterwards at Headquarters.

In regard to these 'untraced items,' I beg leave to invite reference to para 7 *et seq* of my note submitted on 23rd April 1924 in reply to a reference No. C. 226, dated 15th idem then made to me, in these paragraphs I have fully explained the nature and the extent of the untraced items and why I had left them as such; in paragraph 30 of the note, I have myself suggested how the untraced items,' of the several taluks might be traced if strict orders be issued to the Amildars calling upon them to furnish institution details for the items and how in respect of transfer entries and certain other items institution details had to be furnished only by the Comptroller's office

Para 3 of the Deputy Comptroller's Note.—The Deputy Comptroller states that I have worked out the figures for Palace Muzrai only up to 1906-07 and expresses surprise that in para 14 of my note sent to him with the Muzrai Commissioner's No C 226, dated 23rd July 1924 I have stated that the funds of Palace Muzrai Institutions do not

come under Muzrai Surplus Funds, etc. He says that the funds are actually included under that head up to 1918-19, the fact of such inclusion having also been mentioned in para 11 of Government Order of 1904. He adds that it is not apparent why I included the funds till 1906-07 if I considered it wrong to do so thereafter.

This paragraph is not clear to me. I can only say that the Deputy Comptroller appears to have misconceived the whole situation about the Palace Muzrai Funds. As a matter of fact, I have not furnished to the Comptroller any figures of Palace Muzrai Funds up to the year 1906-07. No doubt the statement of Mysore Taluk includes some items of credits and debits of a few institutions under Palace management; but these are items wrongly included by the Comptroller himself in his accounts and I had necessarily to retain them in my statements so as to keep to the totals of the Comptroller's ledgers.

With reference to the Deputy Comptroller's statement that the Palace Muzrai Funds are actually included under the head of Muzrai Surplus Funds up to 1918-19 and that this is mentioned in para 11 of Government Order of 1904, all that I can say is that I regret my inability to bring myself to see eye to eye with him

In the first place, the accounts of the Palace Institutions did not come under verification on the last occasion; and they are not included in the balances of June 1900 as detailed in the statements appended to Government Order of March 1904. Of course, in the statement of Mysore Taluk are included the balances of a few institutions, mostly defunct ones abolished years ago in the re-organization of the Palace affairs in the *Sixties* and these figures represent the surplus of the Institutions prior to 1870 when they were all under District charge. On my bringing this to the notice of the Palace office, the Huzur Secretary has claimed credit to these amounts and the matter is still pending settlement.

In para 10 of the Government Order, the Comptroller was asked to prepare statements bringing up the Institution balances to 30th of June 1904; the results of the work done in this direction have all been lost both in the Comptroller's office and in the Secretariat. Quite recently, however, I found the Comptroller's letter forwarding statements of balances brought up to 1st July 1907, tagged to the file of an old correspondence about the Sri Vyasaraya Matt funds. I enclose a copy of this letter; in this letter No. 7156—Muz. 264, dated 29th June 1908, the Comptroller has written as follows:—

'As the balances of the Muzrai Institutions under Palace have not been verified from the year 1881, only a lumpsum aggregate balance is given without any distribution among the several institutions.'

Such was the admitted situation on the 1st July 1907.

Subsequent to 1907-08 a page has been set apart in the Comptroller's Muzrai ledgers for Palace Muzrai Institutions, information about the Institutionwar opening balances having been obtained from the then Palace Controller. But in the final General Ledgers, the surplus of the Palace Institutions, during recent years, has been separated from the Provincial total of Muzrai and treated as a distinct head of accounts altogether.

I have also examined the accounts of the Palace Muzrai Institutions to some extent so far as it was necessary for the purpose of my work. Finding that between the years 1900-01 and 1906-07 the credits and debits of Palace Institutions had to a great extent been mixed up with those of the Taluk Institutions, I went to the Palace office in February 1923 and obtained detailed statements of debits and credits of the Palace Institutions from the books of the Palace office. On examining these with the Comptroller's General Ledgers, I found that they hopelessly differed. I have therefore put them by as of no practical use to the work that I had to do inas much as the Palace Muzrai surplus stands as a separate unit from the Provincial Muzrai which I have worked out *taluk by taluk*.

In regard to the accounts of the years subsequent to 1907-08, these are recorded in details of individual institutions, both Major and Minor, in the Muzrai Ledgers of the Comptroller's office. There was, therefore, no need for me to work them out again.

Reverting to the compilations of Palace Muzrai accounts made by me for the first seven years, I find that the Palace office has started the accounts of 1899-1900 with a *nil balance* as a result of the orders contained in the Government Order No. 1096-7—Muz. F. 51-93, dated 6th April 1899. These orders I may here say, were issued by Government on an independent examination of the Palace Muzrai accounts from July 1892 to June 1898 made by me in November 1898; I was then the Manager of the Muzrai Secretariat and was specially deputed to do the work. At that time neither the Palace Controller nor the Government were made aware of a previously accumulated balance which the Comptroller, long afterwards, reported as having stood to the credit of the Palace Institutions to the amount of Rs. 66,041, on 30th June 1900 according to the books of his office. When this balance was brought to the notice of the Government, orders were passed in para 11 of

the Government Order of March 1904, that out of this sum of Rs 66,041 should be refunded a sum of Rs. 26,496-9-7 which had been specially sanctioned from the State revenues in April 1899 without a knowledge of the existence of the accumulated surplus, for appropriation otherwise as directed in para 9 of the order and that the balance of Rs 39,544-6-5 should be brought by the Comptroller to the credit of the Muzrai Institutions under the Palace Controller's management, the amount being apportioned by the Palace Controller among the several Institutions so that individual accounts might be kept by the Comptroller in regard to Major Institutions; it was also directed that the apportionment so made should be communicated to Government for information

The apportionment ordered in the Government Order has not been carried out. On receipt of the Government Order the Palace office not grasping the exact situation, took the amount of Rs. 39,544-6-5 as an "unknown fund" in a lumpsum to the credit of Palace Institutions and went on expending the same for various purposes under sanctions of Government, no question having ever been raised about this money. The surplus now stands completely washed off.

Deputy Comptroller's Note, Para 4.—Here it is stated that the opening Cash Balance on 1st July 1900, comes up to Rs. 2,61,690 the Ledger balance on the date being 5,82,462 that deducting from this, a sum of Rs. 2,76,900 on account of investments adjusted in the Books of the Comptrollers s office in 1903-04, the net balance in cash was Rs 3,05,562, a figure more than the balance arrived at by me by Rs. 43,872, the Deputy Comptroller adds that I have explained this excess as due to the omission on the part of the Comptroller's office to adjust the 20 per cent deduction ordered in the Government Order of 1904. Here the Deputy Comptroller has given me credit to what really I have not done. The ledger balance on 1st July 1900 is, as stated above, Rs. 5,82,462, I do not know how the opening cash balance on that date has been fixed at Rs. 2,61,690.

The amount of investment adjusted in the Books of the Comptroller's office in 1903-04 is not as stated—Rs. 2,76,900. The correct amount is Rs. 3,07,000 which was the total of Muzrai investments according to the General Ledger. This adjustment of Rs. 3,07,000 has been made by a Transfer Entry in June 1904. It was with great difficulty after a laborious overhauling of the Comptroller's old books relating to investments and a careful analysis of the institution details published in 1912, I was able to fix the institution details of this investment of Rs. 3,07,000; out of this, a sum of Rs 400 still stands untraced owing to a vague note in the Transfer Entry about the credit of the sum to a Savings Bank Account. This item of Rs. 400 has therefore been debited by me to the accounts of the State Huzur Treasury, as "untraced" and the remaining amount of Rs. 3,06,600 has been distributed in details of institutions and deducted by me out of the opening balances of July 1900.

With reference to the deduction of 20 per cent of balances ordered in Government Order of 1904, all that I have stated is that, so far as I have looked into the accounts, this deduction to the agregate of Rs. 43,802 does not appear to have been made in the accounts. Even now I shall be glad if the Comptroller's office can say *definitely* when and how the deduction was given effect to and point out the specific entry of the deduction in the Books.

Para 9, sub-para 6.—The Deputy Comptroller writes as follows:—

Misclassification of receipts and payments in the compilations now made by the Special Duty Officer though they were done correctly in the original statements of this office thus unnecessarily requiring this office to go over the same grounds that it once did while compiling for the first time about 17 years ago

As I have reported on more than one occasion, the original statements prepared by the Comptroller about 17 years ago are not at all forthcoming, if they were I would have been saved all the laborious work which I have had to do in reconstructing the accounts of the seven years from 1900-01 to 1906-07.

In discussing the Palace Muzrai accounts in the foregoing paragraphs, I have referred to the Comptroller's letter of June 1908, reporting the transmission to Government of statements of balances brought up to 1st July 1907 and a copy of the letter is enclosed for reference. I am only surprised that in the face of what has been reported in this letter the Deputy Comptroller should now claim perfection to the work done by him seventeen years ago According to this letter there was still much to be done to which early attention was promised and I could not find what further work was done after the report and how the results were subsequently perfected

For my part, my compilations are all based on the treasury statements bills Transfer Entry Books, Ledgers and such other records of the Comptroller s office as were made available to me or as I was myself able to get at in the Comptroller s records. All that I can confidently say is that I have done my best to render these compilations as accurate as was possible in the strained circumstances under which I had had to work At the same

time, I am far from claiming perfection to these compilations as I fully realised that into them should have crept very many mistakes of clerical omissions, and errors which are unavoidable, considering the nature of the imperfect and disconnected old records on which the compilations for the first seven years were made and how owing to the dropping off the Special Duty Accountants, from time to time, the bulky compilations and stray notes taken down by the several Accountants had had to be handled by different officials at different stages of the work, and lastly, how I had myself not had sufficient time at the end to examine and revise the statements compiled and copied out by the Accountants in great urgency against pressure of time. But I am not prepared to admit the broad charge of misclassifications advanced by the Deputy Comptroller. I am yet to know if the misclassifications noticed are entirely due to errors on my part or if much of them may not after all be due also to erroneous and vague entries in the bills and other records.

Para 11 of the Deputy Comptroller's Note (*Sivasamudram Ranganathaswami and Vyasarayaswami Matt*) —As regards Sivasamudram the personal jahgir villages situated in Mysore State were taken over under Government management in the Revenue Department over 30 years ago in connection with the repair and maintenance of the Irrigation channels and certain other irregularities in the management by the Jahgirdar, who was then a minor. A few years afterwards, the Muzrai charities at Sivasamudram and the Inam villages of Purigal and Kaghpur endowed thereto were taken over under the management of Government in the Muzrai Department.

The villages, both Revenue and Muzrai, were handed back to the Jahgirdar on his attaining majority, and then, about 1906-07 or thereabouts, a large sum of Sixty Thousand and odd rupees was credited to the account of the Jahgirdar as the accumulated surplus of the Muzrai Institutions. The payment figures on the debit side in the statements are compiled by me.

I was myself surprised at this large payment which had not been covered by sufficient credits. I then wrote to Mr. Bistopanth requesting that the records and account sheets relating to this payment might be kindly traced and sent to me for reference. I also asked my Accountant to go to the Secretariat and obtain the files in order to see if any account had been furnished by the Comptroller in connection with this payment. In reply I was told that the papers could not be found anywhere. I have, therefore, had to leave the matter as it stands to be looked into by the Comptroller himself if he thought it necessary. Even now I am prepared to examine the matter further if the records required are made available to me. The Secretariat papers had all been collated together by me, when as Under Secretary I had to deal with the question of the Jahgirdar's claim to non restriction of his powers in the management of the Charities at Sivasamudrum. I have left in this file a complete note dealing with the whole subject from the very beginning. My own suspicion is that in the dual management by Government the funds of the Revenue and Muzrai villages have got badly mixed up in the Taluk accounts which have been adopted by the Comptroller as a matter of course.

Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.—I have long ago reported the confusion in the accounts of this Institution in connection with a claim for a large surplus preferred by the Matt and the proposal thereon to overhaul the Matt accounts from the very beginning as had been done in the case of the Sivaganga Matt. The question has been referred to the Matt Satvadikar. Unless the accounts are overhauled in the manner proposed in my note, it is not possible to fix the correct balance. So far as my compilations are concerned they are based on the figures collated from the Treasury records, the Comptroller's ledgers and other Books of his office.

Variations in Final Balances.—The Deputy Comptroller states that they have been due to mistakes of debits of one Institution having been taken against another. He says that the same Institution has figured more than once under different names, the credits going in favour of one and the debits against another. I admit this. The chief reason is that there has been no consistency in the entries in the official records of the nomenclature of several institutions which go locally by different names. Anyone of these names at the fancy of the Taluk gumasta for the time being is entered in the Muzrai bills, accounts and reports to higher authorities and the names entered in these records have been adopted provisionally in my compilations, I have purposely reserved the necessary corections to be made in this respect after the figures had been fully reconciled as this work entails a careful examination of the Ready Money Inam Registers and Muzrai Quit Rent Registers and also local enquiries in doubtful cases. I have mentioned this to some of the Deputy Commissioners whom I have had the honor of seeing in connection with the special work. The confusion in this respect cannot be avoided, even in the future, unless the Government pass a ruling that a Muzrai Institution should go invariably by the name under which it has been registered in the Register of Cash Grants and Quit Rent Registers. As matters now stand, there is much confusion in this respect and I am not sure

No. 1475-7(—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

that much of the 'errors' now discovered in my compilations have not been due to the entries in the accepted bills and other official records since corrected after reference to Amildars.

The Deputy Comptroller states that my compilations contain the names of several institutions which no longer exist. I am aware of this too; I have noticed that some institutions have only the opening balance of 1900, some others have only the credit of tasdik granted with no debits whatever. I have also ascertained by local enquiry that some of these institutions have become defunct, the opening balance representing the surplus which had accumulated in their favour in the earlier years before they were discovered to have gone out of existence. I have myself pointed out some of these cases to the Muzrai Secretary and the Member in charge in the earlier stages of my work and I was simply asked to make a note of these as matters to be set aright in communication with the Deputy Commissioners after the balances have been finally determined.

As regards other matters dealt with in the Deputy Comptroller's note I am not in a position to reply to these with any definiteness until I have studied the compilations furnished by me and the revised statements prepared thereon by the Comptroller, so that I might know how the figures have varied and what the variations have been due to. It was, for this purpose, that I requested the Deputy Comptroller to give me an opportunity to go through the papers myself with the help of the accountants. This request had been practically refused. I have separately submitted to the Muzrai Commissioner copies of the correspondence with a request to give me an opportunity to go through the statements on their receipt from the Comptroller

I therefore beg leave to reserve my further explanation for the present.

Letter No 7156- Muz. 264, dated 29th June 1908, from the Comptroller to Government of Mysore to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 4446—Muz of 14th April 1908, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement of the balance of the several Muzrai Institutions in the Province as it stood on 1st July 1907, and I regret the delay in the preparation of this statement which was due to the incomplete manner in which the statements, and accounts used to be received from districts before the organization of a separate Muzrai Branch in this office and from which the statement had to be compiled and thereafter, also it was some time before the system became quite familiar to all concerned.

The progress was further impeded by the incorrect method adopted in the districts of accounting for the receipts and expenditure of the several Muzrai Institutions as already reported in several of my communications and Un-official Memo No 194 of 16th May 1906 No sooner was it considered that the correct balance of an Institution has been arrived at, than some fresh fact would come to notice a modification of that required the correct sum arrived at and the whole thing had to be worked out again from the very commencement.

The proper system of accounting for the receipts and expenditure of all Muzrai Institution is to credit to one head of account in the treasury all receipts from whatever source they may be including Puduvat Funds, and then to utilise them for expenditure including investments This would necessitate the maintenance of only two sets of accounts for each Institution, one a current one for ordinary income and expenditure and the other for the investment of the funds of the Institutions Hitherto a large portion of the receipts of several temples used to be invested in Government Savings Bank Deposits and a portion of the expenditure also met out of amounts withdrawn from such deposits, and these transactions not being known to the office as on account of Muzrai Institutions, were not being included in the accounts of such institutions This was one fruitful source of difference between the balances at credit of institutions as worked out in the office of the administrators of these funds and as recorded in this office. It is, however, noted that such differences in the case of many institutions have now been reconciled after a great deal of correspondence and is hoped that the differences that have not been reconciled in the case of few will be done ere long.

Coming to the statement itself, the reason for the debit balances against some of the institutions is explained in the remarks column of the statement and early steps may be taken for their adjustment.

No. 1475-76—Muz. 271-25-2, dated 19th May 1926.

As the balances of Muzrai Institutions under Palace, have not been verified from the year 1881, only a lumpsum aggregate balance is given without any distribution among the several institutions.

The details for the amounts invested in the 5 per cent endowment fund not having been furnished by the Deputy Commissioners of Districts except Kolar, only a sum of Rs. 23,000 belonging to the latter district is included in the total balance.

The balance on the 1st of July 1907, includes a sum of Rs. 7,549-10-4 drawn by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Districts, in previous years, on account of hereditary and life stipends due to parties and credited to this head and also a sum of Rs. 2,695-7-3 the receipts of private estates under Government management wrongly credited to Muzrai funds. These will be adjusted in the final accounts of this office for this year.

41+124
Page